A new Proof of Zippin's Embedding Theorem and Applications

Th. Schlumprecht

Aleksander Pełczyński Memorial Conference, July 2014

In 1964 Aleksander Pełczyński asked the following question:

In 1964 Aleksander Pełczyński asked the following question:
Does a separable reflexive Banach space embed into a reflexive Banach space with a basis ?

In 1964 Aleksander Pełczyński asked the following question:
Does a separable reflexive Banach space embed into a reflexive Banach space with a basis ?
Zippin, solved that problem positively in 1988 by proving:

In 1964 Aleksander Pełczyński asked the following question:
Does a separable reflexive Banach space embed into a reflexive Banach space with a basis ?
Zippin, solved that problem positively in 1988 by proving:

Theorem (Zippin, 1988)

Every Banach space with separable dual, embeds into a space Z with shrinking basis (e_j), i.e. the biorthogonal sequence (e_j^*) is a basis for Z^*.

In 1964 Aleksander Pełczyński asked the following question:
Does a separable reflexive Banach space embed into a reflexive Banach space with a basis ?
Zippin, solved that problem positively in 1988 by proving:

Theorem (Zippin, 1988)

Every Banach space with separable dual, embeds into a space Z with shrinking basis (e_j), i.e. the biorthogonal sequence (e_j^*) is a basis for Z^*.

and then applying

Theorem (Davis, Figiel, Johnson, and Pełczyński, 1974)

A weakly compact operator from a Banach space X into a Banach space Z, which has a shrinking basis, factors through a reflexive space with a basis.

Universality and Embedding Problems

Universality Problems

Assume (P) is a property of (separable) Banach spaces.

Universality and Embedding Problems

Universality Problems

Assume (P) is a property of (separable) Banach spaces.
Is there a separable Banach space X_u having property (P), or (some slightly weaker property (P')) which is universal for all Banach spaces with property (P), i.e. every separable Banach space X with property (P) embeds (isomorphically) into X_u?

Universality and Embedding Problems

Universality Problems

Assume (P) is a property of (separable) Banach spaces. Is there a separable Banach space X_u having property (P), or (some slightly weaker property (P')) which is universal for all Banach spaces with property (P), i.e. every separable Banach space X with property (P) embeds (isomorphically) into X_u?

Often it is easier to solve a universality problem within the class of Banach spaces with (Schauder) bases, or with FDDs.
In that case a Universality Problem becomes an *Embedding Problem*.

Universality and Embedding Problems

Universality Problems

Assume (P) is a property of (separable) Banach spaces.
Is there a separable Banach space X_u having property (P), or (some slightly weaker property (P')) which is universal for all Banach spaces with property (P), i.e. every separable Banach space X with property (P) embeds (isomorphically) into X_u?

Often it is easier to solve a universality problem within the class of Banach spaces with (Schauder) bases, or with FDDs.
In that case a Universality Problem becomes an *Embedding Problem*.

Embedding Problems

Assume (P) is a property of (separable) Banach spaces.

Universality and Embedding Problems

Universality Problems

Assume (P) is a property of (separable) Banach spaces.
Is there a separable Banach space X_u having property (P), or (some slightly weaker property (P')) which is universal for all Banach spaces with property (P), i.e. every separable Banach space X with property (P) embeds (isomorphically) into X_u?

Often it is easier to solve a universality problem within the class of Banach spaces with (Schauder) bases, or with FDDs.
In that case a Universality Problem becomes an *Embedding Problem*.

Embedding Problems

Assume (P) is a property of (separable) Banach spaces.
Does every Banach space X with property (P) embed into a Banach space Z with property (P) having a (certain) basis/FDD ?

Examples Universality and Embedding Results

- (Banach) $C[0,1]$ is universal for all separable Banach spaces.

- (Banach) $C[0,1]$ is universal for all separable Banach spaces.
- (Szlenk, 1968) There is no separable reflexive space universal for all separable reflexive spaces.

- (Banach) $C[0,1]$ is universal for all separable Banach spaces.
- (Szlenk, 1968) There is no separable reflexive space universal for all separable reflexive spaces.
  Idea: define $Sz(X) \in (0, \omega_1]$ for separable X, so that:

Examples Universality and Embedding Results

- (Banach) $C[0,1]$ is universal for all separable Banach spaces.
- (Szlenk, 1968) There is no separable reflexive space universal for all separable reflexive spaces.
  Idea: define $Sz(X) \in (0, \omega_1]$ for separable X, so that:
  $Sz(X) < \omega_1 \iff X^*$ separable,

Examples Universality and Embedding Results

- (Banach) $C[0,1]$ is universal for all separable Banach spaces.
- (Szlenk, 1968) There is no separable reflexive space universal for all separable reflexive spaces.
  Idea: define $Sz(X) \in (0, \omega_1]$ for separable X, so that:
  $Sz(X) < \omega_1 \iff X^*$ separable,
  $Sz(x)$ monotone with respect to isomorphic embeddings,

Examples Universality and Embedding Results

- (Banach) $C[0, 1]$ is universal for all separable Banach spaces.
- (Szlenk, 1968) There is no separable reflexive space universal for all separable reflexive spaces.
  Idea: define $Sz(X) \in (0, \omega_1]$ for separable X, so that:
  $Sz(X) < \omega_1 \iff X^*$ separable,
  $Sz(x)$ monotone with respect to isomorphic embeddings,
  $\forall \alpha < \omega_1 \; \exists X$ separable & reflexive with $Sz(X) > \alpha$.

Examples Universality and Embedding Results

- (Banach) $C[0,1]$ is universal for all separable Banach spaces.
- (Szlenk, 1968) There is no separable reflexive space universal for all separable reflexive spaces.
  Idea: define $Sz(X) \in (0, \omega_1]$ for separable X, so that:
  $Sz(X) < \omega_1 \iff X^*$ separable,
  $Sz(x)$ monotone with respect to isomorphic embeddings,
  $\forall \alpha < \omega_1\ \exists X$ separable & reflexive with $Sz(X) > \alpha$.
- (Odell & S, 2002) Characterization of subspaces of $(\oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n)_{\ell_p}$,

Examples Universality and Embedding Results

- (Banach) $C[0,1]$ is universal for all separable Banach spaces.
- (Szlenk, 1968) There is no separable reflexive space universal for all separable reflexive spaces.
  Idea: define $Sz(X) \in (0, \omega_1]$ for separable X, so that:
  $Sz(X) < \omega_1 \iff X^*$ separable,
  $Sz(x)$ monotone with respect to isomorphic embeddings,
  $\forall \alpha < \omega_1 \; \exists X$ separable & reflexive with $Sz(X) > \alpha$.
- (Odell & S, 2002) Characterization of subspaces of $(\oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n)_{\ell_p}$,
- (Odell & S, 2006) Existence of a separable reflexive space universal for all separable super reflexive (uniform convex) spaces,

Examples Universality and Embedding Results

- (Banach) $C[0,1]$ is universal for all separable Banach spaces.
- (Szlenk, 1968) There is no separable reflexive space universal for all separable reflexive spaces.
  Idea: define $Sz(X) \in (0, \omega_1]$ for separable X, so that:
  $Sz(X) < \omega_1 \iff X^*$ separable,
  $Sz(x)$ monotone with respect to isomorphic embeddings,
  $\forall \alpha < \omega_1 \; \exists X$ separable & reflexive with $Sz(X) > \alpha$.
- (Odell & S, 2002) Characterization of subspaces of $(\oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n)_{\ell_p}$,
- (Odell & S, 2006) Existence of a separable reflexive space universal for all separable super reflexive (uniform convex) spaces,
- (Johnson & Zhang 2008, 2011) Characterization of subspaces of spaces with an unconditional basis.

