# GKZ SYSTEMS AND MULTIPLE ZETA VALUES

Michał Zakrzewski

Jan Kochanowski University, Kielce

zakrzewski@mimuw.edu.pl

Warszawa, September 5th, 2013

#### Plan

- 1 Introduction. The classical theory of hypergeometric functions
- 2 Multiple zeta values
  - Motivation
  - Multiple  $\zeta$  functions and multiple  $\zeta$  values
- 3 Multivariable hypergeometric functions
  - GKZ systems
  - Multivariable polylogarithms and GKZ systems
- 4 Grassmannians
  - Analytic structure and properties of Grassmannians
  - Hypergeometric systems associated to Grassmannians

Bibliography

# Geometric series and power function

The starting point of the theory of hypergeometric functions is, perhaps, the Eulers' analysis of the function deined by the series

$$(1-t)^{-r} = \sum_{n \geqslant 0} \frac{(r)_n}{n!} t^n, \tag{1}$$

for |t| < 1 and where  $(x)_n := x(x+1)...(x+n-1)$  is the Pochhammer function.

# Geometric series and power function

The starting point of the theory of hypergeometric functions is, perhaps, the Eulers' analysis of the function deined by the series

$$(1-t)^{-r} = \sum_{n \geqslant 0} \frac{(r)_n}{n!} t^n, \tag{1}$$

for |t| < 1 and where  $(x)_n := x(x+1)...(x+n-1)$  is the Pochhammer function.

If r = 1, then (1) reduces to the well known geometric series. This probably motivated the name for generalisations of (1), which will be treated in this talk.

#### Geometric series and power function

The starting point of the theory of hypergeometric functions is, perhaps, the Eulers' analysis of the function deined by the series

$$(1-t)^{-r} = \sum_{n \geqslant 0} \frac{(r)_n}{n!} t^n, \tag{1}$$

for |t| < 1 and where  $(x)_n := x(x+1)...(x+n-1)$  is the Pochhammer function.

If r = 1, then (1) reduces to the well known *geometric series*. This probably motivated the name for generalisations of (1), which will be treated in this talk.

Although the formula (1) has allready been known before Euler, it was him, who made significant contributions in the study of (1) and noticed, that many known special functions can be put into the similar framework.

# Euler-Gauss hypergeometric function

The classical Euler-Gauss hypergeometric function is defined by the series

$${}_{2}F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c|c} u,v \\ w \end{array} \middle| t\right) = \sum_{n\geqslant 0} \frac{(u)_{n}(v)_{n}}{(w)_{n}} \frac{t^{n}}{n!}$$

$$= 1 + \frac{u \cdot v}{w}t + \frac{u(u+1) \cdot v(v+1)}{w(w+1)} \frac{t^{2}}{2!} + O(t^{3}),$$
(2)

where |t| < 1.

# Euler-Gauss hypergeometric function

The classical Euler-Gauss hypergeometric function is defined by the series

$${}_{2}F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c|c} u,v \\ w \end{array} \middle| t\right) = \sum_{n\geqslant 0} \frac{(u)_{n}(v)_{n}}{(w)_{n}} \frac{t^{n}}{n!}$$

$$= 1 + \frac{u \cdot v}{w}t + \frac{u(u+1) \cdot v(v+1)}{w(w+1)} \frac{t^{2}}{2!} + O(t^{3}),$$
(2)

where |t| < 1.

It has been introduced by Euler and studied by the leading matematicians of the XIX and the beginning of XX century, including Gauss, Riemann (monodromy, *P*-function, Riemann surfaces), Kummer (bases of solutions, special values), Shwarz (Shwarz list) and others.

# General classical hypergeometric function

One can easily generalize the classical Euler-Gauss hypergeometric function, by the series  $(0 < p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$  are parameters, such that  $p \leq q + 1)$ 

$${}_{p}F_{q}\left(\begin{array}{c}u_{1},u_{2},...,u_{p}\\w_{1},w_{2},...,w_{q}\end{array}\middle|\ t\right) = \sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{(u_{1})_{n}(u_{2})_{n}...(u_{p})_{n}}{(w_{1})_{n}(w_{2})_{n}...(w_{q})_{n}}\frac{t^{n}}{n!},\tag{3}$$

where |t| < 1. This is the classical general hypergeometric function.

## General classical hypergeometric function

One can easily generalize the classical Euler-Gauss hypergeometric function, by the series  $(0 < p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$  are parameters, such that  $p \leqslant q+1)$ 

$${}_{p}F_{q}\left(\begin{array}{c}u_{1},u_{2},...,u_{p}\\w_{1},w_{2},...,w_{q}\end{array}\middle|\ t\right)=\sum_{n\geqslant 0}\frac{(u_{1})_{n}(u_{2})_{n}...(u_{p})_{n}}{(w_{1})_{n}(w_{2})_{n}...(w_{q})_{n}}\frac{t^{n}}{n!},$$
(3)

where |t| < 1. This is the classical general hypergeometric function.

If p < q + 1, then function (3) is called *confluent* and if p = q + 1, then it is called *balanced*.

We introduce the following operators: the multiplication operator  $f(t)\mapsto tf(t)$ , wich we simply denote by t, differential operator  $\partial_t:=d/dt$  and the Euler operator  $\theta_t=t\partial t$ .

We introduce the following operators: the multiplication operator  $f(t)\mapsto tf(t)$ , wich we simply denote by t, differential operator  $\partial_t:=d/dt$  and the Euler operator  $\theta_t=t\partial t$ . We have

$$\theta_{t} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}u,v\\w\end{array}\right| t ) = \sum_{n\geqslant 0} \frac{(u)_{n}(v)_{n}}{(w)_{n}} n \frac{t^{n}}{n!}$$

$$= u \sum_{n\geqslant 0} \left\{\frac{(u+1)_{n}(v)_{n}}{(w)_{n}} - \frac{(u)_{n}(v)_{n}}{(w)_{n}}\right\} \frac{t^{n}}{n!},$$

$$= u \left\{{}_{2}F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}u+1,v\\w\end{array}\right| t\right) - {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}u,v\\w\end{array}\right| t\right) \right\}.$$

$$(4)$$

Hence

$$(\theta_t + u)_2 F_1 \begin{pmatrix} u, v \\ w \end{pmatrix} t = u_2 F_1 \begin{pmatrix} u+1, v \\ w \end{pmatrix} t.$$
 (5)

Hence

$$(\theta_t + u)_2 F_1 \begin{pmatrix} u, v \\ w \end{pmatrix} t = u_2 F_1 \begin{pmatrix} u+1, v \\ w \end{pmatrix} t.$$
 (5)

And in a similar way

$$(\theta_t + v)_2 F_1 \begin{pmatrix} u, v \\ w \end{pmatrix} t = v_2 F_1 \begin{pmatrix} u, v + 1 \\ w \end{pmatrix} t$$
 (6)

and

$$(\theta_t + w - 1)_2 F_1 \begin{pmatrix} u, v \\ w \end{pmatrix} t = (w - 1)_2 F_1 \begin{pmatrix} u, v \\ w - 1 \end{pmatrix} t . \tag{7}$$

From the above differential-difference relations together with

$$\partial_{t} {}_{2}F_{1} \left( \begin{array}{c} u, v \\ w \end{array} \right| t \right) = \frac{uv}{w} {}_{2}F_{1} \left( \begin{array}{c} u+1, v+1 \\ w+1 \end{array} \right| t \right). \tag{8}$$

one obtains

$$(\theta_t + u)(\theta_t + v)_2 F_1 \begin{pmatrix} u, v \\ w \end{pmatrix} t = (\theta_t + w)\partial_{t}_2 F_1 \begin{pmatrix} u + 1, v \\ w \end{pmatrix} t . \tag{9}$$

From the above differential-difference relations together with

$$\partial_{t} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c|c} u,v \\ w \end{array} \right| t = \frac{uv}{w} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c|c} u+1,v+1 \\ w+1 \end{array} \right| t . \tag{8}$$

one obtains

$$(\theta_t + u)(\theta_t + v)_2 F_1 \begin{pmatrix} u, v \\ w \end{pmatrix} t = (\theta_t + w)\partial_{t_2} F_1 \begin{pmatrix} u + 1, v \\ w \end{pmatrix} t . \tag{9}$$

Or in equivalent form:

$$\left\{t(t-1)\partial_t^2 + ((u+v+1)t-w)\partial_t + uv\right\} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{array}{c} u,v\\w\end{array}\right| t = 0. \quad (10)$$

#### General classical hypergeometric equation

The analog of the Euler-Gauss hypergeometric equation for general classical hypergeometric function can be written as

$$t P(\theta_t)_p F_q = Q(\theta_t)_p F_q, \tag{11}$$

where

$$P(x) = (x + u_1)(x + u_2)...(x + u_p)$$
  

$$Q(x) = (x + w_1 - 1)(x + w_2 - 1)...(x + w_q - 1).$$

#### General classical hypergeometric equation

The analog of the Euler-Gauss hypergeometric equation for general classical hypergeometric function can be written as

$$t P(\theta_t)_p F_q = Q(\theta_t)_p F_q, \tag{11}$$

where

$$P(x) = (x + u_1)(x + u_2)...(x + u_p)$$
  

$$Q(x) = (x + w_1 - 1)(x + w_2 - 1)...(x + w_q - 1).$$

From the above differential equation, one can restore the classical hypergeometric series, as a particular solution. But the hypergeometric equation has order p, so there are p-1 independent solutions to (11). Usually they are also called hypergeometric functions. However they may not be representable by hypergeometric series.

## Balanced and confluent differential equations

Although general classical hypergeometric equation has polynomial coefficients, there is significant difference between balanced and confluent equations (coresponding to balanced and confluent functions).

## Balanced and confluent differential equations

Although general classical hypergeometric equation has polynomial coefficients, there is significant difference between balanced and confluent equations (coresponding to balanced and confluent functions).

Balanced equation has only regular singular points, i.e. solutions around singular points are at most of polynomial growth. This implies significant differences between the two above cases.

Hypergeometric functions can be represented by several types of integrals.

Hypergeometric functions can be represented by several types of integrals. One of them is the Euler representation:

$$\frac{\Gamma(v)\Gamma(w-v)}{\Gamma(w)} \,_{2}F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c} u,v\\ w \end{array} \right| \, t \right) = \int_{0}^{1} x^{v-1} (1-x)^{w-v-1} (1-tx)^{-u} \, dx. \tag{12}$$

Hypergeometric functions can be represented by several types of integrals. One of them is the Euler representation:

$$\frac{\Gamma(v)\Gamma(w-v)}{\Gamma(w)} \,_{2}F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c} u,v\\w \end{array} \right| \, t \right) = \int_{0}^{1} x^{v-1} (1-x)^{w-v-1} (1-tx)^{-u} \, dx. \tag{12}$$

Formula (12) follows from the expansion of power function and integral representation of Beta function

$$B(x,y) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt.$$

Hypergeometric functions can be represented by several types of integrals. One of them is the Euler representation:

$$\frac{\Gamma(v)\Gamma(w-v)}{\Gamma(w)} \,_{2}F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c} u,v \\ w \end{array} \right| \, t \right) = \int_{0}^{1} x^{v-1} (1-x)^{w-v-1} (1-tx)^{-u} \, dx. \tag{12}$$

Formula (12) follows from the expansion of power function and integral representation of Beta function

$$B(x,y) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt.$$

It is also closely related to harmonic analysis on  $S^1$ .

# Gauss Theorem: integral representation of ${}_2F_1$

In particular, putting t = 1 in (12), we get

$$_{2}F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c|c}u,v\\w\end{array}\right|\ 1\right)=\frac{\Gamma(w)\Gamma(w-u-v)}{\Gamma(w-u)\Gamma(w-v)}.$$
 (13)

# Gauss Theorem: integral representation of ${}_2F_1$

In particular, putting t = 1 in (12), we get

$$_{2}F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c|c}u,v\\w\end{array}\right|\ 1\right)=\frac{\Gamma(w)\Gamma(w-u-v)}{\Gamma(w-u)\Gamma(w-v)}.$$
 (13)

Value t=1 has some special meaning in the context of integral transforms (analogous to evaluation of Fourier transform at t=0).

