

Structural properties and exponential ergodicity for equations with Lévy noise

Enrico Priola

University of Torino (Italy)

Joint work with A. Shirikyan, L. Xu and J. Zabczyk

Wroclaw - 7th Conference on Lévy Processes - July 2013

A motivating example

Consider the following semilinear parabolic SPDE in a bounded domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with regular boundary ∂D :

$$\begin{cases} dX(t, \xi) = [\Delta X(t, \xi) + F(X(t, \xi))]dt + dZ_t(\xi), \\ X(0, \xi) = x(\xi), \quad \xi \in D, \\ X(t, \xi) = 0, \quad \xi \in \partial D, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

The Laplace operator $-\Delta$ with the Dirichlet boundary condition has a discrete spectrum $\{\gamma_k\}$ in $H = L^2(D)$. We denote by $\{e_k\}$ the basis consisting of its normalised eigenfunctions.

If for simplicity take $D = [0, \pi]^d$ then

$$e_k(\xi) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \sin(k_1 \xi_1) \cdots \sin(k_d \xi_d), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}^d, \quad \xi \in D.$$

It is easy to see that $\Delta e_k = -|k|^2 e_k$, i.e.

$$\gamma_k = |k|^2 = k_1^2 + \dots + k_d^2.$$

We consider $Z = (Z_t) = (Z(t, \cdot))$ as a **cylindrical α -stable noise** of the form

$$Z_t(\xi) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_k z_k(t) e_k(\xi), \quad t \geq 0, \quad \xi \in D,$$

where $\{z_k(t)\}_k$ are i.i.d. real symmetric **α -stable processes** with $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, i.e.,

$$E[e^{i\lambda z_k(t)}] = e^{-t|\lambda|^\alpha},$$

$t \geq 0, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, k \geq 1$.

Moreover **β_k are positive numbers** (for instance, $\beta_k = 1, k \geq 1$).

We want to study the dynamics defined by (1) in the Hilbert space $H = L^2(D)$. Assuming that

$F : H \rightarrow H$ is Lipschitz continuous and bounded

we will give conditions on (β_n) and (γ_n) such that there exists a unique **invariant measure** μ and we have **exponential convergence to μ in the total variation norm**.

Precisely if $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ we prove that there exists $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(H)$ so that for any $p \in (0, \alpha)$, we have (where $X_t^x = X^x(t, \cdot)$ starts from $x \in H$)

$$\|\mathcal{L}aw(X_t^x) - \mu\|_{TV} \leq Ce^{-ct} (1 + |x|^p), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (2)$$

where C and c depends on $(p, \alpha, \|F\|_0, \beta = (\beta_k)$ and $\gamma = (\gamma_k)$; we have set $|x| = |x|_H$.

Recall the *total variation distance*: if $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}(H)$ then

$$\|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_{TV} = \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\substack{f \in B_b(H) \\ \|f\|_0=1}} |\mu_1(f) - \mu_2(f)| = \sup_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{B}(H)} |\mu_1(\Gamma) - \mu_2(\Gamma)|.$$

where $\mu(f) = \int_H f d\mu, f \in B_b(H)$ (i.e., $f : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Borel and bounded); $\|\cdot\|_0$ is the supremum norm.

We write our SDE in the abstract form

$$dX_t = [AX_t + F(X_t)]dt + dZ_t, \quad X_0 = x \in H, \quad (3)$$

where H is a given real separable Hilbert space with basis (e_k) .

Hypothesis

(A1) A is a dissipative (self-adjoint) operator defined by

$$A = \sum_{k \geq 1} (-\gamma_k) e_k \otimes e_k$$

with $0 < \gamma_1 \leq \gamma_2 \leq \dots \leq \gamma_k \leq \dots$ and $\gamma_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

(A2) Z_t is a cylindrical α -stable process with $Z_t = \sum_{k \geq 1} \beta_k z_k(t) e_k$ where $\{z_k(t)\}_{k \geq 1}$ are i.i.d. symmetric α -stable processes with $0 < \alpha < 2$ and β_k are positive constants such that, for some $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$,

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\beta_k^\alpha}{\gamma_k^{1-\alpha\epsilon}} < \infty$$

(A3) $F : H \rightarrow H$ is Lipschitz and bounded.

(A4) There exist some $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and $C > 0$ so that $\beta_k \geq C\gamma_k^{-\theta+1/\alpha}$ (if $\beta_k \equiv 1$ then $\theta = \frac{1}{\alpha}$ and $\alpha > 1$).