Quantified Versions of Zippin's Theorem

Problem (Pełczyński, 2005)

Problem (Pełczyński, 2005)

Assume X has Szlenk index $Sz(X) = \omega^\alpha$, $\alpha < \omega_1$.

Problem (Pełczyński, 2005)

Assume X has Szlenk index $Sz(X) = \omega^\alpha$, $\alpha < \omega_1$.
• Does X embed into a space Z with a basis having the same Szlenk index?

Problem (Pełczyński, 2005)

Assume X has Szlenk index $Sz(X) = \omega^\alpha$, $\alpha < \omega_1$.

- *Does X embed into a space Z with a basis having the same Szlenk index?*
- *If X is reflexive, can Z be chosen to be reflexive, with $Sz(Z^*) = Sz(X^*)$?*

Problem (Pełczyński, 2005)

Assume X has Szlenk index $Sz(X) = \omega^\alpha$, $\alpha < \omega_1$.

- *Does X embed into a space Z with a basis having the same Szlenk index?*
- *If X is reflexive, can Z be chosen to be reflexive, with $Sz(Z^*) = Sz(X^*)$?*
- *Does the class of spaces X, for which $Sz(X) \le \omega^\alpha$, admit a universal space X_α, for which $Sz(X_\alpha) = \omega^{\alpha+1}$?*

Problem (Pełczyński, 2005)

Assume X has Szlenk index $Sz(X) = \omega^\alpha$, $\alpha < \omega_1$.

- *Does X embed into a space Z with a basis having the same Szlenk index?*
- *If X is reflexive, can Z be chosen to be reflexive, with $Sz(Z^*) = Sz(X^*)$?*
- *Does the class of spaces X, for which $Sz(X) \le \omega^\alpha$, admit a universal space X_α, for which $Sz(X_\alpha) = \omega^{\alpha+1}$?*
- *Does the class of reflexive separable spaces X, for which $Sz(X), Sz(X^*) \le \omega^\alpha$, admit a universal space X_α, which is reflexive and for which $Sz(X_\alpha), Sz(X_\alpha^*) = \omega^{\alpha+1}$?*

Problem (Pełczyński, 2005)

Assume X has Szlenk index $Sz(X) = \omega^\alpha$, $\alpha < \omega_1$.

- *Does X embed into a space Z with a basis having the same Szlenk index?*
- *If X is reflexive, can Z be chosen to be reflexive, with $Sz(Z^*) = Sz(X^*)$?*
- *Does the class of spaces X, for which $Sz(X) \le \omega^\alpha$, admit a universal space X_α, for which $Sz(X_\alpha) = \omega^{\alpha+1}$?*
- *Does the class of reflexive separable spaces X, for which $Sz(X), Sz(X^*) \le \omega^\alpha$, admit a universal space X_α, which is reflexive and for which $Sz(X_\alpha), Sz(X_\alpha^*) = \omega^{\alpha+1}$?*

Answers:

Quantified Versions of Zippin's Theorem

Problem (Pełczyński, 2005)

Assume X has Szlenk index $Sz(X) = \omega^\alpha$, $\alpha < \omega_1$.

- *Does X embed into a space Z with a basis having the same Szlenk index?*
- *If X is reflexive, can Z be chosen to be reflexive, with $Sz(Z^*) = Sz(X^*)$?*
- *Does the class of spaces X, for which $Sz(X) \leq \omega^\alpha$, admit a universal space X_α, for which $Sz(X_\alpha) = \omega^{\alpha+1}$?*
- *Does the class of reflexive separable spaces X, for which $Sz(X), Sz(X^*) \leq \omega^\alpha$, admit a universal space X_α, which is reflexive and for which $Sz(X_\alpha), Sz(X_\alpha^*) = \omega^{\alpha+1}$?*

Answers:

Odell, Zsak & S (2007) and Freeman, Odell, Zsak & S (2009), yes to all questions if $\alpha = \beta\omega$,

Quantified Versions of Zippin's Theorem

Problem (Pełczyński, 2005)

Assume X has Szlenk index $Sz(X) = \omega^\alpha$, $\alpha < \omega_1$.

- *Does X embed into a space Z with a basis having the same Szlenk index?*
- *If X is reflexive, can Z be chosen to be reflexive, with $Sz(Z^*) = Sz(X^*)$?*
- *Does the class of spaces X, for which $Sz(X) \le \omega^\alpha$, admit a universal space X_α, for which $Sz(X_\alpha) = \omega^{\alpha+1}$?*
- *Does the class of reflexive separable spaces X, for which $Sz(X), Sz(X^*) \le \omega^\alpha$, admit a universal space X_α, which is reflexive and for which $Sz(X_\alpha), Sz(X_\alpha^*) = \omega^{\alpha+1}$?*

Answers:

Odell, Zsak & S (2007) and Freeman, Odell, Zsak & S (2009), yes to all questions if $\alpha = \beta\omega$,

Causey (2013 and 2014), yes but for Szlenk index of Z in embedding problem we have: $Sz(Z) = \omega^{\alpha+1}$, resp. $Sz(Z) = \omega^{\alpha+1}$.

Remark

The proofs of these embedding results start by using Zippin's Theorem and embed our given space X into a space Y with shrinking basis, respectively, in the reflexive case, with shrinking and boundedly complete basis.

Remark

The proofs of these embedding results start by using Zippin's Theorem and embed our given space X into a space Y with shrinking basis, respectively, in the reflexive case, with shrinking and boundedly complete basis.
Then, using the special assumptions on X, renorm the space Y, not necessarily in an equivalent way, but so that on the subspace of Y which is isomorphic to X, the norm stays equivalent to the original one.

Remark

The proofs of these embedding results start by using Zippin's Theorem and embed our given space X into a space Y with shrinking basis, respectively, in the reflexive case, with shrinking and boundedly complete basis.
Then, using the special assumptions on X, renorm the space Y, not necessarily in an equivalent way, but so that on the subspace of Y which is isomorphic to X, the norm stays equivalent to the original one.