# Gauss Theorem: integral representation of $_2F_1$

In particular, putting t = 1 in (12), we get

$$_{2}F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c|c}u,v\\w\end{array}\right|\ 1\right)=\frac{\Gamma(w)\Gamma(w-u-v)}{\Gamma(w-u)\Gamma(w-v)}.$$
 (13)

Value t=1 has some special meaning in the context of integral transforms (analogous to evaluation of Fourier transform at t=0).

Although many particular cases of analogous formulas are known for  ${}_p {\cal F}_q$ , the general formula for

$$_{p}F_{q}\left(\begin{array}{c}u_{1},...,u_{p}\\w_{1},...,w_{q}\end{array}\right|\ 1\right)=?$$

doesn't seem to be known.

The other useful formula is the Mellin-Barnes integral:

$$\frac{\Gamma(u)\Gamma(v)}{\Gamma(w)} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c} u, v \\ w \end{array} \right| t )$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C} \frac{\Gamma(u+s)\Gamma(v+s)}{\Gamma(w+s)} \Gamma(-s)(-t)^{s} ds,$$
(14)

where the contour C is a line from  $-i\infty + s_0$  to  $-i\infty + s_0$ , for some  $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , separating poles of  $\Gamma(-s)$  from the poles of the other  $\Gamma$ -factors.

The other useful formula is the Mellin-Barnes integral:

$$\frac{\Gamma(u)\Gamma(v)}{\Gamma(w)} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c} u, v \\ w \end{array} \right| t )$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C} \frac{\Gamma(u+s)\Gamma(v+s)}{\Gamma(w+s)} \Gamma(-s)(-t)^{s} ds,$$
(14)

where the contour C is a line from  $-i\infty + s_0$  to  $-i\infty + s_0$ , for some  $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , separating poles of  $\Gamma(-s)$  from the poles of the other  $\Gamma$ -factors.

Formula (14) follows from the Residue Theorem. It is also closely related to harmonic analysis on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

The other useful formula is the Mellin-Barnes integral:

$$\frac{\Gamma(u)\Gamma(v)}{\Gamma(w)} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c|c} u,v \\ w \end{array} \right| t )$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C} \frac{\Gamma(u+s)\Gamma(v+s)}{\Gamma(w+s)} \Gamma(-s)(-t)^{s} ds, \tag{14}$$

where the contour C is a line from  $-i\infty + s_0$  to  $-i\infty + s_0$ , for some  $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , separating poles of  $\Gamma(-s)$  from the poles of the other  $\Gamma$ -factors.

Formula (14) follows from the Residue Theorem. It is also closely related to harmonic analysis on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

There are also other very interesting integral formulas which follow from the integral geometry.

# Integral representations and Meijer G-function

General hypergeometric series also admits the Mellin-Barnes integral representation:

$$\frac{\Gamma(u_{1})...\Gamma(u_{p})}{\Gamma(w_{1})...\Gamma(w_{q})} {}_{p}F_{q}\left(\begin{array}{c}u_{1},...,u_{p}\\w_{1},...,w_{q}\end{array}\right) t 
= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C} \frac{\Gamma(u_{1}+s)...\Gamma(u_{p}+s)}{\Gamma(w_{1}+s)...\Gamma(w_{q}+s)} \Gamma(-s)(-t)^{s} ds. \tag{15}$$

with appropriately chosen contour C.

# Integral representations and Meijer G-function

General hypergeometric series also admits the Mellin-Barnes integral representation:

$$\frac{\Gamma(u_{1})...\Gamma(u_{p})}{\Gamma(w_{1})...\Gamma(w_{q})} {}_{p}F_{q}\left(\begin{array}{c}u_{1},...,u_{p}\\w_{1},...,w_{q}\end{array}\right) t 
= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C} \frac{\Gamma(u_{1}+s)...\Gamma(u_{p}+s)}{\Gamma(w_{1}+s)...\Gamma(w_{q}+s)} \Gamma(-s)(-t)^{s} ds. \tag{15}$$

with appropriately chosen contour C. Formula (15) led Cornelis Simon Meijer to the definition of the Meijer G-function:

$$G_{p,q}^{m,n} \begin{pmatrix} u_1, ..., u_p \\ w_1, ..., w_q \end{pmatrix} t$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(w_j - s) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(1 - u_j + s)}{\prod_{j=1}^p \Gamma(u_j - s) \prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(1 - w_j + s)} \Gamma(s) t^s ds. \quad (16)$$

#### The Basel Problem

In the year 1644 Pietro Mengoli, professor of the leading University of Bologna, asked about the value of the infinite sum

$$\sum_{n>0} \frac{1}{n^2}.\tag{17}$$

#### The Basel Problem

In the year 1644 Pietro Mengoli, professor of the leading University of Bologna, asked about the value of the infinite sum

$$\sum_{n>0} \frac{1}{n^2}.\tag{17}$$

Although many leading matematicians of the epoch, including Leibniz, Bernoullies and Newton, tried to solve this problem, it was only Euler, who in 1735 found the answer.

#### **Eulers** solution

Consider the series and infinite product expansions of the function  $\sin \pi x/\pi x$ :

$$\frac{\sin \pi x}{\pi x} = \sum_{n \ge 0} (-1)^n \frac{(\pi x)^{2n}}{(2n+1)!} = 1 - x^2 \frac{\pi^2}{6} + \dots$$
 (18)

$$\frac{\sin \pi x}{\pi x} = \prod_{n>0} \left( 1 - \frac{x^2}{n^2} \right) = 1 - x^2 \sum_{n>0} \frac{1}{n^2} + \dots$$
 (19)

#### **Eulers** solution

Consider the series and infinite product expansions of the function  $\sin \pi x/\pi x$ :

$$\frac{\sin \pi x}{\pi x} = \sum_{n \ge 0} (-1)^n \frac{(\pi x)^{2n}}{(2n+1)!} = 1 - x^2 \frac{\pi^2}{6} + \dots$$
 (18)

$$\frac{\sin \pi x}{\pi x} = \prod_{n>0} \left( 1 - \frac{x^2}{n^2} \right) = 1 - x^2 \sum_{n>0} \frac{1}{n^2} + \dots$$
 (19)

Comparing the coefficients after  $x^2$  Euler obtained the correct result

$$\sum_{n>0} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}.$$
 (20)

#### **Eulers** solution

Consider the series and infinite product expansions of the function  $\sin \pi x/\pi x$ :

$$\frac{\sin \pi x}{\pi x} = \sum_{n \ge 0} (-1)^n \frac{(\pi x)^{2n}}{(2n+1)!} = 1 - x^2 \frac{\pi^2}{6} + \dots$$
 (18)

$$\frac{\sin \pi x}{\pi x} = \prod_{n>0} \left( 1 - \frac{x^2}{n^2} \right) = 1 - x^2 \sum_{n>0} \frac{1}{n^2} + \dots$$
 (19)

Comparing the coefficients after  $x^2$  Euler obtained the correct result

$$\sum_{n>0} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}.$$
 (20)

The problem is named after Basel, hometown of Euler.

#### Eulers solution, first apearence of multiple zeta values

Note that in fact Euler obtained much more. By comparing the higher coefficients, before  $x^{2n}$ , he was able to evaluate sums of the form

$$\sum_{n>m>0} \frac{1}{m^2 n^2}, \quad \sum_{n>m>l>0} \frac{1}{m^2 n^2 l^2}, \quad \dots$$
 (21)

and so on.

#### Multiple $\zeta$ function

#### Definition

The multiple zeta function is defined by the series

$$\sum_{n_{\rho}>...>n_{2}>n_{1}>0} n_{1}^{-s_{1}} n_{2}^{-s_{2}} ... n_{\rho}^{-s_{\rho}} := \zeta(s_{1}, s_{2}, ..., s_{\rho}),$$
 (22)

whenever (22) converges. Number p is called depth, and  $|s| := s_1 + s_2 + ... + s_p$  - weight of  $\zeta(s_1, s_2, ..., s_p)$ . Multiple zeta values (in short MZV), are values of multiple zeta function at integral points.

#### Multiple $\zeta$ function

#### **Definition**

The multiple zeta function is defined by the series

$$\sum_{n_{p}>...>n_{2}>n_{1}>0} n_{1}^{-s_{1}} n_{2}^{-s_{2}} ... n_{p}^{-s_{p}} := \zeta(s_{1}, s_{2}, ..., s_{p}),$$
 (22)

whenever (22) converges. Number p is called depth, and  $|s| := s_1 + s_2 + ... + s_p$  - weight of  $\zeta(s_1, s_2, ..., s_p)$ . Multiple zeta values (in short MZV), are values of multiple zeta function at integral points.

To simplify nontation, one writes  $(\{s_1,...,s_q\}^n)$ , meaning  $(s_1,...,s_q,s_1,...,s_q,...,s_1,...,s_q)$ , where  $(s_1,...,s_q)$  is repeated n times.

#### Multiple $\zeta$ values

Multiple zeta values apeared for the first time in Euler's *Meditationes* circa singulare serierum genus (1775), where he found the following formula relating Multiple Zeta Values to 'single' ones:

$$\sum_{n>0} \frac{H_n}{(n+1)^2} = \zeta(2,1) = \zeta(3) = \sum_{n>0} \frac{1}{n^3},$$
 (23)

where  $H_m$  is the m-th harmonic number.

#### Multiple $\zeta$ values

Multiple zeta values apeared for the first time in Euler's *Meditationes* circa singulare serierum genus (1775), where he found the following formula relating Multiple Zeta Values to 'single' ones:

$$\sum_{n>0} \frac{H_n}{(n+1)^2} = \zeta(2,1) = \zeta(3) = \sum_{n>0} \frac{1}{n^3},$$
 (23)

where  $H_m$  is the m-th harmonic number.

If p=1, then multiple zeta function is simply the Riemann zeta function

$$\sum_{n>0} n^{-s} = \zeta(s), \tag{24}$$

function which is a fundamental object of study in number theory.

#### Relations between multiple zeta values

MZV satisfy a lot of relations. For example

$$\zeta(r)\zeta(s) = \sum_{m,n>0} m^{-r} n^{-s} 
= \left(\sum_{m>n>0} + \sum_{n>m>0} + \sum_{m=n>0} \right) m^{-r} n^{-s} 
= \zeta(r,s) + \zeta(s,r) + \zeta(r+s).$$
(25)

#### Relations between multiple zeta values

Other nontrivial relations can be obtained from Drinfeld-Kontsevich integral:

$$Li_{s_1,...,s_p}(t) := \int_T \omega_0^{s_p-1} \omega_1...\omega_0^{s_p-1} \omega_1,$$
 (26)

where 
$$\omega_0(x) = dx/x$$
,  $\omega_1(x) = dx/(1-x)$  and  $T = \{(t_1, t_2, ..., t_{|s|}) \in \mathbb{R}^k : 0 < t_1 < ... < t_{|s|} < t < 1\}$ .

#### Relations between multiple zeta values

Other nontrivial relations can be obtained from Drinfeld-Kontsevich integral:

$$Li_{s_1,...,s_p}(t) := \int_{\mathcal{T}} \omega_0^{s_p-1} \omega_1...\omega_0^{s_p-1} \omega_1,$$
 (26)

where  $\omega_0(x) = dx/x$ ,  $\omega_1(x) = dx/(1-x)$  and  $T = \{(t_1, t_2, ..., t_{|s|}) \in \mathbb{R}^k : 0 < t_1 < ... < t_{|s|} < t < 1\}$ .

Drinfeld-Kontsevich integral is a special case of Chen iterated integrals:

$$\int_{\gamma} \omega_1 \, \omega_2 \dots \omega_p = \int_{0 \leqslant t_1 < \dots < t_p \leqslant 1} \prod_{i=1}^p \phi_i(t_i) \, dt_i, \tag{27}$$

where X is a manifold,  $\omega_i \in \Omega^1(X)$ ,  $\gamma:[0,1] \to X$  is family of piecewise smooth paths and  $\gamma^*\omega_i = f_i(t)dt$ .