Basic properties

$$D(A) = \{x = (x_n) \in H : \sum_{n \geq 1} x_n^2 \gamma_n^2 < +\infty\}.$$

In addition A generates a compact C_0 -semigroup (e^{tA}) on H such that

$$e^{tA} e_k = e^{-\gamma_k t} e_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

If $F = 0$ our equation is an infinite sequence of independent one dimensional stochastic equations, i.e.,

$$dX_t^n = -\gamma_n X_t^n dt + \beta_n dZ_t^n, \quad X_0^n = x_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

with $x = (x_n) \in l^2 \sim H$, i.e.

$$X_t^n = e^{-\gamma_n t} x_n + \int_0^t e^{-\gamma_n(t-s)} \beta_n dZ_s^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad t \geq 0$$

A predictable H -valued stochastic process $X = (X_t^x)$, depending on $x \in H$, is a *mild solution* if, for any $t \geq 0$, $x \in H$, it holds ($P - a.s.$):

$$X_t^x = e^{tA}x + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} F(X_s^x) ds + Z_A(t), \quad \text{with}$$

$$Z_A(t) = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} dZ_s = \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(\int_0^t e^{-\gamma_n(t-s)} \beta_n dZ_s^n \right) e_n.$$

Theorem (P. Zabczyk PTRF 2011)

Assume $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ and (A1), (A2) and (A3) (even with $\epsilon = 0$) and $x \in H$.

There exists a unique mild solution $X^x = (X_t^x)$. Moreover,

- (i) X^x is stochastically continuous;
- (ii) for any $x \in H$, $T > 0$, X^x has trajectories in $L^p(0, T; H)$, for any $0 < p < \alpha$, P -a.s.;
- (iii) $X^x = (X_t^x)$ is a Markov-Feller process.

Let us denote by P_t the transition semigroup associated to X^x , i.e.

$$P_t f(x) = E[f(X_t^x)].$$

Moreover for $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(H)$ we set $(P_t^* \mu)(f) = \int_H (P_t f)(x) \mu(dx)$, $f \in B_b(H)$.

Some references

- G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk, *Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions*, Cambridge University Press (1992)
- S. Peszat and J. Zabczyk, *Stochastic partial differential equations with Lévy noise*, Cambridge University Press (2007).
- A. Shirikyan, Exponential mixing for randomly forced partial differential equations: method of coupling, *Int. Math. Ser.* 2008.
- A. M. Kulik, Exponential ergodicity of the solutions to SDE's with a jump noise, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 2009.
- E. Priola and J. Zabczyk, Structural properties of semilinear SPDEs driven by cylindrical stable processes, *Probab. Theory Related Fields* 2011.
- E. Priola, A. Shirikyan, L. Xu, J. Zabczyk, Exponential ergodicity and regularity for equations with Lévy noise, *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* 2012.
- M. Hairer, *An introduction to Stochastic PDEs*, <http://www.hairer.org/notes/SPDEs.pdf>.
- Z. Dong, L. Xu and X. Zhang [Preprint Arxiv 2012]: exponential ergodicity for stochastic Burger equations with α -stable noise Lévy noise like

$$\partial_t u = u_{xx} - uu_x + \xi_t$$

The main convergence result

Theorem (P.-Xu-Shirikyan-Zabczyk 2012)

Let $\alpha \in (1, 2)$. There exists $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(H)$ so that for any $p \in (0, \alpha)$ and any measure $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(H)$ with finite p^{th} moment, we have

$$\|P_t^* \nu - \mu\|_{\text{TV}} \leq C e^{-ct} \left(1 + \int_H |x|^p \nu(dx) \right), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (4)$$

where $C = C(p, \alpha, \theta, \beta, \gamma, \epsilon, \|F\|_0)$ and $c = c(p, \alpha, \theta, \beta, \gamma, \epsilon, \|F\|_0)$.

Remark

Let us come back to the stochastic semilinear equation on $D = [0, \pi]^d$ with $d \geq 1$

$$\begin{cases} dX(t, \xi) = [\Delta X(t, \xi) + F(X(t, \xi))]dt + dZ_t(\xi), \\ X(0, \xi) = x(\xi), \\ X(t, \xi) = 0, \quad \xi \in \partial D, \end{cases}$$

Δ with Dirichlet B.C.