Our goal:
A new proof of Zippin's Embedding Theorem, in which for a given space X, with X^* separable, or X separable and reflexive, the space Y, in which X embeds, inherits as many properties from X as possible.

Remark

All known proofs of Zippin's Theorem (Zippin's original proof and a proof by Ghoussoub, Maurey, and Schachermayer) start by embedding X into $Z = C(\Delta)$, $\Delta =$Cantorset (which has a basis), and then modifying Z until the modification has a shrinking basis but still contains X.

Remark

All known proofs of Zippin's Theorem (Zippin's original proof and a proof by Ghoussoub, Maurey, and Schachermayer) start by embedding X into $Z = C(\Delta)$, $\Delta =$Cantorset (which has a basis), and then modifying Z until the modification has a shrinking basis but still contains X.

Disadvantage of that approach:

Not much else is really known about the space Z.

Remark

All known proofs of Zippin's Theorem (Zippin's original proof and a proof by Ghoussoub, Maurey, and Schachermayer) start by embedding X into $Z = C(\Delta)$, $\Delta =$Cantorset (which has a basis), and then modifying Z until the modification has a shrinking basis but still contains X.

Disadvantage of that approach:

Not much else is really known about the space Z.

Our approach will be different:

Remark

All known proofs of Zippin's Theorem (Zippin's original proof and a proof by Ghoussoub, Maurey, and Schachermayer) start by embedding X into $Z = C(\Delta)$, $\Delta =$Cantorset (which has a basis), and then modifying Z until the modification has a shrinking basis but still contains X.

Disadvantage of that approach:

Not much else is really known about the space Z.

Our approach will be different:

We start with a Markushevich basis (e_i) *of X (every separable space has such a basis) or more generally, a Finite Dimensional Markushevich Decomposition (FMD), and* augment it *just enough to produce a space Z with a shrinking Finite Dimensional Decomposition (FDD), which contains X.*

Remark

All known proofs of Zippin's Theorem (Zippin's original proof and a proof by Ghoussoub, Maurey, and Schachermayer) start by embedding X into $Z = C(\Delta)$, $\Delta =$Cantorset (which has a basis), and then modifying Z until the modification has a shrinking basis but still contains X.

Disadvantage of that approach:

Not much else is really known about the space Z.

Our approach will be different:

We start with a Markushevich basis (e_i) *of X (every separable space has such a basis) or more generally, a Finite Dimensional Markushevich Decomposition (FMD), and* augment it *just enough to produce a space Z with a shrinking Finite Dimensional Decomposition (FDD), which contains X.*

Then we use a construction of Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri to embed Z in a space W with a shrinking basis.

Remark

All known proofs of Zippin's Theorem (Zippin's original proof and a proof by Ghoussoub, Maurey, and Schachermayer) start by embedding X into $Z = C(\Delta)$, $\Delta =$Cantorset (which has a basis), and then modifying Z until the modification has a shrinking basis but still contains X.

Disadvantage of that approach:

Not much else is really known about the space Z.

Our approach will be different:

We start with a Markushevich basis (e_i) *of X (every separable space has such a basis) or more generally, a Finite Dimensional Markushevich Decomposition (FMD), and* augment it *just enough to produce a space Z with a shrinking Finite Dimensional Decomposition (FDD), which contains X.*

Then we use a construction of Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri to embed Z in a space W with a shrinking basis.

As we will see, several properties of X will be automatically inherited by Z and W.

Main Result

Main Result

Theorem

Assume that X is a Banach space with separable dual. Then X embeds into a space W with a shrinking basis (w_i), so that

Main Result

Theorem

Assume that X is a Banach space with separable dual. Then X embeds into a space W with a shrinking basis (w_i), so that

a) $Sz(W) = Sz(X)$, *where* $Sz(X)$ *is the* Szlenk index of X,

Main Result

Theorem

Assume that X is a Banach space with separable dual. Then X embeds into a space W with a shrinking basis (w_i), so that

a) $Sz(W) = Sz(X)$, *where* $Sz(X)$ *is the* Szlenk index of X,

b) *if X is reflexive then W is reflexive and* $Sz(X^*) = Sz(W^*)$*, and*

Main Result

Theorem

Assume that X is a Banach space with separable dual. Then X embeds into a space W with a shrinking basis (w_i), so that

a) $Sz(W) = Sz(X)$, *where* $Sz(X)$ *is the* Szlenk index of X,
b) *if* X *is reflexive then* W *is reflexive and* $Sz(X^*) = Sz(W^*)$, *and*
c) *if* X^* *has the Unconditional Tree Property then* (w_i) *is unconditional.*

FDD version of the Main result

We first prove the following FDD version of our main result, and then apply a construction of Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri, in order to get from FDD's to bases.

We first prove the following FDD version of our main result, and then apply a construction of Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri, in order to get from FDD's to bases.

Theorem

Assume that X is a Banach space with separable dual. Then X embeds into a space Z with a shrinking FDD (Z_i) so that

We first prove the following FDD version of our main result, and then apply a construction of Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri, in order to get from FDD's to bases.

Theorem

Assume that X is a Banach space with separable dual. Then X embeds into a space Z with a shrinking FDD (Z_i) so that

a) $Sz(Z) = Sz(X)$,

FDD version of the Main result

We first prove the following FDD version of our main result, and then apply a construction of Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri, in order to get from FDD's to bases.

Theorem

Assume that X is a Banach space with separable dual. Then X embeds into a space Z with a shrinking FDD (Z_i) so that

a) $Sz(Z) = Sz(X)$,

b) *if X is reflexive then Z is reflexive and $Sz(X^*) = Sz(Z^*)$, and*

FDD version of the Main result

We first prove the following FDD version of our main result, and then apply a construction of Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri, in order to get from FDD's to bases.

Theorem

Assume that X is a Banach space with separable dual. Then X embeds into a space Z with a shrinking FDD (Z_i) so that

a) $Sz(Z) = Sz(X)$,

b) *if X is reflexive then Z is reflexive and $Sz(X^*) = Sz(Z^*)$, and*

c) *if X^* has an* skipped unconditional FMD *then (Z_i) is unconditional.*

Finite Dimensional Markushevich Decompositions

Assume X is a separable Banach space. A sequence (E_n) of finite dimensional subspaces of X is called Finite Dimensional Markushevich Decomposition (FMD) of X if

Assume X is a separable Banach space. A sequence (E_n) of finite dimensional subspaces of X is called Finite Dimensional Markushevich Decomposition (FMD) of X if

1. (E_k) is fundamental: $X = \overline{\text{span}(E_j : j \in \mathbb{N})}$,

Finite Dimensional Markushevich Decompositions

Assume X is a separable Banach space. A sequence (E_n) of finite dimensional subspaces of X is called Finite Dimensional Markushevich Decomposition (FMD) of X if

1. (E_k) is fundamental: $X = \overline{\text{span}(E_j : j \in \mathbb{N})}$,
2. (E_k) is minimal: $E_k \cap \overline{\text{span}(E_j : j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{k\})} = \{0\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