# DK integral and multiple polylogarithms

Treating the Drinfeld-Kontsevich integral as a (holomorphic) function of t one gets the identities

$$Li_{2}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} \frac{dt_{1}}{t_{1}} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} \frac{dt_{2}}{1 - t_{2}},$$

$$Li_{3}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} \frac{dt_{1}}{t_{1}} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} \frac{dt_{2}}{t_{2}} \int_{0}^{t_{2}} \frac{dt_{3}}{1 - t_{3}},$$

$$Li_{2,1}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} \frac{dt_{1}}{t_{1}} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} \frac{dt_{2}}{1 - t_{2}} \int_{0}^{t_{2}} \frac{dt_{3}}{1 - t_{3}},$$

where  $Li_2(t)$ ,  $Li_3(t)$  are polylogarithmic functions and  $Li_{2,1}(t)$  is, so called, multiple polylogarithm.

#### Multiple polylogarithms

General multiple polylogarithm has the following power series expansion:

$$\sum_{n_p > \dots > n_2 > n_1 > 0} n_1^{-s_1} n_2^{-s_2} \dots n_p^{-s_p} \cdot t^{n_p} := Li_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_p}(t), \tag{28}$$

from which one gets  $Li_{s_1,...,s_p}(1) = \zeta(s_1,s_2,...,s_p)$ .

The Drinfeld-Kontsevich integral can be used to construct Fuchsian differential equation associated to generating function of the sequence  $\zeta(\{(s_1, s_2, ..., s_p)\}^n)$ .

The Drinfeld-Kontsevich integral can be used to construct Fuchsian differential equation associated to generating function of the sequence  $\zeta(\{(s_1, s_2, ..., s_p)\}^n)$ .

We define opertor L as:

$$L := (1-t)\partial_t(t\partial_t)^{s_1-1}...(1-t)\partial_t(t\partial_t)^{s_\rho-1}.$$
 (29)

The Drinfeld-Kontsevich integral can be used to construct Fuchsian differential equation associated to generating function of the sequence  $\zeta(\{(s_1, s_2, ..., s_p)\}^n)$ .

We define opertor L as:

$$L := (1-t)\partial_t(t\partial_t)^{s_1-1}...(1-t)\partial_t(t\partial_t)^{s_p-1}.$$
 (29)

We have

$$L.Li_{s_1,\ldots,s_p}(t) = 1 \tag{30}$$

The Drinfeld-Kontsevich integral can be used to construct Fuchsian differential equation associated to generating function of the sequence  $\zeta(\{(s_1, s_2, ..., s_p)\}^n)$ .

We define opertor L as:

$$L := (1 - t)\partial_t(t\partial_t)^{s_1 - 1}...(1 - t)\partial_t(t\partial_t)^{s_p - 1}.$$
 (29)

We have

$$L.Li_{s_1,\ldots,s_p}(t) = 1 \tag{30}$$

and more generally

$$L^{n}.Li_{\{s_{1},...,s_{p}\}^{n}}(t) = 1.$$
 (31)

Holomorphic solution  $F(t, \lambda)$  of the eigenequation

$$(L+\lambda^{|s|})f = 0, (32)$$

such that F(1,0) = 1, has the following expansion around t = 1:

$$F(1,\lambda) = \sum_{n \geqslant 0} (-1)^n \zeta(\{s_1,...,s_p\}^n) \lambda^{|s|n}.$$
 (33)

Holomorphic solution  $F(t, \lambda)$  of the eigenequation

$$(L+\lambda^{|s|})f = 0, (32)$$

such that F(1,0) = 1, has the following expansion around t = 1:

$$F(1,\lambda) = \sum_{n \geqslant 0} (-1)^n \zeta(\{s_1,...,s_p\}^n) \lambda^{|s|n}.$$
 (33)

In other words, function  $F(1,\lambda)$  is a generating function of the sequence  $\zeta(\{s_1,...,s_p\}^n)$ .

# Particular solutions associated to $\zeta(\{s\}^n)$

If the depth p is equal to one, then L has the form

$$L := (1-t)\partial_t (t\partial_t)^{s-1}$$
 (34)

and  $F(1,\lambda)$  is the generating function of  $\zeta(\{s\}^n)$ .

# Particular solutions associated to $\zeta(\{s\}^n)$

If the depth p is equal to one, then L has the form

$$L := (1 - t)\partial_t (t\partial_t)^{s-1} \tag{34}$$

and  $F(1,\lambda)$  is the generating function of  $\zeta(\{s\}^n)$ .

In that case F is a sum of the series

$$F(t,\lambda) = \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{(\mu\lambda)_n (\mu^2\lambda)_n \dots (\mu^s\lambda)_n}{(n!)^s} (-t)^n, \tag{35}$$

obtained from differential equation. Here  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  denotes the primitive s-th degree root of unity.

## Particular solutions associated to $\zeta(\{s\}^n)$

If the depth p is equal to one, then L has the form

$$L := (1 - t)\partial_t (t\partial_t)^{s-1}$$
 (34)

and  $F(1,\lambda)$  is the generating function of  $\zeta(\{s\}^n)$ .

In that case F is a sum of the series

$$F(t,\lambda) = \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{(\mu\lambda)_n (\mu^2\lambda)_n \dots (\mu^s\lambda)_n}{(n!)^s} (-t)^n, \tag{35}$$

obtained from differential equation. Here  $\mu$  denotes the primitive  $\emph{s}\text{-th}$  degree root of unity.

We have

$$F(t,\lambda) = {}_{s}F_{s-1}\left(\begin{array}{c} \mu\lambda, \mu^{2}\lambda, ..., \mu^{s}\lambda \\ 1, .., 1 \end{array} \middle| t\right). \tag{36}$$

### Remark: assymptotic methods in the study of MZV

Recently MZV have been extensivelly studied in several different directions and many interesting results were obtained.

### Remark: assymptotic methods in the study of MZV

Recently MZV have been extensivelly studied in several different directions and many interesting results were obtained.

For exmaple, in three papers written together with proffesor Żołądek:

- Z. Ż., Linear meromorphic differential equations and multiple zeta-values I. Zeta (2), Fund. Math. 210 (2010), 207-242.
- Z. Ż., Linear meromorphic differential equations and multiple zeta-values II. Generalization of the WKB method, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 383 (2011), 55-70.
- Z. Ž., Linear meromorphic differential equations and multiple zeta-values I. Zeta (3), J. Math. Phys. 53 (2012), 1-40.

we give new proofs of certain MZV-identities, examining equation (32) asymptotic methods (WKB series, stationary phase approximation).

# Particular solutions associated to $\zeta(\{2\}^n)$

When s = 2, then

$$L := (1 - t)\partial_t(t\partial_t) \tag{37}$$

and  $F(1,\lambda)$  is (the generating function of  $\zeta(\{2\}^n)$ )

$$F(t,\lambda) = \sum_{n\geqslant 0} \frac{(\lambda)_n(-\lambda)_n}{n!n!}$$

$$= {}_{2}F_1\left(\begin{array}{c|c} \lambda, -\lambda, & 1 \end{array}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\lambda)\Gamma(1-\lambda)}.$$
(38)

# Particular solutions associated to $\zeta(\{2\}^n)$

When s = 2, then

$$L := (1 - t)\partial_t(t\partial_t) \tag{37}$$

and  $F(1,\lambda)$  is (the generating function of  $\zeta(\{2\}^n)$ )

$$F(t,\lambda) = \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{(\lambda)_n(-\lambda)_n}{n!n!}$$

$$= {}_{2}F_1\left(\begin{array}{c|c} \lambda, -\lambda, & 1 \end{array}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\lambda)\Gamma(1-\lambda)}.$$
(38)

The last equality follows from the Gauss identity:

$$_{2}F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c|c}u,v\\w\end{array}\right|\ 1\right)=\frac{\Gamma(w)\Gamma(w-u-v)}{\Gamma(w-u)\Gamma(w-v)}.$$
 (39)

# Explicit expression for the generating function

And since  $\Gamma(1+\lambda)\Gamma(1-\lambda)=\pi\lambda/\sin\pi\lambda$ , we have the explicit expression for the generating function of the sequence  $\zeta(\{2\}^n)$ .

# Explicit expression for the generating function

And since  $\Gamma(1+\lambda)\Gamma(1-\lambda)=\pi\lambda/\sin\pi\lambda$ , we have the explicit expression for the generating function of the sequence  $\zeta(\{2\}^n)$ . Thus we can also find all  $\zeta(2k)$ .

# Expression for the generating function of the higher values

We already mentioned that no general formula is known (to the speaker) for

$$_{p}F_{q}\left(\begin{array}{c}u_{1},...,u_{p}\\w_{1},...,w_{q}\end{array}\middle|\ 1\right).$$

#### Expression for the generating function of the higher values

We already mentioned that no general formula is known (to the speaker) for

$$_{p}F_{q}\left(\begin{array}{c}u_{1},...,u_{p}\\w_{1},...,w_{q}\end{array}\middle|\ 1\right).$$

However, if one considers particular example of holomorphic (at t=0) solution F to the equation  $(L+\lambda^{|s|})f=0$ , then

$$F(t,\lambda) = {}_{s}F_{s-1} \begin{pmatrix} \mu\lambda, \mu^{2}\lambda, ..., \mu^{s}\lambda \\ 1, ..., 1 \end{pmatrix} 1$$
$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\lambda)...\Gamma(1+\mu^{s-1}\lambda)}. \tag{40}$$

#### Expression for the generating function of the higher values

We already mentioned that no general formula is known (to the speaker) for

$$_{p}F_{q}\left(\begin{array}{c}u_{1},...,u_{p}\\w_{1},...,w_{q}\end{array}\middle|\ 1\right).$$

However, if one considers particular example of holomorphic (at t=0) solution F to the equation  $(L+\lambda^{|s|})f=0$ , then

$$F(t,\lambda) = {}_{s}F_{s-1} \begin{pmatrix} \mu\lambda, \mu^{2}\lambda, ..., \mu^{s}\lambda \\ 1, ..., 1 \end{pmatrix} 1$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\lambda)...\Gamma(1+\mu^{s-1}\lambda)}.$$
(40)

Unfortunatelly, it is not sufficient to get enough number-theoretic information about, for example  $\zeta(2k+1)$ . But formula (40) suffices enough to derive some relations between values of the form  $\zeta(s_1,...,s_p)$ , where all  $s_i$  are even.

# Generating functions for multiple values

If p > 1 in

$$L = (1-t)\partial_t(t\partial_t)^{s_1-1}...(1-t)\partial_t(t\partial_t)^{s_p-1}, \tag{41}$$

then holomorphic (at t=0) solution F to the equation  $(L+\lambda^{|s|})f=0$  is not, in general, a hypergeometric function.

## Generating functions for multiple values

If p > 1 in

$$L = (1-t)\partial_t(t\partial_t)^{s_1-1}...(1-t)\partial_t(t\partial_t)^{s_p-1}, \tag{41}$$

then holomorphic (at t=0) solution F to the equation  $(L+\lambda^{|s|})f=0$  is not, in general, a hypergeometric function.

Nor any general formula for  $F(1,\lambda)$  is known (again, at least to the speaker of this talk).

# Generating functions for multiple values

If p > 1 in

$$L = (1-t)\partial_t(t\partial_t)^{s_1-1}...(1-t)\partial_t(t\partial_t)^{s_p-1}, \tag{41}$$

then holomorphic (at t=0) solution F to the equation  $(L+\lambda^{|s|})f=0$  is not, in general, a hypergeometric function.