Consider the dynamics in $H = L^2(D)$ with basis of eigenfunctions $\{e_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d}$ and

$$Z_t = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d} |k|^\beta z_k(t) e_k$$

where $\{z_k(t)\}_k$ are i.i.d. symmetric α -stable processes with $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{|k|^{\beta\alpha}}{|k|^2} < \infty$$

if and only if $2 > d + \alpha\beta$.

From the main result we get

If F is a bounded Lipschitz function and

$$2 > d + \alpha\beta, \quad \frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{\beta}{2} < 1,$$

or equivalently, $\frac{d}{\alpha} < \frac{2}{\alpha} - \beta < 2$, then the system is **exponentially ergodic**.

Structural properties of the SPDEs

To prove the convergence result we also need

Theorem (P. - Zabczyk 2011)

Let us consider the unique mild solution (X_t^x) (this form a Markov process with the transition semigroup P_t).

The process is irreducible.

Moreover, if $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$|P_t f(x) - P_t f(y)| \leq \frac{C \|f\|_0}{t^{1/\theta} \wedge 1} |x - y|, \quad x, y \in H, \quad t > 0 \quad (5)$$

where θ is given in (A4).

Estimate (5) implies the *uniform strong Feller property*.

Irreducibility means that for any $t_0 > 0$ we have

$$P_{t_0}(x, A) > 0$$

for any $x \in H$ and A non-empty open set ($P_{t_0}(x, \cdot)$ is the transition probability of X^x at $t = t_0$).

The starting point of the proof of the convergence result

- The existence of an invariant measure can be established by a compactness argument (see [P.-Xu-Zabczyk2011]). Also uniqueness follows from irreducibility and strong Feller property.
- One can prove that any invariant measure μ has finite p^{th} moment ($p < \alpha$):

$$m_p(\mu) := \int_H |x|^p \mu(dx) < \infty \quad \text{for any } p \in (0, \alpha).$$

To this purpose one can use that for all $t > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$E(|X_t^x|^p \wedge n) \leq (C_p e^{-p\gamma_1 t} |x|^p) \wedge n + C, \quad (6)$$

where $C = C(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, p, \|F\|_0)$. Integrating this inequality against $\mu(dx)$, we get easily the assertion by passing to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

A useful inequality

To prove the exponential convergence it suffices to show that for some $T > 0$

$$\|P_{kT}(x_1, \cdot) - P_{kT}(x_2, \cdot)\|_{\text{TV}} \leq C(1 + |x_1|^p + |x_2|^p)e^{-ckT}, \quad x_1, x_2 \in H, \quad k \geq 1, \quad (7)$$

where

$$P_{kT}(x_1) = P_{kT}^*(\delta_{x_1}) \text{ is the law of } X_{kT}^{x_1}$$

C and c are positive constants not depending on x_1, x_2 , and k .

Indeed, if (7) is established, then for any measures $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathcal{P}(H)$ with finite p^{th} moment we derive

$$\|P_{kT}^*\nu_1 - P_{kT}^*\nu_2\|_{\text{TV}} \leq C(1 + m_p(\nu_1) + m_p(\nu_2))e^{-ckT}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (8)$$

(this implies, in particular, that an invariant measure is unique).

Writing any $t \geq 0$ in the form $t = kT + s$ with $0 \leq s < T$ one obtains (see also (6))

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_t^*\nu_1 - P_t^*\nu_2\|_{\text{TV}} &= \|P_{kT}^*(P_s^*\nu_1) - P_{kT}^*(P_s^*\nu_2)\|_{\text{TV}} \\ &\leq C(1 + m_p(P_s^*\nu_1) + m_p(P_s^*\nu_2))e^{-ckT} \\ &\leq C_1(1 + m_p(\nu_1) + m_p(\nu_2))e^{-ct}. \end{aligned}$$

How to get (7)?

To prove (7) and so the exponential ergodicity there are two approaches. The first one is by adapting a classical Harris' theorem (1956). The other is by a coupling argument which goes back to Doeblin (1940).

An idea on the Doeblin method (more intuition but more technically involved)

Let us fix a large $T > 0$ and consider the restriction of the Markov process (X_t^x) , $x \in H$, to the times kT proportional to T , $k \geq 1$.

We denote by (Y_k) the resulting discrete-time Markov process, by \mathbb{P}_x the corresponding family of probability measures, and by $P_k(x, \Gamma)$ the transition function.