   In that case we call (F_j), with

$$F_k = \overline{\text{span}(E_j : j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{k\})}^{\perp} = \{x^* \in X^* : x^*|_{\overline{\text{span}(E_j : j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{k\})}} \equiv 0\}$$

the biorthogonal sequence of (E_k), and

Assume X is a separable Banach space. A sequence (E_n) of finite dimensional subspaces of X is called Finite Dimensional Markushevich Decomposition (FMD) of X if

1. (E_k) is fundamental: $X = \overline{\text{span}(E_j : j \in \mathbb{N})}$,
2. (E_k) is minimal: $E_k \cap \overline{\text{span}(E_j : j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{k\})} = \{0\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
   In that case we call (F_j), with
   $$F_k = \overline{\text{span}(E_j : j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{k\})}^{\perp} = \{x^* \in X^* : x^*|_{\overline{\text{span}(E_j : j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{k\})}} \equiv 0\}$$
   the biorthogonal sequence of (E_k), and
3. (E_k) is total:
   $\forall x \in X \quad (\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, x^* \in F_k \quad x^*(x) = 0) \Rightarrow x = 0$
   (i.e. $\text{span}(F_k : k \in \mathbb{N})$ is w^*-dense in X^*).

Finite Dimensional Markushevich Decompositions

Assume X is a separable Banach space. A sequence (E_n) of finite dimensional subspaces of X is called Finite Dimensional Markushevich Decomposition (FMD) of X if

1. (E_k) is fundamental: $X = \overline{\text{span}(E_j : j \in \mathbb{N})}$,
2. (E_k) is minimal: $E_k \cap \overline{\text{span}(E_j : j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{k\})} = \{0\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
   In that case we call (F_j), with

$$F_k = \overline{\text{span}(E_j : j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{k\})}^{\perp} = \{x^* \in X^* : x^*|_{\overline{\text{span}(E_j : j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{k\})}} \equiv 0\}$$

   the biorthogonal sequence of (E_k), and
3. (E_k) is total:
   $\forall x \in X \quad (\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, x^* \in F_k \quad x^*(x) = 0) \Rightarrow x = 0$
   (i.e. $\text{span}(F_k : k \in \mathbb{N})$ is w^*-dense in X^*).

If $\dim(E_k) = 1$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, say $E_k = \text{span}(e_k)$, then (e_k) is called a Markushevich basis.

A finite dimensional Markushevich decomposition is called

A finite dimensional Markushevich decomposition is called

1. c- norming, for $0 < c \leq 1$, if

$$\sup_{x^* \in \text{span}(F_k : k \in \mathbb{N}), \|x^*\| \leq 1} |x^*(x)| \geq c\|x\|.$$

A finite dimensional Markushevich decomposition is called

1. c- norming, for $0 < c \leq 1$, if
$$\sup_{x^* \in \text{span}(F_k : k \in \mathbb{N}), \|x^*\| \leq 1} |x^*(x)| \geq c\|x\|.$$
2. shrinking if $\text{span}(F_k : k \in \mathbb{N})$ is norm dense in X^* and, thus (F_k) is an FMD for X^* (The sequence (F_k) is always an FMD of its closed linear span with (E_K) being its biorthogonals).

A finite dimensional Markushevich decomposition is called

1. c- norming, for $0 < c \leq 1$, if

$$\sup_{x^*\in \mathrm{span}(F_k:k\in\mathbb{N}),\|x^*\|\leq 1} |x^*(x)| \geq c\|x\|.$$

2. shrinking if $\mathrm{span}(F_k : k \in \mathbb{N})$ is norm dense in X^* and, thus (F_k) is an FMD for X^* (The sequence (F_k) is always an FMD of its closed linear span with (E_K) being its biorthogonals).

Theorem

Markushevich, 1943: Every separable Banach space has a 1-norming Markushevich basis (e_j), which can be chosen to be shrinking if X^* is separable.

A finite dimensional Markushevich decomposition is called

1. c- norming, for $0 < c \le 1$, if

$$\sup_{x^* \in \text{span}(F_k : k \in \mathbb{N}), \|x^*\| \le 1} |x^*(x)| \ge c\|x\|.$$

2. shrinking if $\text{span}(F_k : k \in \mathbb{N})$ is norm dense in X^* and, thus (F_k) is an FMD for X^* (The sequence (F_k) is always an FMD of its closed linear span with (E_K) being its biorthogonals).

Theorem

Markushevich, 1943: Every separable Banach space has a 1-norming Markushevich basis (e_j), which can be chosen to be shrinking if X^* is separable.
Ovsepian & Pełczyński, 1975: (e_j) can be chosen to be bounded, i.e. $\sup_{j=1} \|e_j\| \cdot \|e_j^*\| < c$, c universal.

A finite dimensional Markushevich decomposition is called

1. c- norming, for $0 < c \le 1$, if

$$\sup_{x^* \in \text{span}(F_k : k \in \mathbb{N}), \|x^*\| \le 1} |x^*(x)| \ge c\|x\|.$$

2. shrinking if $\text{span}(F_k : k \in \mathbb{N})$ is norm dense in X^* and, thus (F_k) is an FMD for X^* (The sequence (F_k) is always an FMD of its closed linear span with (E_K) being its biorthogonals).

Theorem

Markushevich, 1943: Every separable Banach space has a 1-norming Markushevich basis (e_j), which can be chosen to be shrinking if X^* is separable.
Ovsepian & Pełczyński, 1975: (e_j) can be chosen to be bounded, i.e. $\sup_{j=1} \|e_j\| \cdot \|e_j^*\| < c$, c universal.
Pełczyński, 1976: For $\varepsilon > 0$, (e_j) can be chosen so that, $\sup_{j=1} \|e_j\| \cdot \|e_j^*\| < 1 + \varepsilon$.

Assume (E_j) is an FMD of X with biorthogonals (F_j).

Assume (E_j) is an FMD of X with biorthogonals (F_j).
By minimality: $X = E_k \oplus \overline{\mathrm{spa}(E_j : j \neq k)}$, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and let

$$P^E_k : X = E_k \oplus \overline{\mathrm{span}(E_j : j \neq k)} \to E_k, \qquad x_1 + x_2 \mapsto x_1$$

which is a bounded (but not necessarily uniformly in $k \in \mathbb{N}$) projection.