Nor any general formula for  $F(1,\lambda)$  is known (again, at least to the speaker of this talk). Except the famous Broadhurst-Zagier formula:

$$1 + \sum_{n>0} (-\lambda^4)^n Li_{\{3,1\}^n}(t) = {}_{2}F_{1} \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}\lambda, -2^{-1/2}\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_{1} \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix}.$$
(42)

#### Broadhurst-Zagier formula

If t = 1, applying again Gauss formula

$$_{2}F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c|c}u,v\\w\end{array}\right|\ 1\right)=\frac{\Gamma(w)\Gamma(w-u-v)}{\Gamma(w-u)\Gamma(w-v)}$$
 (43)

one gets

$$1 + \sum_{n>0} (-\lambda^4)^n \zeta(\{3,1\}^n)(t) = {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}\lambda, -2^{-1/2}\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i$$

#### Broadhurst-Zagier formula

If t = 1, applying again Gauss formula

$$_{2}F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c|c}u,v\\w\end{array}\right|\ 1\right)=\frac{\Gamma(w)\Gamma(w-u-v)}{\Gamma(w-u)\Gamma(w-v)}$$
 (43)

one gets

$$1 + \sum_{n>0} (-\lambda^4)^n \zeta(\{3,1\}^n)(t) = {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}\lambda, -2^{-1/2}\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i\lambda, -2^{-1/2}i\lambda & t \end{pmatrix} \times {}_{2}F_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}i$$

And finally

$$\zeta(\{3,1\}^n) = \frac{2\pi^{4n}}{(4n+2)!}. (45)$$

#### Questions

The exceptional case of Broadhurst-Zagier formula seems to be very interesting. And one may ask the following questions:

Is BZ formula really exceptional? Or maybe (more likely) is is just the simplest of the whole family of relations of the similar kind?

#### Questions

The exceptional case of Broadhurst-Zagier formula seems to be very interesting. And one may ask the following questions:

- Is BZ formula really exceptional? Or maybe (more likely) is is just the simplest of the whole family of relations of the similar kind?
- Does there always exist formula for general  $F(1, \lambda)$  that involves only sum of products of  $\Gamma$ -factors?

#### Questions

The exceptional case of Broadhurst-Zagier formula seems to be very interesting. And one may ask the following questions:

- Is BZ formula really exceptional? Or maybe (more likely) is is just the simplest of the whole family of relations of the similar kind?
- Does there always exist formula for general  $F(1, \lambda)$  that involves only sum of products of  $\Gamma$ -factors?

One of the consequences of positive answers to the above questions would be the description (at least theoretical) of the relations between all MZVs.

### Questions

The exceptional case of Broadhurst-Zagier formula seems to be very interesting. And one may ask the following questions:

- Is BZ formula really exceptional? Or maybe (more likely) is is just the simplest of the whole family of relations of the similar kind?
- Does there always exist formula for general  $F(1, \lambda)$  that involves only sum of products of  $\Gamma$ -factors?

One of the consequences of positive answers to the above questions would be the description (at least theoretical) of the relations between all MZVs. Careful study of the behaviour of solutions of near t=1 doesn't sem to be enough to achieve theese goals, because case p>1 is, in a way, much more complicated then the case p=1.

### Questions

The exceptional case of Broadhurst-Zagier formula seems to be very interesting. And one may ask the following questions:

- Is BZ formula really exceptional? Or maybe (more likely) is is just the simplest of the whole family of relations of the similar kind?
- Does there always exist formula for general  $F(1, \lambda)$  that involves only sum of products of  $\Gamma$ -factors?

One of the consequences of positive answers to the above questions would be the description (at least theoretical) of the relations between all MZVs. Careful study of the behaviour of solutions of near t=1 doesn't sem to be enough to achieve theese goals, because case p>1 is, in a way, much more complicated then the case p=1. Thus it seems reasonable to turn ones attention to multivariable hypergeometric functions.

## Appell functions

In 1880 P. Appell defined the following list of hypergeometric functions of two variables:

$$F_{1}\begin{pmatrix} u, v_{1}, v_{2} \\ w \end{pmatrix} x, y = \sum_{n,m \geqslant 0} \frac{(u)_{m+n}(v_{1})_{m}(v_{1})_{n}}{(w)_{m+n}} \frac{x^{m}y^{n}}{m!n!}, \quad (46)$$

$$F_{2}\begin{pmatrix} u, v_{1}, v_{2} \\ w_{1}, w_{2} \end{pmatrix} x, y = \sum_{n,m \geqslant 0} \frac{(u)_{m+n}(v_{1})_{m}(v_{1})_{n}}{(w_{1})_{m}(w_{2})_{n}} \frac{x^{m}y^{n}}{m!n!}, \quad (47)$$

$$F_{3}\begin{pmatrix} u_{1}, u_{2}, v_{1}, v_{2} \\ w \end{pmatrix} x, y = \sum_{n,m \geqslant 0} \frac{(u_{1})_{m}(u_{2})_{n}(v_{1})_{m}(v_{1})_{n}}{(w)_{m+n}} \frac{x^{m}y^{n}}{m!n!}, \quad (48)$$

$$F_{4}\begin{pmatrix} u, v \\ w_{1}, w_{2} \end{pmatrix} x, y = \sum_{n,m \geqslant 0} \frac{(u)_{m+n}(v)_{m+n}}{(w_{1})_{m}(w_{2})_{n}} \frac{x^{m}y^{n}}{m!n!}. \quad (49)$$

### Appell and Horn functions

Series defining functions  $F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4$  converge in regions

$$\begin{array}{lcl} D_1 &=& \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |x| < 1, |y| < 1\}, \\ D_2 &=& \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |x| + |y| < 1\}, \\ D_3 &=& \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |x| < 1, |y| < 1\}, \\ D_4 &=& \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |x|^{1/2} + |y|^{1/2} < 1\}. \end{array}$$

### Appell and Horn functions

Series defining functions  $F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4$  converge in regions

$$\begin{array}{lcl} D_1 &=& \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |x| < 1, |y| < 1\}, \\ D_2 &=& \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |x| + |y| < 1\}, \\ D_3 &=& \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |x| < 1, |y| < 1\}, \\ D_4 &=& \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |x|^{1/2} + |y|^{1/2} < 1\}. \end{array}$$

In addition to the list of four Appell functions, there are 10 other balanced hypergeometric series and futher 20 confluent series, that have been enumerated by Horn (1931) and corrected by Borngässer (1933).

### Appell and Horn functions

Series defining functions  $F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4$  converge in regions

$$\begin{array}{lcl} D_1 &=& \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |x| < 1, |y| < 1\}, \\ D_2 &=& \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |x| + |y| < 1\}, \\ D_3 &=& \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |x| < 1, |y| < 1\}, \\ D_4 &=& \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |x|^{1/2} + |y|^{1/2} < 1\}. \end{array}$$

In addition to the list of four Appell functions, there are 10 other balanced hypergeometric series and futher 20 confluent series, that have been enumerated by Horn (1931) and corrected by Borngässer (1933).

Lauricella (1893) generalized the notion of Appell's functions to n variables.

# Differential equations and integral representations

All Appell and Horn (as well as Luricella) functions satisfy meromorphic differential equations. In addition they can be represented by integrals.

# Differential equations and integral representations

All Appell and Horn (as well as Luricella) functions satisfy meromorphic differential equations. In addition they can be represented by integrals. For example, the Euler-type integral for  $F_2$  is

$$\frac{\Gamma(v_1)\Gamma(v_2)\Gamma(w_1-v_1)\Gamma(w_2-v_2)}{\Gamma(w_1)\Gamma(w_2)} F_2 \begin{pmatrix} u, v_1, v_2 \\ w_1, w_2 \end{pmatrix} \times y \\
= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 t_1^{v_1-1} t_2^{v_2-1} (1-t_1)^{w_1-v_1-1} (1-t_2)^{w_2-v_2-1} \times \\
(1-t_1x-t_2y)^{-u} dt_1 dt_2.$$

# Differential equations and integral representations

All Appell and Horn (as well as Luricella) functions satisfy meromorphic differential equations. In addition they can be represented by integrals. For example, the Euler-type integral for  $F_2$  is

$$\begin{split} & \frac{\Gamma(v_1)\Gamma(v_2)\Gamma(w_1-v_1)\Gamma(w_2-v_2)}{\Gamma(w_1)\Gamma(w_2)} \, F_2 \left( \begin{matrix} u, v_1, v_2 \\ w_1, w_2 \end{matrix} \middle| \ x, y \right) \\ = & \int_0^1 \int_0^1 t_1^{v_1-1} t_2^{v_2-1} (1-t_1)^{w_1-v_1-1} (1-t_2)^{w_2-v_2-1} \times \\ & (1-t_1x-t_2y)^{-u} \, dt_1 \, dt_2. \end{split}$$

Surprisingly, the function  $F_1$  can also be expressed by the simple integral

$$\frac{\Gamma(w)\Gamma(w-u)}{\Gamma(v_1)\Gamma(v_2)} F_1 \begin{pmatrix} u, v_1, v_2 \\ w \end{pmatrix} x, y 
= \int_0^1 t^{u-1} (1-t)^{w-u-1} (1-tx)^{-v_1} (1-ty)^{-v_1} dt.$$

## Gauss formula for Appell functions

Appell functions admit representations of the Gauss type, i.e., if x=1=y we have, for example,

$$F_1 \begin{pmatrix} u, v_1, v_2 \\ w \end{pmatrix} 1, 1$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(u)\Gamma(v_1)\Gamma(v_2)\Gamma(w - u - v_1 - v_2)}{\Gamma(w)\Gamma(w - u)\Gamma(w - v_1 - v_2)}.$$

### Mellin-Barnes integral representations

For general complex parameters, the  $F_1$  function can be written as the following contour integral

$$\frac{\Gamma(u)\Gamma(v_1)\Gamma(v_2)}{\Gamma(w)} F_1 \begin{pmatrix} u, v_1, v_2 \\ w \end{pmatrix} x, y \qquad (50)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_C \frac{\Gamma(u - s_1 - s_2)\Gamma(v_1 - s_1)\Gamma(v_2 - s_2)}{\Gamma(w - s_1 - s_2)}$$

$$\times \Gamma(s_1)\Gamma(s_2)(-x)^{-s_1}(-y)^{-s_2} ds_1 ds_2,$$

where C is an appropriately chosen 2-cycle in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .

### Mellin-Barnes integral representations

For general complex parameters, the  $F_1$  function can be written as the following contour integral

$$\frac{\Gamma(u)\Gamma(v_{1})\Gamma(v_{2})}{\Gamma(w)}F_{1}\begin{pmatrix} u, v_{1}, v_{2} \\ w \end{pmatrix} \times, y \tag{50}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{2}} \int_{C} \frac{\Gamma(u - s_{1} - s_{2})\Gamma(v_{1} - s_{1})\Gamma(v_{2} - s_{2})}{\Gamma(w - s_{1} - s_{2})}$$

$$\times \Gamma(s_{1})\Gamma(s_{2})(-x)^{-s_{1}}(-y)^{-s_{2}} ds_{1} ds_{2},$$

where C is an appropriately chosen 2-cycle in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .

Analogous formulas exist for all other Appel and Horn functions.

### Horn's condition

Common properties of the classical, Appell's and Lauricella's hypergeometric functions led Horn to the following definition.

#### Definition (Horn function)

Consider a Taylor series of the form (we use standard multiindex notation)

$$f(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^p} a_n t^n, \tag{51}$$

where  $a_n$  are such that  $a_{n+e_j}/a_n \in \mathbb{C}(n_1,...,n_p)$ .

### Horn's condition

Common properties of the classical, Appell's and Lauricella's hypergeometric functions led Horn to the following definition.

#### Definition (Horn function)

Consider a Taylor series of the form (we use standard multiindex notation)

$$f(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^p} a_n t^n, \tag{51}$$

where  $a_n$  are such that  $a_{n+e_j}/a_n \in \mathbb{C}(n_1,...,n_p)$ .

All of the above functions (Euler-Gauss, Appell, Lauricella) are special cases of Horn hypergeometric functions. Horn functions can also be divided into confluent and balanced ones. All of them possess integral representations and satisfy meromorphic differential equations generalizing the one-dimensional case.

Following W. Miller and his school, one may give the Euler-Gauss function the following interpretation.

Following W. Miller and his school, one may give the Euler-Gauss function the following interpretation. Consider the Laplace operator

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_3^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_4^2},\tag{52}$$

which can be rewritten as

Following W. Miller and his school, one may give the Euler-Gauss function the following interpretation. Consider the Laplace operator

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_3^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_4^2},\tag{52}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\partial_1 \partial_2 - \partial_3 \partial_4 \tag{53}$$

in coordinates  $x_1 = t_1 + t_2$ ,  $x_2 = i(t_1 - t_2)$ ,  $x_3 = t_3 - t_4$  and  $x_4 = i(t_3 + t_4)$ .