By the structural properties of the SPDEs if initial points $x_1, x_2 \in H$ are such that $|x_1 - x_2| \leq r$, with a sufficiently small $r > 0$, then

$$\|P_1(x_1, \cdot) - P_1(x_2, \cdot)\|_{\text{TV}} \leq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (9)$$

An idea on the coupling method by Doeblin

Now let (Y_k^1, Y_k^2) be a homogeneous discrete-time Markov process in the extended phase space $H \times H$ such that the following properties hold for the pair (Y_1^1, Y_1^2) under the law $\mathbb{P}_{(x_1, x_2)}$ corresponding to the initial point (x_1, x_2) :

- (a) The laws of Y_1^1 and Y_1^2 coincide with $P_1(x_1, \cdot)$ and $P_1(x_2, \cdot)$, respectively.
- (b) If $\max(|x_1|, |x_2|) > r$ and $x_1 \neq x_2$, then the random variables Y_1^1 and Y_1^2 are independent.
- (c) If $\max(|x_1|, |x_2|) \leq r$ and $x_1 \neq x_2$, then

$$P_{(x_1, x_2)}\{Y_1^1 \neq Y_1^2\} = \|P_1(x_1, \cdot) - P_1(x_2, \cdot)\|_{\text{TV}}.$$

- (d) If $x_1 = x_2$, then $Y_1^1 = Y_1^2$ with probability 1.

Such chain can be constructed with the help of maximal coupling of measures.

A pair of random variables (ξ_1, ξ_2) defined on the same probability space is called a *coupling* for μ_1 and $\mu_2 \in P(H)$ if

$$D(\xi_i) = \mu_i$$

for $i = 1, 2$, where $D(\cdot)$ denotes the distribution. A coupling (ξ_1, ξ_2) is *maximal* if

$$P\{\xi_1 \neq \xi_2\} = \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_{TV},$$

and the random variable ξ_1 and ξ_2 conditioned on the event $N := \{\xi_1 \neq \xi_2\}$ are independent (see Lindvall, Lectures on the Coupling method, 2002).

Now let us construct an auxiliary Markov chain in $H \times H$.

Let $T > 0$ be some fixed real number to be chosen later. For any $x := (x_1, x_2) \in H \times H$,

$$M(x) = (M_1(x), M_2(x))$$

is the maximal coupling of $(P_T)^* \delta_{x_1}$ and $(P_T)^* \delta_{x_2}$. Let us define a transition function $\tilde{P}_T(x, \cdot)$ on $H \times H$ such that

$$\tilde{P}_T(x; A_1 \times A_2) = \begin{cases} P_T(x_1, A_1 \cap A_2) & \text{if } x_1 = x_2, \\ D(M_1(x), M_2(x))(A_1 \times A_2) & \text{if } x_1, x_2 \in B(r) \text{ with } x_1 \neq x_2, \\ P_T(x_1, A_1)P_T(x_2, A_2) & \text{otherwise, } A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{B}(H), \end{cases}$$

$P_T(x_i, \cdot)$ is the transition probability of $X_T^{x_i}$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Combining properties (a)–(d) with irreducibility of (Y_k) and inequality (9), it is possible to prove that the coupling stopping time

$$\rho = \min\{k \geq 0 : Y_k^1 = Y_k^2\}$$

is $\mathbb{P}_{(x_1, x_2)}$ -almost surely finite and has a finite exponential moment, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{P}_{(x_1, x_2)}\{\rho > k\} \leq C e^{-\eta k T} (1 + |x_1|^p + |x_2|^p), \quad (10)$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2) \in H \times H$ is arbitrary, and the positive constants η and C do not depend on x .

Now it follows from (d) that $Y_k^1 = Y_k^2$ for $k \geq \rho$. We can thus write

$$\begin{aligned} |P_k(x_1, \Gamma) - P_k(x_2, \Gamma)| &= |\mathbb{E}_{(x_1, x_2)}(I_\Gamma(Y_k^1) - I_\Gamma(Y_k^2))| \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}_{(x_1, x_2)}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\rho > k\}} |1_\Gamma(Y_k^1) - 1_\Gamma(Y_k^2)|\right) \leq \mathbb{P}_{(x_1, x_2)}\{\rho > k\}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where $\Gamma \subset H$ is an arbitrary Borel subset and I_Γ stands for its indicator function.