Assume (E_j) is an FMD of X with biorthogonals (F_j).
By minimality: $X = E_k \oplus \overline{\text{spa}(E_j : j \neq k)}$, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and let

$$P_k^E : X = E_k \oplus \overline{\text{span}(E_j : j \neq k)} \to E_k, \qquad x_1 + x_2 \mapsto x_1$$

which is a bounded (but not necessarily uniformly in $k \in \mathbb{N}$) projection. For $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ finite we put

$$P_A^E = \sum_{n \in A} P_k^E \text{ and } P_{\mathbb{N}\setminus A}^E = Id - \sum_{n \in A} P_n^E.$$

Assume (E_j) is an FMD of X with biorthogonals (F_j).
By minimality: $X = E_k \oplus \overline{\text{spa}(E_j : j \neq k)}$, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and let

$$P_k^E : X = E_k \oplus \overline{\text{span}(E_j : j \neq k)} \to E_k, \qquad x_1 + x_2 \mapsto x_1$$

which is a bounded (but not necessarily uniformly in $k \in \mathbb{N}$) projection. For $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ finite we put

$$P_A^E = \sum_{n \in A} P_k^E \text{ and } P_{\mathbb{N} \setminus A}^E = Id - \sum_{n \in A} P_n^E.$$

For $x \in X$ and $x^* \in X^*$:
$\text{supp}_E(x) = \{j \in \mathbb{N} : P_j^E(x) \neq 0\} = \{j \in \mathbb{N} : x|_{F_k} \neq 0\}$ and
$\text{supp}_E(x^*) = \{j \in \mathbb{N} : x^*|_{E_j} \neq 0\}$

Assume (E_j) is an FMD of X with biorthogonals (F_j).
By minimality: $X = E_k \oplus \overline{\text{spa}(E_j : j \neq k)}$, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and let

$$P_k^E : X = E_k \oplus \overline{\text{span}(E_j : j \neq k)} \to E_k, \qquad x_1 + x_2 \mapsto x_1$$

which is a bounded (but not necessarily uniformly in $k \in \mathbb{N}$) projection. For $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ finite we put

$$P_A^E = \sum_{n \in A} P_k^E \text{ and } P_{\mathbb{N} \setminus A}^E = Id - \sum_{n \in A} P_n^E.$$

For $x \in X$ and $x^* \in X^*$:
$\text{supp}_E(x) = \{j \in \mathbb{N} : P_j^E(x) \neq 0\} = \{j \in \mathbb{N} : x|_{F_k} \neq 0\}$ and
$\text{supp}_E(x^*) = \{j \in \mathbb{N} : x^*|_{E_j} \neq 0\}$
$\text{rg}_E(x), \text{rg}_E(x^*) =$ smallest interval containing $\text{supp}_E(x)$, resp. $\text{supp}_E(x^*)$.

An FMD (E_n) is called a Finite Dimensional Decomposition of X (FDD) if every $x \in X$ can be uniquely written as

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n, \text{ with } x_n \in E_n, \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

or, equivalently, if $b = \sup_{m \leq n} \left\| P^E_{[m,n]} \right\| < \infty$ (*Projection Constant*),

Finite Dimensional Decompositions

An FMD (E_n) is called a Finite Dimensional Decomposition of X (FDD) if every $x \in X$ can be uniquely written as

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n, \text{ with } x_n \in E_n, \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

or, equivalently, if $b = \sup_{m \le n} \left\| P^E_{[m,n]} \right\| < \infty$ (*Projection Constant*),
and an FDD (E_n) is called unconditional if above representation of every $x \in X$ converges unconditional, or, equivalently, if $u = \sup_{A \subset \mathbb{N}, \text{ finite}} \left\| P^E_A \right\| < \infty$.

Two simple, but Key Arguments

Assume that X^* is separable and that (E'_i) is a shrinking Finite Dimensional Markushevich Decomposition. (F'_i) its biorthogonal sequence.

Lemma

(E'_i) can be blocked to (E_n) (i.e. $E_n = span(E'_i : i_{n-1} < i \leq i_n)$, for some $i_n \nearrow \infty$), so that

Two simple, but Key Arguments

Assume that X^* is separable and that (E'_i) is a shrinking Finite Dimensional Markushevich Decomposition. (F'_i) its biorthogonal sequence.

Lemma

(E'_i) can be blocked to (E_n) (i.e. $E_n = span(E'_i : i_{n-1} < i \le i_n)$, for some $i_n \nearrow \infty$), so that

- *every, with respect to (E_j), skipped block sequence (x_n) in X $(\max rg_E(x_{n-1}) < \min rg_E(x_n) - 1)$ is basic with projection constant at most 3.*

Two simple, but Key Arguments

Assume that X^* is separable and that (E'_i) is a shrinking Finite Dimensional Markushevich Decomposition. (F'_i) its biorthogonal sequence.

Lemma

(E'_i) can be blocked to (E_n) (i.e. $E_n = span(E'_i : i_{n-1} < i \le i_n)$, for some $i_n \nearrow \infty$), so that

- *every, with respect to (E_j), skipped block sequence (x_n) in X ($\max rg_E(x_{n-1}) < \min rg_E(x_n) - 1$) is basic with projection constant at most 3.*
- *every, with respect to (F_n), skipped block sequence (x^*_n) in X^* ($F_n = span(F'_i : i_{n-1} < i \le i_n)$) is basic with projection constant at most 3.*

Two simple, but Key Arguments

Assume that X^* is separable and that (E'_i) is a shrinking Finite Dimensional Markushevich Decomposition. (F'_i) its biorthogonal sequence.

Lemma

(E'_i) can be blocked to (E_n) (i.e. $E_n = span(E'_i : i_{n-1} < i \le i_n)$, for some $i_n \nearrow \infty$), so that

- *every, with respect to (E_j), skipped block sequence (x_n) in X ($\max rg_E(x_{n-1}) < \min rg_E(x_n) - 1$) is basic with projection constant at most 3.*
- *every, with respect to (F_n), skipped block sequence (x_n^*) in X^* ($F_n = span(F'_i : i_{n-1} < i \le i_n)$) is basic with projection constant at most 3.*
- *and, if X^* has the unconditional tree property for some constant C, every skipped block sequence (x_n^*) in X^* with respect to F_n is $2C$-unconditional.*

Lemma (Johnson, 1977)

Let $(\varepsilon_k) \subset (0,1)$*. There exists a strictly increasing* $(n_k) \subset \mathbb{N}$ *with: For every* $x^* \in B_{X^*}$ *there exists* $(j_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\{n_k, n_k+1, \dots n_{k+1}\}$ *with* $\|x^*|_{E_{j_k}}\|_{E^*_{j_k}} < \varepsilon_k$*, for* $k \in \mathbb{N}$*.*

Lemma (Johnson, 1977)

Let $(\varepsilon_k) \subset (0,1)$. *There exists a strictly increasing* $(n_k) \subset \mathbb{N}$ *with: For every* $x^* \in B_{X^*}$ *there exists* $(j_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \{n_k, n_k+1, \dots n_{k+1}\}$ *with* $\|x^*|_{E_{j_k}}\|_{E^*_{j_k}} < \varepsilon_k$, *for* $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Follows from iterating the following:

Lemma (Johnson, 1977)

Let $(\varepsilon_k) \subset (0,1)$. *There exists a strictly increasing* $(n_k) \subset \mathbb{N}$ *with: For every* $x^* \in B_{X^*}$ *there exists* $(j_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \{n_k, n_k + 1, \ldots n_{k+1}\}$ *with* $\|x^*|_{E_{j_k}}\|_{E^*_{j_k}} < \varepsilon_k$, *for* $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Follows from iterating the following:

Lemma

Given $m \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $\varepsilon > 0$ *there is an* $n > m$ *so that for all* $x^* \in B_{X^*}$, *there is a* $j \in [m, n]$, *with* $\|x^*|_{E_j}\| < \varepsilon$.