Following W. Miller and his school, one may give the Euler-Gauss function the following interpretation. Consider the Laplace operator

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_3^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_4^2},\tag{52}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\partial_1 \partial_2 - \partial_3 \partial_4 \tag{53}$$

in coordinates  $x_1 = t_1 + t_2$ ,  $x_2 = i(t_1 - t_2)$ ,  $x_3 = t_3 - t_4$  and  $x_4 = i(t_3 + t_4)$ .

Here (and further on)  $\partial_i := \partial_{t_i}$ ; the same convention applies to  $\theta_i := \theta_{t_i}$ .

### PDE satisfied by multivariable Euler-Gauss function

One may then prove (by straightforward calculation), the following

### PDE satisfied by multivariable Euler-Gauss function

One may then prove (by straightforward calculation), the following

#### Proposition

The function

$$\Phi\left(\begin{array}{c|c} u,v & t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4 \end{array}\right) := t_1^{-u}t_2^{-v}t_3^{w-1}{}_2F_1\left(\begin{array}{c|c} u,v & t_3t_4 \\ w & t_1t_2 \end{array}\right)$$
(54)

satisfies the equation

$$(\partial_1 \partial_2 - \partial_3 \partial_4) \Phi = 0. (55)$$

### PDE satisfied by multivariable Euler-Gauss function

One may then prove (by straightforward calculation), the following

#### Proposition

The function

$$\Phi\begin{pmatrix} u, v \\ w \end{pmatrix} t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 := t_1^{-u} t_2^{-v} t_3^{w-1} {}_2F_1 \begin{pmatrix} u, v \\ w \end{pmatrix} \frac{t_3 t_4}{t_1 t_2}$$
 (54)

satisfies the equation

$$(\partial_1 \partial_2 - \partial_3 \partial_4) \Phi = 0. (55)$$

This approach, which was motivated by the desire to find systems of PDEs whose solutions could be expressed in terms of generalized hypergeometric series, leads to the notion of GKZ systems.

For Euler-Gauss hypergeometric series, the operator  $\theta_t + u$  may be viewed as an index-raising operator. Similar is true in the case of  $\theta_t + v$ , while and  $\theta_t + w$  lowers the third index.

For Euler-Gauss hypergeometric series, the operator  $\theta_t + u$  may be viewed as an index-raising operator. Similar is true in the case of  $\theta_t + v$ , while and  $\theta_t + w$  lowers the third index.

One may introduce other raisingand lowering operators using the recursion properties of the Pochhammer symbols. However, the dependence of these operators on the parameters makes it difficult to study, for example, their composition properties and thus the algebra they generate.

For Euler-Gauss hypergeometric series, the operator  $\theta_t + u$  may be viewed as an index-raising operator. Similar is true in the case of  $\theta_t + v$ , while and  $\theta_t + w$  lowers the third index.

One may introduce other raisingand lowering operators using the recursion properties of the Pochhammer symbols. However, the dependence of these operators on the parameters makes it difficult to study, for example, their composition properties and thus the algebra they generate.

Miller's idea is to replace the multiplication operators u, v, w by Euler operators  $\theta_x, \theta_y, \theta_z$ , corresponding to new variables x, y, z, respectively. Now, since the Euler operator,  $\theta_x$  acts as multiplication by u on  $x^u$ , it is natural, to define

$$\Phi_{u,v,w}(t) := x^u y^v z^{w-1} {}_2F_1 \begin{pmatrix} u,v \\ w \end{pmatrix} t. \tag{56}$$

The following relations are immediate consequence of the above:

The following relations are immediate consequence of the above:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \theta_x \, \Phi_{u,v,w} & = & u \, \Phi_{u,v,w} \\ \theta_y \, \Phi_{u,v,w} & = & v \, \Phi_{u,v,w} \\ \theta_z \, \Phi_{u,v,w} & = & (w-1) \, \Phi_{u,v,w}. \end{array}$$

The following relations are immediate consequence of the above:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \theta_x \, \Phi_{u,v,w} & = & u \, \Phi_{u,v,w} \\ \theta_y \, \Phi_{u,v,w} & = & v \, \Phi_{u,v,w} \\ \theta_z \, \Phi_{u,v,w} & = & (w-1) \, \Phi_{u,v,w}. \end{array}$$

So

$$(\theta_t + \theta_x) \Phi_{u,v,w} = x^u y^v z^{w-1} (\theta_t + u)_2 F_1 \begin{pmatrix} u, v \\ w \end{pmatrix} t$$
 (57)

The following relations are immediate consequence of the above:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \theta_x \, \Phi_{u,v,w} & = & u \, \Phi_{u,v,w} \\ \theta_y \, \Phi_{u,v,w} & = & v \, \Phi_{u,v,w} \\ \theta_z \, \Phi_{u,v,w} & = & (w-1) \, \Phi_{u,v,w}. \end{array}$$

So

$$(\theta_t + \theta_x) \Phi_{u,v,w} = x^u y^v z^{w-1} (\theta_t + u)_2 F_1 \begin{pmatrix} u, v \\ w \end{pmatrix} t$$
 (57)

and

$$x(\theta_t + \theta_x) \Phi_{u,v,w} = u \Phi_{u+1,v,w}. \tag{58}$$

The following relations are immediate consequence of the above:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \theta_x \, \Phi_{u,v,w} & = & u \, \Phi_{u,v,w} \\ \theta_y \, \Phi_{u,v,w} & = & v \, \Phi_{u,v,w} \\ \theta_z \, \Phi_{u,v,w} & = & (w-1) \, \Phi_{u,v,w}. \end{array}$$

So

$$(\theta_t + \theta_x) \Phi_{u,v,w} = x^u y^v z^{w-1} (\theta_t + u)_2 F_1 \begin{pmatrix} u, v \\ w \end{pmatrix} t$$
 (57)

and

$$x(\theta_t + \theta_x) \Phi_{u,v,w} = u \Phi_{u+1,v,w}. \tag{58}$$

There are similar formulas for v and w.

Together with relation

$$xyz\partial_t \Phi_{u,v,w} = \frac{uv}{w} \Phi_{u,v,w}$$
 (59)

we get all relations leading to the Euler-Gauss equation (i.e. one can reconstruct  ${}_2F_1$ ).

Together with relation

$$xyz\partial_t \Phi_{u,v,w} = \frac{uv}{w} \Phi_{u,v,w}$$
 (59)

we get all relations leading to the Euler-Gauss equation (i.e. one can reconstruct  ${}_2F_1$ ).

However, there are several advantages. Identities (58), (59) and two remaining ones are in parameter free form and they make sense for any (appropriately regular) function on the variables t, x, y, z, while the previous (the classica) form depended on the non-intrinsic parameters u, v and w.

Together with relation

$$xyz\partial_t \Phi_{u,v,w} = \frac{uv}{w} \Phi_{u,v,w}$$
 (59)

we get all relations leading to the Euler-Gauss equation (i.e. one can reconstruct  ${}_2F_1$ ).

However, there are several advantages. Identities (58), (59) and two remaining ones are in parameter free form and they make sense for any (appropriately regular) function on the variables t, x, y, z, while the previous (the classica) form depended on the non-intrinsic parameters u, v and w.

Another advantage is provided by the following

#### Proposition

The operators  $L_1 := x(\theta_t + \theta_x)$ ,  $L_2 := y(\theta_t + \theta_y)$ ,  $L_3 := z^{-1}(\theta_t + \theta_z)$  and  $L_4 := xyz\partial_t$  commute. Consequently, there exist coordinates  $\xi_1, ..., \xi_4$  on  $\mathbb{C}^4$  such that  $L_j = \partial_{\xi_j}$ .

Existence of such  $\partial_{\xi_1}, \partial_{\xi_2}, \partial_{\xi_3}$  and  $\partial_{\xi_4}$  follows from Frobenius' Theorem. However, in this case we can write them explicitly as:

$$\xi_1 = -x^{-1}, 
\xi_2 = -v^{-1}, 
\xi_3 = z, 
\xi_4 = (xyz)^{-1}t.$$

Existence of such  $\partial_{\xi_1}, \partial_{\xi_2}, \partial_{\xi_3}$  and  $\partial_{\xi_4}$  follows from Frobenius' Theorem. However, in this case we can write them explicitly as:

$$\xi_1 = -x^{-1}, 
\xi_2 = -v^{-1}, 
\xi_3 = z, 
\xi_4 = (xyz)^{-1}t.$$

#### Therefore

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \theta_{\scriptscriptstyle X} & = & -\theta_{\xi_1} - \theta_{\xi_4} \\ \theta_{\scriptscriptstyle Y} & = & -\theta_{\xi_2} - \theta_{\xi_4} \\ \theta_{\scriptscriptstyle Z} & = & \theta_{\xi_3} - \theta_{\xi_4} \\ \theta_{\scriptscriptstyle t} & = & \theta_{\xi_4}. \end{array}$$

The above analysis leads to the following

#### Theorem

Given complex numbers u, v and  $w \notin -\mathbb{N}$ , the function  $\Phi_{u,v,w}$  defined in (73) satisfies the system of partial differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta_{1} + \theta_{4} + u)\Phi_{u,v,w} &= 0\\ (\theta_{2} + \theta_{4} + v)\Phi_{u,v,w} &= 0\\ (-\theta_{3} + \theta_{4} + w - 1)\Phi_{u,v,w} &= 0\\ (\partial_{1}\partial_{2} - \partial_{3}\partial_{4})\Phi_{u,v,w} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The above analysis leads to the following

#### Theorem

Given complex numbers u, v and  $w \notin -\mathbb{N}$ , the function  $\Phi_{u,v,w}$  defined in (73) satisfies the system of partial differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta_1 + \theta_4 + u) \Phi_{u,v,w} &= 0 \\ (\theta_2 + \theta_4 + v) \Phi_{u,v,w} &= 0 \\ (-\theta_3 + \theta_4 + w - 1) \Phi_{u,v,w} &= 0 \\ (\partial_1 \partial_2 - \partial_3 \partial_4) \Phi_{u,v,w} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

First three equations can be written more simply, as

$$A\theta\Phi_{u,v,w}=0, (60)$$

with matrix A and  $\theta := (\theta_1, ..., \theta_4)$ .

Let A denote  $d \times n$  matrix of rank d with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Let A denote  $d \times n$  matrix of rank d with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Furthermore, assume, that

- The column vectos of A span  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- The row span of A contains the vector (1, 1, ..., 1).

Let A denote  $d \times n$  matrix of rank d with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Furthermore, assume, that

- The column vectos of A span  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- The row span of A contains the vector (1, 1, ..., 1).

#### **Definition**

Let  $u \in \mathbb{C}^d$ . Define

$$I_{A} = \{ \partial^{\alpha} - \partial^{\beta} : A\alpha = A\beta; \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d} \}.$$
 (61)

The GKZ hypergeometric system is the left ideal H(A, u) in the Weyl algebra generated by the union of  $I_A$  and  $A\theta - u$ . Solutions of GKZ systems are called A-hypergeometric functions.

Let A denote  $d \times n$  matrix of rank d with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Furthermore, assume, that

- The column vectos of A span  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- The row span of A contains the vector (1, 1, ..., 1).

#### **Definition**

Let  $u \in \mathbb{C}^d$ . Define

$$I_{A} = \{ \partial^{\alpha} - \partial^{\beta} : A\alpha = A\beta; \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d} \}.$$
 (61)

The GKZ hypergeometric system is the left ideal H(A, u) in the Weyl algebra generated by the union of  $I_A$  and  $A\theta - u$ . Solutions of GKZ systems are called A-hypergeometric functions.

GKZ stands for Gelfand, Kapranov and Zelevinsky, who first studied the general multivariable hypergeometric systems associated to A, u.

Let A denote  $d \times n$  matrix of rank d with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Furthermore, assume, that

- The column vectos of A span  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- The row span of A contains the vector (1, 1, ..., 1).