Finally, by taking the supremum over Γ , with constants independent of x_1, x_2 , and k ,

$$\|P_{kT}(x_1, \cdot) - P_{kT}(x_2, \cdot)\|_{\text{TV}} \leq C (1 + |x_1|^p + |x_2|^p) e^{-\eta k T}, \quad x_1, x_2 \in H. \quad (12)$$

The Harris approach

We concentrate on the Harris approach. For a surprisingly short and nice proof of the next result we refer to Hairer's lecture notes

Theorem

Let P_t be a Markov semigroup in the Polish space X such that there exists $T_0 > 0$ and measurable $V : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfies:

(i) there exists $\gamma < 1$ and $K > 0$ such that $P_{T_0}V(x) \leq \gamma V(x) + K$, $x \in X$.

(ii) for every $R > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\|P_{T_0}^* \delta_x - P_{T_0}^* \delta_y\|_{TV} \leq 2 - \delta,$$

for all $x, y \in X$ such that $V(x) + V(y) \leq R$.

Then there exist some $T > 0$ and $\beta < 1$ such that

$$\int_X (1 + V(x)) |P_T^* \mu - P_T^* \nu|(dx) \leq \beta \int_X (1 + V(x)) |\mu - \nu|(dx).$$

The key point for Harris' theorem approach is to guess the Liapunov function V and to check conditions (i) and (ii).

Note that the desired estimate (7) holds if we are able to apply the Harris theorem to equation (3) with

$$V(x) = |x|^p$$

and $p \in (0, \alpha)$. Indeed, once this is done, we obtain that there exists $T > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{kT}(x_1, \cdot) - P_{kT}(x_2, \cdot)\|_{TV} &\leq \int_H (1 + V(x)) |P_{kT}^* \delta_{x_1} - P_{kT}^* \delta_{x_2}|(dx) \\ &\leq \beta^k \int_H (1 + V(x)) |\delta_{x_1} - \delta_{x_2}|(dx) \\ &\leq 2\beta^k (1 + |x_1|^p + |x_2|^p), \quad k \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

This immediately implies (7).

Checking conditions (i) and (ii) of the Harris theorem

Define, for any $\epsilon \geq 0$,

$$H^\epsilon = \left\{ x = \sum_{k \geq 1} x_k e_k \in H : \sum_{k \geq 1} \gamma_k^{2\epsilon} |x_k|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

Note that H^ϵ coincides with the domain of $(-A)^\epsilon$ and that $H^0 = H$. Set

$$|\cdot|_\epsilon = |\cdot|_{H^\epsilon}.$$

For $x \in H^\epsilon$ and $R > 0$, $B_\epsilon(x, R)$ is the closed ball in H^ϵ of radius R centered at x . We also write $B_\epsilon(R) := B_\epsilon(0, R)$ and $B(x, R) := B_0(x, R)$.

Choosing $V(x) = |x|^p$ with $p \in (0, \alpha)$ and applying the following lemma one gets (i).

Lemma

Let (X_t^x) be the solution to Eq. (3) with $x \in H^\epsilon$. For any $p \in (0, \alpha)$, there exist some constants $C_1 = C_1(p) > 0$ and $C_2 = C_2(p, \epsilon, \gamma, \beta, \|F\|_0) > 1$ such that

$$E|X_t^x|_\epsilon^p \leq C_1 e^{-p\gamma_1 t} |x|_\epsilon^p + C_2, \quad \forall t > 0,$$

where $C_1(p) \leq 1$ for $p \in (0, 1]$ and $C_1(p) = 3^{p-1}$ otherwise.

To prove condition (ii), we shall use the *irreducibility and uniform strong Feller property*. Recall irreducibility:

for any $t > 0$ and $B(y, r)$ with arbitrary $y \in H$ and $r > 0$, we have

$$P(X_t^x \in B(y, r)) > 0. \quad (13)$$

Let us prove (ii).

Let x and y satisfy $|x|^p + |y|^p \leq R$. One can prove that, for any fixed $T_0 > 0$,

$$E[|X_{T_0}^x|_\epsilon^p] + E[|X_{T_0}^y|_\epsilon^p] \leq C(|x|^p + |y|^p + 1) \leq C_1.$$

It follows that there exists some $R_1 > 0$ such that

$$P\left(|X_{T_0}^x|_\epsilon \leq R_1\right) > 1/2, \quad P\left(|X_{T_0}^y|_\epsilon \leq R_1\right) > 1/2.$$