Lemma (Johnson, 1977)

Let $(\varepsilon_k) \subset (0,1)$. There exists a strictly increasing $(n_k) \subset \mathbb{N}$ with: For every $x^* \in B_{X^*}$ there exists $(j_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\{n_k, n_k+1, \dots n_{k+1}\}$ with $\|x^*|_{E_{j_k}}\|_{E^*_{j_k}} < \varepsilon_k$, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Follows from iterating the following:

Lemma

Given $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an $n > m$ so that for all $x^* \in B_{X^*}$, there is a $j \in [m, n]$, with $\|x^*|_{E_j}\| < \varepsilon$.

Remark

Since (E_n) not necessarily FDD it could be that $\|x^*|_{E_n}\|_{E^*_K} << \|P^F_n(x^*)\|_{X^*}$.

Let $(\varepsilon_k) \subset (0,1)$ so that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k < \frac{1}{10}$.
Apply Johnson's lemma to get the sequence (n_k).

Let $(\varepsilon_k) \subset (0,1)$ so that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k < \frac{1}{10}$.
Apply Johnson's lemma to get the sequence (n_k).
Let $x^* \in S_{X^*}$.
Choose $j_k \in \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\{n_k, n_k + 1, \dots n_{k+1}\}$, so that $\|x^*|_{E_{j_k}}\|_{E^*_{j_k}} < \varepsilon_k$.

Let $(\varepsilon_k) \subset (0,1)$ so that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k < \frac{1}{10}$.
Apply Johnson's lemma to get the sequence (n_k).
Let $x^* \in S_{X^*}$.
Choose $j_k \in \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \{n_k, n_k + 1, \dots n_{k+1}\}$, so that $\|x^*|_{E_{j_k}}\|_{E^*_{j_k}} < \varepsilon_k$.
Use Hahn Banach to extend $x^*|_{E_{j_k}}$ to $y^*_k \in X^*$ with $\|y^*_k\| < \varepsilon_k$.

Let $(\varepsilon_k) \subset (0,1)$ so that $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \varepsilon_k < \frac{1}{10}$.
Apply Johnson's lemma to get the sequence (n_k).
Let $x^* \in S_{X^*}$.
Choose $j_k \in \prod_{k=1}^\infty \{n_k, n_k+1, \ldots n_{k+1}\}$, so that $\|x^*|_{E_{j_k}}\|_{E^*_{j_k}} < \varepsilon_k$.
Use Hahn Banach to extend $x^*|_{E_{j_k}}$ to $y_k^* \in X^*$ with $\|y_k^*\| < \varepsilon_k$.
Then take $\tilde{x}^* = x^* - \sum_{k=1}^\infty y_k^*$.

Let $(\varepsilon_k) \subset (0,1)$ so that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k < \frac{1}{10}$.
Apply Johnson's lemma to get the sequence (n_k).
Let $x^* \in S_{X^*}$.
Choose $j_k \in \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\{n_k, n_k+1, \dots n_{k+1}\}$, so that $\|x^*|_{E_{j_k}}\|_{E^*_{j_k}} < \varepsilon_k$.
Use Hahn Banach to extend $x^*|_{E_{j_k}}$ to $y^*_k \in X^*$ with $\|y^*_k\| < \varepsilon_k$.
Then take $\tilde{x}^* = x^* - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y^*_k$.
Note: $\|\tilde{x}^* - x^*\| \le 1/10$ and $\tilde{x}^*|_{E_{j_k}} \equiv 0$, for $k = 1, 2 \dots$.

Let $(\varepsilon_k) \subset (0,1)$ so that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k < \frac{1}{10}$.
Apply Johnson's lemma to get the sequence (n_k).
Let $x^* \in S_{X^*}$.
Choose $j_k \in \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \{n_k, n_k + 1, \dots n_{k+1}\}$, so that $\|x^*|_{E_{j_k}}\|_{E^*_{j_k}} < \varepsilon_k$.
Use Hahn Banach to extend $x^*|_{E_{j_k}}$ to $y_k^* \in X^*$ with $\|y_k^*\| < \varepsilon_k$.
Then take $\tilde{x}^* = x^* - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y_k^*$.
Note: $\|\tilde{x}^* - x^*\| \leq 1/10$ and $\tilde{x}^*|_{E_{j_k}} \equiv 0$, for $k = 1, 2 \dots$.
Conclusion: the set

$$B^* = \left\{ x^* \in B_{X^*} : \begin{matrix} \exists \ (j_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \{n_k, n_k + 1, \dots n_{k+1}\} \\ x^*|_{E_{j_k}} \equiv 0, \ k = 1, 2, \dots \end{matrix} \right\},$$

is $\frac{1}{2}$-norming the space X, so without loss of generality:

$$\|x\| = \sup_{x^* \in B^*} |x^*(x)|.$$

We define

We define

$$\mathbb{B} = \left\{ (x_k^*) \subset X^* : \begin{matrix} \exists (j_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^\infty \{n_k, n_k+1, \ldots, n_{k+1}\} \\ \mathrm{rg}_E(x_k^*) \subset (j_{k-1}, j_k), k \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ and } \|\sum_{k=1}^\infty x_k^*\| \le 1 \end{matrix} \right\}.$$

We define

$$\mathbb{B} = \left\{ (x_k^*) \subset X^* : \begin{array}{c} \exists (j_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^\infty \{n_k, n_k+1, \ldots, n_{k+1}\} \\ \mathrm{rg}_E(x_k^*) \subset (j_{k-1}, j_k), k \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ and } \|\sum_{k=1}^\infty x_k^*\| \le 1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

$$\text{Thus:} \qquad B^* = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^\infty x_k^* : (x_k^*) \in \mathbb{B}^* \right\}.$$

We define

$$\mathbb{B} = \left\{ (x_k^*) \subset X^* : \begin{array}{c} \exists (j_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^\infty \{n_k, n_k+1, \ldots, n_{k+1}\} \\ \mathrm{rg}_E(x_k^*) \subset (j_{k-1}, j_k), k \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ and } \|\sum_{k=1}^\infty x_k^*\| \le 1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

$$\text{Thus:} \qquad B^* = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^\infty x_k^* : (x_k^*) \in \mathbb{B}^* \right\}.$$

The point of our construction will be that $\mathbb{B}$ will become the norming set of our space Z, with FDD (Z_k).