#### Definition

Let  $u \in \mathbb{C}^d$ . Define

$$I_{\mathcal{A}} = \{ \partial^{\alpha} - \partial^{\beta} : A\alpha = A\beta; \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d} \}.$$
 (61)

The GKZ hypergeometric system is the left ideal H(A, u) in the Weyl algebra generated by the union of  $I_A$  and  $A\theta - u$ . Solutions of GKZ systems are called A-hypergeometric functions.

GKZ stands for Gelfand, Kapranov and Zelevinsky, who first studied the general multivariable hypergeometric systems associated to A, u. Before, certain general hypergeometric functions were studied by Aomoto.

### Euler-Gauss function as GKZ hypergeometric system

As it has been allready seen before, the multivariable Euler-Gauss function satisfies GKZ system associated to the data

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{62}$$

and  $\bar{u} = (-u, -v, 1-w)$ .

# Appell function as GKZ hypergeometric system

Consider a GKZ system associated to the following data:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (63)

and 
$$\bar{u} = (-u, -v_1, -v_2, 1-w)$$
.

## Appell function as GKZ hypergeometric system

Consider a GKZ system associated to the following data:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (63)

and  $\bar{u} = (-u, -v_1, -v_2, 1-w)$ .

Theese data correspond to the function  $\Phi$  associated with Appell  $F_1$ .

### Appell function as GKZ hypergeometric system

Consider a GKZ system associated to the following data:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (63)

and  $\bar{u} = (-u, -v_1, -v_2, 1-w)$ .

Theese data correspond to the function  $\Phi$  associated with Appell  $F_1$ .

Note, that here  $I_A$  is not principal, i.e. we have

$$I_{A} = \langle \partial_{1}\partial_{2} - \partial_{3}\partial_{2}, \partial_{1}\partial_{5} - \partial_{3}\partial_{6}, \partial_{2}\partial_{6} - \partial_{4}\partial_{5} \rangle. \tag{64}$$

# 'Hypergeometric properties' of GKZ system

Solutions of GKZ system have properties analogous to the classical (including Euler-Gauss) hypergeometric functions. In "Generalized Euler integrals and A-hypergeometric functions (Adv. Math. 84, 255–271), Gelfand, Kapranov and Zelevinsky proved the following

#### Theorem (GKZ)

Let  $f_1, f_2, ..., f_n \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, ..., x_m]$ ,  $x, \beta \in \mathbb{C}^m$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Then

$$\int_{C} f_1^{\alpha_1} f_2^{\alpha_2} \dots f_n^{\alpha_n} x^{\beta} dx. \tag{65}$$

where C is an m-dimensional real cycle, are A-hypergeometric functions of the coefficients of the polynomials  $f_1, f_2, ..., f_n$ .

### The $\Gamma$ -series and Mellin-Barnes integral

Solutions of GKZ system can be represented as  $\Gamma$ -series

$$\sum_{m} \prod_{i \in J} \frac{t^{m_i}}{m_i!} \prod_{i \in I} \frac{t^{(Am)_i + u_i}}{\Gamma((Am)_i + u_i + 1)}.$$
 (66)

### The $\Gamma$ -series and Mellin-Barnes integral

Solutions of GKZ system can be represented as  $\Gamma$ -series

$$\sum_{m} \prod_{i \in I} \frac{t^{m_i}}{m_j!} \prod_{i \in I} \frac{t^{(Am)_i + u_i}}{\Gamma((Am)_i + u_i + 1)}.$$
 (66)

The numbers  $m = (m_1, m_2, ..., m_n)$  are divided to I and J, such tahat  $I \cap J = \emptyset$ , w. r. t. relation defining  $I_A$ .

### The $\Gamma$ -series and Mellin-Barnes integral

Solutions of GKZ system can be represented as  $\Gamma$ -series

$$\sum_{m} \prod_{i \in J} \frac{t^{m_j}}{m_j!} \prod_{i \in J} \frac{t^{(Am)_i + u_i}}{\Gamma((Am)_i + u_i + 1)}.$$
 (66)

The numbers  $m = (m_1, m_2, ..., m_n)$  are divided to I and J, such tahat  $I \cap J = \emptyset$ , w. r. t. relation defining  $I_A$ .

There is also a Mellin-Barnes representation, which can be regarded as continuous analog of the  $\Gamma$ -series.

# Multivariable polylogarithms

Let  $q_1, q_2, ..., q_r$  be linear forms in r variables.

### Multivariable polylogarithms

Let  $q_1, q_2, ..., q_r$  be linear forms in r variables. If the series

$$Li_{q_1,q_2,...,q_r}\begin{pmatrix} t_1,t_2,...,t_r \\ s_1,s_2,...,s_r \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{n>0}} \frac{t_1^{n_1}t_2^{n_2}...t_r^{n_r}}{q_1^{s_1}q_2^{s_2}...q_r^{s_r}}$$
(67)

converges, then we will call its sum multivariable polylogarithm (associated to  $q_1, q_2, ..., q_r$ ).

## Multivariable polylogarithms

Let  $q_1, q_2, ..., q_r$  be linear forms in r variables. If the series

$$Li_{q_1,q_2,...,q_r}\begin{pmatrix} t_1,t_2,...,t_r \\ s_1,s_2,...,s_r \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{n>0}} \frac{t_1^{n_1}t_2^{n_2}...t_r^{n_r}}{q_1^{s_1}q_2^{s_2}...q_r^{s_r}}$$
(67)

converges, then we will call its sum multivariable polylogarithm (associated to  $q_1, q_2, ..., q_r$ ).

If r = 1,  $t_1 = t$  and  $s_1 = s$ , then it reduces to familiar polylogarithm

$$Li_{q_1}\begin{pmatrix}t\\s\end{pmatrix} = \sum_{n>0} \frac{t^n}{n^s} = Li_s(t). \tag{68}$$

Of particular importance is MPL associated to forms  $q_1 = n_1$ ,  $q_2 = n_1 + n_2$ , ...,  $q_r = n_1 + ... + n_r$ .

Values at  $t_1 = ... = t_r$  of general MPL functions are special cases of Shintani zeta functions.

Of particular importance is MPL associated to forms  $q_1 = n_1$ ,  $q_2 = n_1 + n_2$ , ...,  $q_r = n_1 + ... + n_r$ . In this case we have

$$Li_{q_{1},q_{2},...,q_{r}}\begin{pmatrix} 1,1,...,1\\ s_{1},s_{2},...,s_{r} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{n_{i}>0} \frac{1}{n_{1}^{s_{1}}(n_{1}+n_{2})^{s_{2}}...(n_{1}+...+n_{r})^{s_{r}}}$$

$$= \zeta(s_{1},s_{2},...,s_{r}).$$
(69)

Values at  $t_1 = ... = t_r$  of general MPL functions are special cases of Shintani zeta functions.

Of particular importance is MPL associated to forms  $q_1 = n_1$ ,  $q_2 = n_1 + n_2$ , ...,  $q_r = n_1 + ... + n_r$ . In this case we have

$$Li_{q_{1},q_{2},...,q_{r}}\begin{pmatrix} 1,1,...,1\\ s_{1},s_{2},...,s_{r} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{n_{i}>0} \frac{1}{n_{1}^{s_{1}}(n_{1}+n_{2})^{s_{2}}...(n_{1}+...+n_{r})^{s_{r}}}$$

$$= \zeta(s_{1},s_{2},...,s_{r}). \tag{69}$$

We will denote multivariable polylogarithm associated to the above choice by

$$Li\begin{pmatrix} t_1, t_2, ..., t_r \\ s_1, s_2, ..., s_r \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{n_i > 0} \frac{t_1^{n_1} t_2^{n_2} ... t_r^{n_r}}{n_1^{s_1} (n_1 + n_2)^{s_2} ... (n_1 + ... + n_r)^{s_r}}.$$
(70)

Values at  $t_1 = ... = t_r$  of general MPL functions are special cases of Shintani zeta functions.

It is well known, that all classical (one variable) hypergeometric functions associated to multiple zeta values admit polylogarythmic series representations. For example if r=1 and s=2 (i.e. we deal with generating function of  $\zeta(\{2\}^n)$ ), then

$${}_{2}F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c|c}\lambda,-\lambda\\1\end{array}\right|\ t\right) = \sum_{n\geq 0} (-1)^{n}\lambda^{2n}Li_{\{2\}^{n}}(t) \tag{71}$$

and (from Gauss formula) we get

$${}_{2}F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c|c}\lambda,-\lambda\\1\end{array}\right)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\lambda)\Gamma(1-\lambda)}=\sum_{n\geqslant 0}(-1)^{n}\lambda^{2n}\zeta(\{2\}^{n}). \quad (72)$$

Unfortunatelly, such formulas are not known for general r > 1.

Unfortunatelly, such formulas are not known for general r>1. However if we consider generating functions from the point of view of multivariable polylogarithms (and GKZ functions associated to them), then formulas like the Drinfeld-Kontsevich integral can be used to obtain appropriate representations of generating functions.

Unfortunatelly, such formulas are not known for general r>1. However if we consider generating functions from the point of view of multivariable polylogarithms (and GKZ functions associated to them), then formulas like the Drinfeld-Kontsevich integral can be used to obtain apropriate representations of generating functions. To obtain theese formulas one uses the integral recurrence properties of multivariable polylogarithms.

Unfortunatelly, such formulas are not known for general r>1. However if we consider generating functions from the point of view of multivariable polylogarithms (and GKZ functions associated to them), then formulas like the Drinfeld-Kontsevich integral can be used to obtain apropriate representations of generating functions. To obtain theese formulas one uses the integral recurrence properties of multivariable polylogarithms. For example

$$Li\begin{pmatrix} uv, v \\ 2, 2 \end{pmatrix} = \int^{u} \int^{v} \frac{du_{1}}{u_{1}} \frac{dv_{1}}{v_{1}} \int^{u_{1}} \int^{v_{1}} \frac{u_{2}^{2}v_{2}}{(1 - u_{2}v_{2})(1 - v_{2})} \frac{du_{2}}{u_{2}} \frac{dv_{2}}{v_{2}}$$

$$Li\begin{pmatrix} uv, v \\ 3, 2 \end{pmatrix} = \int^{u} \frac{du_{0}}{u_{0}} \int^{u_{0}} \int^{v} \frac{du_{1}}{u_{1}} \frac{dv_{1}}{v_{1}}$$

$$\int^{u_{1}} \int^{v_{1}} \frac{u_{2}^{2}v_{2}}{(1 - u_{2}v_{2})(1 - v_{2})} \frac{du_{2}}{u_{2}} \frac{dv_{2}}{v_{2}}$$

$$(73)$$

and so on.

#### Questions

The best one may hope for is the generalization of Gauss formula

$$_{2}F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c|c}u,v\\w\end{array}\right|\ 1\right)=\frac{\Gamma(w)\Gamma(w-u-v)}{\Gamma(w-u)\Gamma(w-v)}$$
 (74)

to the context of (at least some) GKZ functions, with use of Euler-type integral representations, which are known.

#### Questions

The best one may hope for is the generalization of Gauss formula

$$_{2}F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c|c}u,v\\w\end{array}\right|\ 1\right)=\frac{\Gamma(w)\Gamma(w-u-v)}{\Gamma(w-u)\Gamma(w-v)}$$
 (74)

to the context of (at least some) GKZ functions, with use of Euler-type integral representations, which are known. And those could be used to obtain the generating functions not only for multiple zeta values, but their generalizations.

#### Questions

The best one may hope for is the generalization of Gauss formula

$$_{2}F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c|c}u,v\\w\end{array}\right|\ 1\right)=\frac{\Gamma(w)\Gamma(w-u-v)}{\Gamma(w-u)\Gamma(w-v)}$$
 (74)

to the context of (at least some) GKZ functions, with use of Euler-type integral representations, which are known. And those could be used to obtain the generating functions not only for multiple zeta values, but their generalizations.

Another way is to use symmetry properties of GKZ hypergeometric functions associated to Grassmannians one may find a lot of relations between multiple zeta values.

In mathematics, a Grassmannian Gr(k, V) is a (moduli) space which parameterizes all linear subspaces of a vector space V of dimension k.

In mathematics, a Grassmannian Gr(k, V) is a (moduli) space which parameterizes all linear subspaces of a vector space V of dimension k.