Since $\gamma_k \rightarrow \infty$, $B_\epsilon(R_1)$ is compact in H . By (13), for any $r > 0$ we have some $\delta(r) > 0$ such that

$$\inf_{x \in B_\epsilon(R_1)} P\left(X_{T_0}^x \in B(r)\right) \geq 2\delta.$$

By Markov property and the above three inequalities, we have

$$P\left(X_{2T_0}^x \in B(r)\right) > \delta, \quad P\left(X_{2T_0}^y \in B(r)\right) > \delta.$$

Without loss of generality, in the next computations we assume that X_t^x and X_t^y are independent

By Markov property and the **uniform strong Feller property**

$$\begin{aligned} & \|P_{3T_0}^* \delta_x - P_{3T_0}^* \delta_y\|_{TV} \sup_{\|\phi\|_0 \leq 1} |E[P_{T_0} \phi(X_{2T_0}^x) - P_{T_0} \phi(X_{2T_0}^y)]| \\ & \leq P\{X_{2T_0}^x \notin B(r)\} + P\{X_{2T_0}^y \notin B(r)\} \\ & \quad + E \left\{ \sup_{\|\phi\|_0 \leq 1} |P_{T_0} \phi(X_{2T_0}^x) - P_{T_0} \phi(X_{2T_0}^y)|, X_{2T_0}^x \in B(r), X_{2T_0}^y \in B(r) \right\} \\ & \leq 2 - P\{X_{2T_0}^x \in B(r)\} - P\{X_{2T_0}^y \in B(r)\} + 2CrP\{X_{2T_0}^x \in B(r)\}P\{X_{2T_0}^y \in B(r)\} \\ & \leq 2 - \delta \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

as $r > 0$ sufficiently small. This completes the proof.

Exponential ergodicity for SDEs with a Hölder continuous drift

In [P. Xu Shirikyan Zabczyk 12] we also consider the SDE

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t AX_s ds + \int_0^t b(X_s) ds + L_t, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (15)$$

When the $d \times d$ -matrix $A = 0$, **pathwise uniqueness** for (15) has been proved in [P. Osaka J. Math, 2012] assuming

(H1) $b : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is bounded and β -Hölder continuous, (i.e. $b \in C_b^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$; $\|b\|_\beta = \|b\|_0 + [b]_\beta < +\infty$),

$$[b]_\beta = \sup_{x \neq y, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|b(x) - b(y)|}{|x - y|^\beta}, \quad \beta \in (0, 1).$$

(H2) $L = (L_t)$ is a non-degenerate d -dimensional symmetric α -stable Lévy process, $d \geq 1$.

(H3) $\alpha \in [1, 2)$ and $\beta + \frac{\alpha}{2} > 1$.

In [P. Xu Shirikyan Zabczyk 12] we prove **exponential ergodicity** assuming that all eigenvalues of A have negative real parts and also $\alpha > 1$.

A (positive) Borel measure γ on \mathbb{R}^d is symmetric if $\gamma(D) = \gamma(-D)$, $D \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Let $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. In the SDE we consider a d -dimensional Lévy process $L = (L_t)$ which is also *symmetric α -stable*. This means that

$$E[e^{i\langle L_t, h \rangle}] = e^{-t\psi(h)}, \quad \psi(h) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(e^{i\langle h, y \rangle} - 1 - i\langle h, y \rangle 1_{\{|h| \leq 1\}}(y) \right) \nu(dy), \quad (16)$$

$h \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \geq 0$, where for $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, 1_D is the indicator function of D ($1_D(x) = 1 \iff x \in D$) and ν is a Borel measure (the Lévy measure of L) such that

$$\nu(D) = \int_S \mu(d\xi) \int_0^\infty 1_D(r\xi) \frac{dr}{r^{1+\alpha}}, \quad D \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad (17)$$

for some symmetric, non-zero finite Borel measure μ concentrated on $S = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : |y| = 1\}$.

Formula (16) is the Lévy-Khintchine formula for L . By (17), we rewrite (16) as

$$\psi(h) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\cos(\langle h, y \rangle) - 1) \nu(dy) = c_\alpha \int_S |\langle h, \xi \rangle|^\alpha \mu(d\xi), \quad h \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

The measure μ is called the spectral measure of L .

We assume that *the stable process L is not degenerate*; this means that (see [Sztonik 2010])

The support of the spectral measure μ is not contained in a proper linear subspace of \mathbb{R}^d .

It is not difficult to show that this is equivalent to

$$\psi(u) \geq C_\alpha |u|^\alpha, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

for some positive constant C_α .