We define

$$\mathbb{B} = \left\{ (x_k^*) \subset X^* : \begin{array}{c} \exists (j_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^\infty \{n_k, n_k+1, \ldots, n_{k+1}\} \\ \mathrm{rg}_E(x_k^*) \subset (j_{k-1}, j_k), k \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ and } \|\sum_{k=1}^\infty x_k^*\| \le 1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

$$\text{Thus:} \qquad B^* = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^\infty x_k^* : (x_k^*) \in \mathbb{B}^* \right\}.$$

The point of our construction will be that $\mathbb{B}$ will become the norming set of our space Z, with FDD (Z_k).
We define: $Z_k = \oplus_{j=n_{k-1}+1}^{n_{k+1}-1} E_j$ (note the overlap!)

We define

$$\mathbb{B} = \left\{ (x_k^*) \subset X^* : \begin{array}{c} \exists (j_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^\infty \{n_k, n_k+1, \ldots, n_{k+1}\} \\ \mathrm{rg}_E(x_k^*) \subset (j_{k-1}, j_k), k \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ and } \|\sum_{k=1}^\infty x_k^*\| \le 1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

$$\text{Thus:} \qquad B^* = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^\infty x_k^* : (x_k^*) \in \mathbb{B}^* \right\}.$$

The point of our construction will be that $\mathbb{B}$ will become the norming set of our space Z, with FDD (Z_k).
We define: $Z_k = \oplus_{j=n_{k-1}+1}^{n_{k+1}-1} E_j$ (note the overlap!)
For $(z_k) \in c_{00}\big(\oplus_{k=1}^\infty Z_k\big)$ put:

$$\|(z_k)\|_Z = \sup_{(x_k^*) \in \mathbb{B}} \Big| \sum_{k=1}^\infty x_k^*(z_k) \Big|.$$

Z is then the completion of $c_{00}\big(\oplus_{k=1}^\infty Z_k\big)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|$.

Properties of Z

Properties of Z

1) The map

$$I : X \to Z, \quad x \mapsto (P^E_{(n_{k-1},n_{k+1})}(x) : k \in \mathbb{N})$$

is an isometric embedding:

Properties of Z

1) The map

$$I : X \to Z, \quad x \mapsto (P^E_{(n_{k-1},n_{k+1})}(x) : k \in \mathbb{N})$$

is an isometric embedding: Indeed, for $x \in X$

$$\begin{aligned}\|I(x)\| &= \sup_{(x_k^*)\in\mathbb{B}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k^*(P^E_{(n_{k-1},n_{k+1})}(x))\\ &= \sup_{(x_k^*)\in\mathbb{B}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k^*(x)\\ &= \sup_{(x_k^*)\in\mathbb{B}} \Big(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k^*\Big)(x) = \sup_{x^*\in B} |x^*(x)| = \|x\|.\end{aligned}$$

2) (Z_k) is a Finite Dimensional Decomposition for Z, with projection constant not larger than 3.

2) (Z_k) is a Finite Dimensional Decomposition for Z, with projection constant not larger than 3.
For $z = (z_k) \in c_{00}\big(\oplus_{k=1}^{\infty} Z_k\big)$, and $m \le n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\big\|P^Z_{[m,n]}(z)\big\| &= \sup_{(x_k^*)\in\mathbb{B}} \Big|\sum_{k=m}^{n} x_k^*(z_k)\Big| \\
&\le \sup_{(x_k^*)\in\mathbb{B}} \Big\|(x_k^*)_{k=m}^n\Big\|_{Z^*} \|z\|_Z \\
&\le \sup_{(x_k^*)\in\mathbb{B}} \Big\|\sum_{k=m}^{n} x_k^*\Big\|_{X^*} \|z\|_Z \\
&\Big[\sum y_k^* \in B^* \Rightarrow (y_k^*) \in \mathbb{B}, \text{ thus } \big\|(x^*)_{k=m}^n\big\|_{Z^*} \le \Big\|\sum_{j=m}^{n} x_k^*\Big\|_{X^*}\Big] \\
&\le 3\|z\| \\
&\Big[(x_k^*) \text{ is a skipped block with respect to } (F_j)\Big]
\end{aligned}$$

3) The set $\mathbb{B}$ (seen as subset of B_{Z^*}) is 1-norming Z, w^* compact, and the map

$$\Psi : \mathbb{B} \to B, \quad (x_k^*) \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_k^*,$$

is norm preserving, onto, and w^*-continuous (but not injective).

3) The set $\mathbb{B}$ (seen as subset of B_{Z^*}) is 1-norming Z, w^* compact, and the map

$$\Psi : \mathbb{B} \to B, \quad (x_k^*) \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_k^*,$$

is norm preserving, onto, and w^*-continuous (but not injective).

4) For $\bar{j} = (j_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \{n_k, n_k + 1, \dots n_{k+1}\}$, define

$$U_{\bar{j}}^* = U^* = \big\{x^* \in X^* : x^*|_{E_{j_k}} = 0, k \in \mathbb{N}\big\}.$$

Then U^* is w^* closed and the map

$$\Phi_{\bar{j}} : U^* \to Z^*, \quad x^* \mapsto (P^F_{(j_{k-1}, j_k)}(x^*) : k \in \mathbb{N}),$$

is a well defined isometric embedding, which is w^* continuous.

3) The set $\mathbb{B}$ (seen as subset of B_{Z^*}) is 1-norming Z, w^* compact, and the map

$$\Psi : \mathbb{B} \to B, \quad (x_k^*) \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_k^*,$$

is norm preserving, onto, and w^*-continuous (but not injective).

4) For $\bar{j} = (j_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \{n_k, n_k + 1, \dots n_{k+1}\}$, define

$$U_{\bar{j}}^* = U^* = \left\{ x^* \in X^* : x^*|_{E_{j_k}} = 0, k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Then U^* is w^* closed and the map

$$\Phi_{\bar{j}} : U^* \to Z^*, \quad x^* \mapsto (P^F_{(j_{k-1}, j_k)}(x^*) : k \in \mathbb{N}),$$

is a well defined isometric embedding, which is w^* continuous.

5) For every skipped block (z_k^*) in $\mathbb{B}$ (with respect to (Z_j^*)), $(\Psi(z_k^*))$ is an isometrically equivalent to a skipped block in X^* with respect to (F_k). And for every skipped block (x_k^*) in B with respect to F_j, $\left(\Phi_{\bar{j}}(x_k^*)\right)$ is an isometrically equivalent skipped block in $\mathbb{B}$ with respect to (Z_j^*).

6) Y Banach space, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $T_k : Y \to Z_k$, for $k = 1, 2, \ldots N$.
We want to find an expression of the norm of
$T : Y \to Z, \quad y \mapsto (T_k(y) : k = 1, \ldots N)$.