For example, the Grassmannian Gr(1, V) is the space of lines through the origin in V, so it is the same as the projective space P(V). The Grassmannians are compact, smooth manifolds. They are named in honor of Hermann Grassmann.

In mathematics, a Grassmannian Gr(k, V) is a (moduli) space which parameterizes all linear subspaces of a vector space V of dimension k.

For example, the Grassmannian Gr(1,V) is the space of lines through the origin in V, so it is the same as the projective space P(V). The Grassmannians are compact, smooth manifolds. They are named in honor of Hermann Grassmann.

From now on the base field is going to be  $\mathbb{C}$ .

In mathematics, a Grassmannian Gr(k, V) is a (moduli) space which parameterizes all linear subspaces of a vector space V of dimension k.

For example, the Grassmannian Gr(1,V) is the space of lines through the origin in V, so it is the same as the projective space P(V). The Grassmannians are compact, smooth manifolds. They are named in honor of Hermann Grassmann.

From now on the base field is going to be  $\mathbb{C}$ . We will also write Gr(k, n) instead of  $Gr(k, \mathbb{C}^n)$ .

Space Gr(1, n) parametrizes lines in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

Space Gr(1, n) parametrizes lines in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . It can be described with use of simple linear algebra and a group action.

Space Gr(1,n) parametrizes lines in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . It can be described with use of simple linear algebra and a group action. Every line in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  can be identified, up to rescaling, with homomorphism of rank one from z  $\mathbb{C}^n$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Space Gr(1,n) parametrizes lines in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . It can be described with use of simple linear algebra and a group action. Every line in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  can be identified, up to rescaling, with homomorphism of rank one from z  $\mathbb{C}^n$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Thus we have  $Gr(1,n)=\mathbb{C}^*\setminus(\mathbb{C}^n-\{0\})$ , or in more fancy way:  $Gr(1,n)=GL(1,\mathbb{C})\setminus hom_1(\mathbb{C}^n,\mathbb{C})$ , where the action is described by multiplication  $GL(1,\mathbb{C})\ni t.\phi\in hom_1(\mathbb{C}^n,\mathbb{C})$ .

Space Gr(1,n) parametrizes lines in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . It can be described with use of simple linear algebra and a group action. Every line in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  can be identified, up to rescaling, with homomorphism of rank one from z  $\mathbb{C}^n$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Thus we have  $Gr(1,n)=\mathbb{C}^*\setminus(\mathbb{C}^n-\{0\})$ , or in more fancy way:  $Gr(1,n)=GL(1,\mathbb{C})\setminus hom_1(\mathbb{C}^n,\mathbb{C})$ , where the action is described by multiplication  $GL(1,\mathbb{C})\ni t.\phi\in hom_1(\mathbb{C}^n,\mathbb{C})$ . It is standard to describe points of Gr(1,n) with use of classes of matrices  $[x_0,x_1,...,x_{n-1}]$ , where  $[x_0,x_1,...,x_{n-1}]=[y_0,y_1,...,y_{n-1}]$  if and only if  $(x_0,x_1,...,x_{n-1})=t\cdot (y_0,y_1,...,y_{n-1})$  dla  $t\in GL(1,\mathbb{R})$ .

### $\overline{Gr(1, n)}$ as a homogeneous space

#### Definition

Let G be a Lie group and  $H \subset G$  its closed subgroup. Homogeneous space is the quotient  $H \setminus G$  with the induced topology.

### $\overline{\mathit{Gr}(1,n)}$ as a homogeneous space

#### Definition

Let G be a Lie group and  $H \subset G$  its closed subgroup. Homogeneous space is the quotient  $H \setminus G$  with the induced topology.

Using hermitian form on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  one can proove that taking unitary projections in place of space  $hom_1(\mathbb{C}^n,\mathbb{C})$  and the unitary group U(1) in place of  $GL(1,\mathbb{C})$ , one gets the same space, i.e. we have  $Gr(1,n)=U(1)\times U(n-1)\setminus U(n)$ .

### $\overline{Gr(1,n)}$ as a homogeneous space

#### Definition

Let G be a Lie group and  $H \subset G$  its closed subgroup. Homogeneous space is the quotient  $H \setminus G$  with the induced topology.

Using hermitian form on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  one can proove that taking unitary projections in place of space  $hom_1(\mathbb{C}^n,\mathbb{C})$  and the unitary group U(1) in place of  $GL(1,\mathbb{C})$ , one gets the same space, i.e. we have  $Gr(1,n)=U(1)\times U(n-1)\setminus U(n)$ . This construction makes Gr(1,n) into a homogeneous space.

### $\overline{\mathit{Gr}(1,n)}$ as a homogeneous space

#### Definition

Let G be a Lie group and  $H \subset G$  its closed subgroup. Homogeneous space is the quotient  $H \setminus G$  with the induced topology.

Using hermitian form on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  one can proove that taking unitary projections in place of space  $hom_1(\mathbb{C}^n,\mathbb{C})$  and the unitary group U(1) in place of  $GL(1,\mathbb{C})$ , one gets the same space, i.e. we have  $Gr(1,n)=U(1)\times U(n-1)\setminus U(n)$ . This construction makes Gr(1,n) into a homogeneous space. Since unitary groups are compact, Gr(1,n) is a compact manifold.

## Atlas on Gr(1, n)

For homogeneous coordinates  $[x_0, x_1, ..., x_{n-1}]$  on Gr(1, n) there are n natural maps  $\varphi_i : Gr(1, n) \supset U_i \to \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ , where  $i \in \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$ ,  $U_i = \{[x_0, x_1, ..., x_{n-1}] : x_i \neq 0\}$  and  $\varphi_i([x_0, x_1, ..., x_{n-1}]) = (x_1, ..., x_{1-i}, x_{1+i}, ..., x_{n-1})/x_i$ .

## Atlas on Gr(1, n)

For homogeneous coordinates  $[x_0, x_1, ..., x_{n-1}]$  on Gr(1, n) there are n natural maps  $\varphi_i : Gr(1, n) \supset U_i \to \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ , where  $i \in \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$ ,  $U_i = \{[x_0, x_1, ..., x_{n-1}] : x_i \neq 0\}$  and  $\varphi_i([x_0, x_1, ..., x_{n-1}]) = (x_1, ..., x_{1-i}, x_{1+i}, ..., x_{n-1})/x_i$ .

On the intersections  $U_i \cap U_j$  map  $\varphi_i \circ \varphi_j^{-1} : U_i \cap U_j \to U_i \cap U_j$  is a diffeomorphism, thus we have an atlas on Gr(1, n).

Let us consider Grassmannian that is not a projective space,  $Gr(2,4,\mathbb{R})$ , parametrizing planes in  $\mathbb{C}^4$ .

Let us consider Grassmannian that is not a projective space,  $Gr(2,4,\mathbb{R})$ , parametrizing planes in  $\mathbb{C}^4$ .

Let  $hom_2(\mathbb{C}^4,\mathbb{C}^2)$ , denote homomorphisms of maximal rank.

Let us consider Grassmannian that is not a projective space,  $Gr(2,4,\mathbb{R})$ , parametrizing planes in  $\mathbb{C}^4$ .

Let  $hom_2(\mathbb{C}^4, \mathbb{C}^2)$ , denote homomorphisms of maximal rank.

Analogously to homogeneous coordinates on the projective spaces, we can consider equiv. classes of matrices  $x \in \text{hom}_2(\mathbb{C}^4, \mathbb{C}^2)$ , given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{00} & x_{01} & x_{02} & x_{03} \\ x_{00} & x_{01} & x_{02} & x_{03} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{75}$$

where we identify  $x, y \in \text{hom}_2(\mathbb{C}^4, \mathbb{C}^2)$ , if x = ty for some  $t \in GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ .

Let us consider Grassmannian that is not a projective space,  $Gr(2,4,\mathbb{R})$ , parametrizing planes in  $\mathbb{C}^4$ .

Let  $hom_2(\mathbb{C}^4, \mathbb{C}^2)$ , denote homomorphisms of maximal rank.

Analogously to homogeneous coordinates on the projective spaces, we can consider equiv. classes of matrices  $x \in \text{hom}_2(\mathbb{C}^4, \mathbb{C}^2)$ , given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{00} & x_{01} & x_{02} & x_{03} \\ x_{00} & x_{01} & x_{02} & x_{03} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{75}$$

where we identify  $x, y \in \text{hom}_2(\mathbb{C}^4, \mathbb{C}^2)$ , if x = ty for some  $t \in GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ .

Thus we have  $Gr(2,4) = GL(2,\mathbb{C}) \setminus \text{hom}_2(\mathbb{C}^4,\mathbb{C}^2)$ .

### $Gr(2,4,\mathbb{R})$ as a homogeneous space

As in the case of projective space,  $Gr(2,4,\mathbb{R})$  can be described as a homogeneous space. We can repace  $GL(2,\mathbb{C})\setminus \hom_2(\mathbb{C}^4,\mathbb{C}^2)$  by  $Gr(2,4)=U(2)\times U(2)\setminus U(4)$ .

### $Gr(2,4,\mathbb{R})$ as a homogeneous space

As in the case of projective space,  $Gr(2,4,\mathbb{R})$  can be described as a homogeneous space. We can repace  $GL(2,\mathbb{C})\setminus \hom_2(\mathbb{C}^4,\mathbb{C}^2)$  by  $Gr(2,4)=U(2)\times U(2)\setminus U(4)$ .

One can also construct open covering  $U_{ij} \subset Gr(2,4)$  and family of diffeomorphisms  $\varphi_{ij}: U_{ij} \to \mathbb{C}^4$ . Sets  $U_{ij}$  are defined so that the square matrix consisting of *i*-th and *j*-th collumn must be invertible.

### $Gr(2,4,\mathbb{R})$ as a homogeneous space

As in the case of projective space,  $Gr(2,4,\mathbb{R})$  can be described as a homogeneous space. We can repace  $GL(2,\mathbb{C})\setminus \hom_2(\mathbb{C}^4,\mathbb{C}^2)$  by  $Gr(2,4)=U(2)\times U(2)\setminus U(4)$ .

One can also construct open covering  $U_{ij} \subset Gr(2,4)$  and family of diffeomorphisms  $\varphi_{ij}: U_{ij} \to \mathbb{C}^4$ . Sets  $U_{ij}$  are defined so that the square matrix consisting of *i*-th and *j*-th collumn must be invertible.

For example, we have

$$\varphi_{01}\left(\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & x_{02} & x_{03} \\ 0 & 1 & x_{02} & x_{03} \end{array}\right]\right) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} x_{02} & x_{03} \\ x_{02} & x_{03} \end{array}\right). \tag{76}$$

### General $Gr(k, n, \mathbb{F})$

In the same way as above, we can describe all Grassmannians.

### General $Gr(k, n, \mathbb{F})$

In the same way as above, we can describe all Grassmannians.

We have

$$Gr(k, n, \mathbb{R}) = O(k) \times O(n-k) \setminus O(n), \tag{77}$$

$$Gr(k, n, \mathbb{C}) = U(k) \times U(n-k) \setminus U(n), \tag{78}$$

$$Gr(k, n, \mathbb{H}) = Sp(k) \times Sp(n-k) \setminus Sp(n). \tag{79}$$

Consider submanifold  $\tau$  in  $Gr(k, n, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}^n$ , given by set of pairs x, V(x), where  $x \in Gr(k, n, \mathbb{C})$  and  $V(x) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  is the vector space cooresponding to x.

Consider submanifold  $\tau$  in  $Gr(k,n,\mathbb{C})\times\mathbb{C}^n$ , given by set of pairs x,V(x), where  $x\in Gr(k,n,\mathbb{C})$  and  $V(x)\subset\mathbb{C}^n$  is the vector space cooresponding to x. Manifold  $\tau$  possess natural vector bundle structure over  $Gr(k,n,\mathbb{C})$ . We will call  $\tau$  tautological bundle.