6) Y Banach space, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $T_k : Y \to Z_k$, for $k = 1, 2, \dots N$.
We want to find an expression of the norm of
$T : Y \to Z, \quad y \mapsto (T_k(y) : k = 1, \dots N)$.
Example: $P_A^Z : Z \to Z$, $(z_j : j \in \mathbb{N}) \mapsto (z_j : j \in A)$, $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ fin.

6) Y Banach space, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $T_k : Y \to Z_k$, for $k = 1, 2, \dots N$.
We want to find an expression of the norm of
$T : Y \to Z, \quad y \mapsto (T_k(y) : k = 1, \dots N)$.
Example: $P^Z_A : Z \to Z$, $(z_j : j \in \mathbb{N}) \mapsto (z_j : j \in A)$, $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ fin.
Define

$$\mathbb{B}_N = \{(x^*_k) \in \mathbb{B} : x^*_{N+1} = x^*_{N+2} = \dots = 0\}$$
$$\equiv \left\{ (x^*_k)_{k=1}^N \subset X^* : \begin{array}{c} \exists (j_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^N \{n_k, n_k+1, \dots, n_{k+1}\} \\ \mathrm{rg}_E(x^*) \subset (j_{k-1}, j_k), k \in \mathbb{N}, \| \sum_{k=1}^N x^*_k \| \le 1 \end{array} \right\}$$

6) Y Banach space, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $T_k : Y \to Z_k$, for $k = 1, 2, \dots N$.
We want to find an expression of the norm of
$T : Y \to Z, \quad y \mapsto (T_k(y) : k = 1, \dots N)$.
Example: $P^Z_A : Z \to Z$, $(z_j : j \in \mathbb{N}) \mapsto (z_j : j \in A)$, $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ fin.
Define

$$\mathbb{B}_N = \{(x_k^*) \in \mathbb{B} : x_{N+1}^* = x_{N+2}^* = \dots = 0\}$$
$$\equiv \left\{ (x_k^*)_{k=1}^N \subset X^* : \begin{array}{c} \exists (j_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^N \{n_k, n_k+1, \dots, n_{k+1}\} \\ \text{rg}_E(x^*) \subset (j_{k-1}, j_k), k \in \mathbb{N}, \| \sum_{k=1}^N x_k^* \| \leq 1 \end{array} \right\}$$

For $\overline{x}^* = (x_k^*)_{k=1}^N \in \mathbb{B}_N$, let

$$T_{\overline{x}^*} : \text{span}(x_k^* : 1 \leq k \leq N) \to Y^*, \quad \sum a_k x_k^* \mapsto \sum a_k x_k^* \circ T_k.$$

6) Y Banach space, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $T_k : Y \to Z_k$, for $k = 1, 2, \dots N$.
We want to find an expression of the norm of
$T : Y \to Z, \quad y \mapsto (T_k(y) : k = 1, \dots N)$.
Example: $P_A^Z : Z \to Z$, $(z_j : j \in \mathbb{N}) \mapsto (z_j : j \in A)$, $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ fin.
Define

$$\mathbb{B}_N = \{(x_k^*) \in \mathbb{B} : x_{N+1}^* = x_{N+2}^* = \dots = 0\}$$
$$\equiv \left\{ (x_k^*)_{k=1}^N \subset X^* : \begin{array}{c} \exists (j_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^N \{n_k, n_k + 1, \dots, n_{k+1}\} \\ \mathrm{rg}_E(x^*) \subset (j_{k-1}, j_k), k \in \mathbb{N}, \| \sum_{k=1}^N x_k^* \| \leq 1 \end{array} \right\}$$

For $\overline{x}^* = (x_k^*)_{k=1}^N \in \mathbb{B}_N$, let

$$T_{\overline{x}^*} : \mathrm{span}(x_k^* : 1 \leq k \leq N) \to Y^*, \quad \sum a_k x_k^* \mapsto \sum a_k x_k^* \circ T_k.$$

Then $\| T \|_{L(Y,Z)} = \sup_{\overline{x}^* \in \mathbb{B}_N} \| T_{\overline{x}^*} \|$.

6) Y Banach space, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $T_k : Y \to Z_k$, for $k = 1, 2, \dots N$.
We want to find an expression of the norm of
$T : Y \to Z, \quad y \mapsto (T_k(y) : k = 1, \dots N)$.
Example: $P_A^Z : Z \to Z$, $(z_j : j \in \mathbb{N}) \mapsto (z_j : j \in A)$, $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ fin.
Define

$$\mathbb{B}_N = \left\{(x_k^*) \in \mathbb{B} : x_{N+1}^* = x_{N+2}^* = \dots = 0\right\}$$

$$\equiv \left\{(x_k^*)_{k=1}^N \subset X^* : \begin{array}{c} \exists (j_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^N \{n_k, n_k+1, \dots, n_{k+1}\} \\ \text{rg}_E(x^*) \subset (j_{k-1}, j_k), k \in \mathbb{N}, \|\sum_{k=1}^N x_k^*\| \le 1 \end{array}\right\}$$

For $\overline{x}^* = (x_k^*)_{k=1}^N \in \mathbb{B}_N$, let

$$T_{\overline{x}^*} : \text{span}(x_k^* : 1 \le k \le N) \to Y^*, \quad \sum a_k x_k^* \mapsto \sum a_k x_k^* \circ T_k.$$

Then $\|T\|_{L(Y,Z)} = \sup_{\overline{x}^* \in \mathbb{B}_N} \|T_{\overline{x}^*}\|$.
If $T = P_A^Z$, and thus $T_k = P_k^Z$, if $k \in A$, and $T_k = 0$ otherwise. Then

$$\|P_A^Z\| = \sup_{\overline{x} \in \mathbb{B}} \Big\|\sum_{k \in A} x_k^*\Big\|_{Z^*} = \sup_{\overline{x} \in \mathbb{B}} \Big\|\sum_{k \in A} x_k^*\Big\|_{X^*}.$$

Open Problems

Open Problems

Problem

Does every separable super reflexive space X embed into a super reflexive space with basis?

Open Problems

Problem

Does every separable super reflexive space X embed into a super reflexive space with basis?

This problem has two parts.

Open Problems

Problem

Does every separable super reflexive space X embed into a super reflexive space with basis?

This problem has two parts.

Problem (Infinite Dimensional Part)

Does every separable super reflexive space X embed into a super reflexive space with an FDD?

Open Problems

Problem

Does every separable super reflexive space X embed into a super reflexive space with basis?

This problem has two parts.

Problem (Infinite Dimensional Part)

Does every separable super reflexive space X embed into a super reflexive space with an FDD?

Problem (Finite Dimensional Part)

Assume that E is a finite dimensional space whose modulus of uniform convexity is $w(\cdot)$. Is there a constant C (could depend on $w(\cdot)$ but not on anything else) so that E is C-isomorphic to a subspace of finite dimensional space F whose modulus of uniform convexity is also $w(\cdot)$ (or a function $v(r)$ only depending on $w(\cdot)$), so that F has a basis whose constant is at most C?