Consider submanifold  $\tau$  in  $Gr(k,n,\mathbb{C})\times\mathbb{C}^n$ , given by set of pairs x,V(x), where  $x\in Gr(k,n,\mathbb{C})$  and  $V(x)\subset\mathbb{C}^n$  is the vector space cooresponding to x. Manifold  $\tau$  possess natural vector bundle structure over  $Gr(k,n,\mathbb{C})$ . We will call  $\tau$  tautological bundle.

Let  $\sigma$  be the quotient bundle  $(Gr(k, n, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}^n)/\tau$ . By definition, bundle  $\tau \oplus \sigma$  is trivial.

Consider submanifold  $\tau$  in  $Gr(k,n,\mathbb{C})\times\mathbb{C}^n$ , given by set of pairs x,V(x), where  $x\in Gr(k,n,\mathbb{C})$  and  $V(x)\subset\mathbb{C}^n$  is the vector space cooresponding to x. Manifold  $\tau$  possess natural vector bundle structure over  $Gr(k,n,\mathbb{C})$ . We will call  $\tau$  tautological bundle.

Let  $\sigma$  be the quotient bundle  $(Gr(k, n, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}^n)/\tau$ . By definition, bundle  $\tau \oplus \sigma$  is trivial.

We have  $TGr(k, n, \mathbb{C}) \simeq hom(\tau, \sigma)$ .

Assume  $x \in Gr(k, n, \mathbb{C})$  corresponds to  $V = V(x) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ , spanned by  $v_1, v_2, ..., v_k$ . Then the mapping  $(v_1, v_2, ..., v_k) \mapsto v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge ... \wedge v_k$  induces an embedding  $p : Gr(k, n, \mathbb{C}) \to P(\wedge^k \mathbb{C}^n)$ .

Assume  $x \in Gr(k, n, \mathbb{C})$  corresponds to  $V = V(x) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ , spanned by  $v_1, v_2, ..., v_k$ . Then the mapping  $(v_1, v_2, ..., v_k) \mapsto v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge ... \wedge v_k$  induces an embedding  $p : Gr(k, n, \mathbb{C}) \to P(\wedge^k \mathbb{C}^n)$ . It is, so called, Plücker embedding, making  $Gr(k, n, \mathbb{C})$  into a compact complex algebraic variety.

Assume  $x \in Gr(k, n, \mathbb{C})$  corresponds to  $V = V(x) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ , spanned by  $v_1, v_2, ..., v_k$ . Then the mapping  $(v_1, v_2, ..., v_k) \mapsto v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge ... \wedge v_k$  induces an embedding  $p : Gr(k, n, \mathbb{C}) \to P(\wedge^k \mathbb{C}^n)$ . It is, so called, Plücker embedding, making  $Gr(k, n, \mathbb{C})$  into a compact complex algebraic variety. We will call coordinates  $p(x_{ij}) =: p_{ij}$  Plücker coordinates.

Assume  $x \in Gr(k, n, \mathbb{C})$  corresponds to  $V = V(x) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ , spanned by  $v_1, v_2, ..., v_k$ . Then the mapping  $(v_1, v_2, ..., v_k) \mapsto v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge ... \wedge v_k$  induces an embedding  $p : Gr(k, n, \mathbb{C}) \to P(\wedge^k \mathbb{C}^n)$ . It is, so called, Plücker embedding, making  $Gr(k, n, \mathbb{C})$  into a compact complex algebraic variety. We will call coordinates  $p(x_{ij}) =: p_{ij}$  Plücker coordinates.

We have 
$$(\tau \to Gr(k, n, \mathbb{C})) = p^*(\tau \to P(\wedge^k \mathbb{C}^n))$$
, where  $(\tau \to P(\wedge^k \mathbb{C}^n)) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{P(\wedge^k \mathbb{C}^n)}(1)$ .

Assume  $x \in Gr(k, n, \mathbb{C})$  corresponds to  $V = V(x) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ , spanned by  $v_1, v_2, ..., v_k$ . Then the mapping  $(v_1, v_2, ..., v_k) \mapsto v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge ... \wedge v_k$  induces an embedding  $p : Gr(k, n, \mathbb{C}) \to P(\wedge^k \mathbb{C}^n)$ . It is, so called, Plücker embedding, making  $Gr(k, n, \mathbb{C})$  into a compact complex algebraic variety. We will call coordinates  $p(x_{ij}) =: p_{ij}$  Plücker coordinates.

We have  $(\tau \to Gr(k, n, \mathbb{C})) = p^*(\tau \to P(\wedge^k \mathbb{C}^n))$ , where  $(\tau \to P(\wedge^k \mathbb{C}^n)) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{P(\wedge^k \mathbb{C}^n)}(1)$ . Thus  $(\wedge^n \tau^* \to Gr(k, n, \mathbb{C}))$ , where  $\tau^*$  denotes the bundle that is dual to  $\tau$ .

From the integral representation of  $\Phi$  it follows that under the action of  $g \in GL(k,\mathbb{C})$  on  $Gr(k,n,\mathbb{C})$  the formula transforms as follows

$$\Phi(\alpha, gx) = (\det g)^{-1}\Phi(\alpha, x), \tag{80}$$

i.e.  $\Phi$  is  $SL(k, \mathbb{C})$ -automorphic.

From the integral representation of  $\Phi$  it follows that under the action of  $g \in GL(k,\mathbb{C})$  on  $Gr(k,n,\mathbb{C})$  the formula transforms as follows

$$\Phi(\alpha, gx) = (\det g)^{-1}\Phi(\alpha, x), \tag{80}$$

i.e.  $\Phi$  is  $SL(k,\mathbb{C})$ -automorphic.

Bundle  $(\wedge^k \tau^* \to Gr(k, n, \mathbb{C}))$  admits a lift  $(\eta \to hom(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^k))$  associated with the quotient construction. So, we have  $\wedge^k \tau^* \simeq SL(k, \mathbb{C}) \setminus hom_k(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^k)$ .

From the integral representation of  $\Phi$  it follows that under the action of  $g \in GL(k,\mathbb{C})$  on  $Gr(k,n,\mathbb{C})$  the formula transforms as follows

$$\Phi(\alpha, gx) = (\det g)^{-1}\Phi(\alpha, x), \tag{80}$$

i.e.  $\Phi$  is  $SL(k,\mathbb{C})$ -automorphic.

Bundle  $(\wedge^k \tau^* \to Gr(k, n, \mathbb{C}))$  admits a lift  $(\eta \to hom(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^k))$  associated with the quotient construction. So, we have  $\wedge^k \tau^* \simeq SL(k, \mathbb{C}) \setminus hom_k(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^k)$ .

Thus  $\Phi$  can be interpreted as a section of  $(\wedge^k \tau^* \to Gr(k, n, \mathbb{C}))$ . This means that  $\Phi$  depends only on the geometrical properties (of the canonical bundle over)  $Gr(k, n, \mathbb{C})$ .

From the integral representation of  $\Phi$  it follows that under the action of  $g \in GL(k,\mathbb{C})$  on  $Gr(k,n,\mathbb{C})$  the formula transforms as follows

$$\Phi(\alpha, gx) = (\det g)^{-1}\Phi(\alpha, x), \tag{80}$$

i.e.  $\Phi$  is  $SL(k,\mathbb{C})$ -automorphic.

Bundle  $(\wedge^k \tau^* \to Gr(k, n, \mathbb{C}))$  admits a lift  $(\eta \to hom(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^k))$  associated with the quotient construction. So, we have  $\wedge^k \tau^* \simeq SL(k, \mathbb{C}) \setminus hom_k(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^k)$ .

Thus  $\Phi$  can be interpreted as a section of  $(\wedge^k \tau^* \to Gr(k, n, \mathbb{C}))$ . This means that  $\Phi$  depends only on the geometrical properties (of the canonical bundle over)  $Gr(k, n, \mathbb{C})$ . In this way GKZ system defines the connection  $\nabla_{\tau}$  on  $\wedge^n \tau \otimes E$ .

### Hypergeometric function on $Gr(k, n, \mathbb{C})$

There are natural actions of  $GL(k,\mathbb{C})$  and  $GL(n,\mathbb{C})$  on  $hom(\mathbb{C}^n,\mathbb{C}^k)$ . So is the action of maximal torus  $T^n \subset GL(n,\mathbb{C})$ .

### Hypergeometric function on $Gr(k, n, \mathbb{C})$

There are natural actions of  $GL(k,\mathbb{C})$  and  $GL(n,\mathbb{C})$  on  $hom(\mathbb{C}^n,\mathbb{C}^k)$ . So is the action of maximal torus  $T^n \subset GL(n,\mathbb{C})$ . Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1,...,\alpha_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\alpha_1 + ... + \alpha_n = n - k$ . Hypergeometric functions on Grassmannian Gr(k,n) are solutions of the system

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{ir} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_{ir}} = (\alpha_r - 1)\Phi, \tag{81}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{ir} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_{jr}} = -\delta_{ij} \Phi, \tag{82}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x_{ir} \partial x_{js}} = \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x_{is} \partial x_{jr}}.$$
 (83)

Coordinates  $x_{ir}$  are entries of a matrix  $x \in hom(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^k)$ .

### Hypergeometric function on $Gr(k, n, \mathbb{C})$

There are natural actions of  $GL(k,\mathbb{C})$  and  $GL(n,\mathbb{C})$  on  $hom(\mathbb{C}^n,\mathbb{C}^k)$ . So is the action of maximal torus  $T^n \subset GL(n,\mathbb{C})$ . Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1,...,\alpha_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\alpha_1 + ... + \alpha_n = n - k$ . Hypergeometric functions on Grassmannian Gr(k,n) are solutions of the system

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{ir} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_{ir}} = (\alpha_r - 1)\Phi, \tag{81}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{ir} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_{jr}} = -\delta_{ij} \Phi, \tag{82}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x_{ir} \partial x_{js}} = \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x_{is} \partial x_{jr}}.$$
 (83)

Coordinates  $x_{ir}$  are entries of a matrix  $x \in hom(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^k)$ . Equations (81) correspond to action of the torus, while relations (82) give the invariance under the action of  $\mathfrak{gl}(k)$ .

## Hypergeometric function on Gr(k, n)

Solutions  $\Phi = \Phi(\alpha, x)$  depend on variables  $x \in hom(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^k)$  and parameters  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^n$ .

### Hypergeometric function on Gr(k, n)

Solutions  $\Phi = \Phi(\alpha, x)$  depend on variables  $x \in hom(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^k)$  and parameters  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^n$ .

Gerlfand, Graev, Kapranov and Zalevinsky studied properties of systems (81)-(83) in papers:

"Holonomic systems of equations and series of hypergeometric type",

"Hypergeometric functions and toric varietes",

"Generalized Euler integrals and A-hypergeometric functions".

# THANK YOU!

- Aomoto K., Kita M., *Theory of hypergeometric functions*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics, 2011.
- Cattani E., *Three lectures on hypergeometric functions*. Lecture notes, 2006.
- Gelfand I., General theory of hypergeometric functions. Soviet Math. Dokl. 33 (1986), p. 573 577.
- Gelfand I., Gelfand S., General theory of hypergeometric systems. Soviet Math. Dokl. 33 (1986), p. 279 283.
- Gelfand I., Graev M., *GG Functions and their Relations to General Hypergeometric Functions.* Letters in Math. Phys. 50 no. 1 (1999), p. 1 28.
- Gelfand I., Kapranov M., Zalevinsky A. V., *Equations of hypergeometric type and Newton polytopes*. Soviet Math. Dokl., 37 (1988), p. 678 683.
- Gelfand I., Kapranov M., Zalevinsky A. V., *Hypergeometric functions* and toric varieties. Funct. Anal. and Appl. 23 no. 2 (1989), 12 26.

- Graev M., General Hypergeometric Functions. Funct. Anal. and Appl. 26 no. 2 (1992), 131 133.
- Stienstra J., GKZ hypergeometric structures. math.AG/0511351.
  - Zagier D., Values of zeta functions and their applications. in First European Congress of Mathematics (Paris, 1992), Vol. II, A. Joseph et. al. (eds.), Birkhäuser, Basel, 1994, str. 497-512
- Zakrzewski M., *GKZ systems and multiple zeta values*. (In preparation)
- Żołądek H., The monodromy group. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2006.