

Lifespan of solutions to nonlinear Cauchy problems with small analytic data

Dennis Bacani

(joint work with H. Tahara and M. A. Tolentino)

Analytic, Algebraic and Geometric Aspects of Differential Equations Conference
Bedlewo, Poland
September 17, 2015

Consider the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^m u = F(t, \{\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha u\}_{(j,\alpha) \in I_m}), \\ \partial_t^i u(0, x) = \phi_i(x), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

- $(t, x) = (t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$
- $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, \dots\}$, $\mathbb{N}^* = \{1, 2, \dots\}$, Ω (open) $\subset \mathbb{R}^n$
- $I_m = \{(j, \alpha) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^n; j + |\alpha| \leq m, j < m\}$ (where $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, and $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$), $N = \#I_m$
- $F(t, X)$ is a function on $\mathbb{R}_t \times \mathcal{U}$ where $X = \{X_{j,\alpha}\}_{(j,\alpha) \in I_m} \in \mathbb{C}^N$ and \mathcal{U} is a convex open neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^N$

Definition

(1) A C^∞ -function $f(x)$ on Ω is said to be **uniformly analytic** on Ω if

$$\exists C > 0 \exists h > 0 \text{ s.t. } \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, \sup_{x \in \Omega} |\partial_x^\alpha f(x)| \leq Ch^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|!$$

• $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ is the **totality of uniformly analytic functions** on Ω

(2) Let $T > 0$, $I_T = (-T, T)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. A function $u(t, x)$ belongs to $C^k(I_T, \mathcal{A}(\Omega))$ if the following hold for any

$j \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$:

(i) $\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha u(t, x) \in C^0(I_T \times \Omega)$,

(ii) $0 < \forall T_1 < T, \exists C_1 = C_{T_1} > 0 \exists h_1 > 0$ s.t.

$$\sup_{I_{T_1} \times \Omega} |\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha u(t, x)| \leq C_1 h_1^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|!$$

Consider the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^m u = F(t, \{\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha u\}_{(j,\alpha) \in I_m}), \\ \partial_t^i u(0, x) = \phi_i(x), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1 \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

under the assumptions:

(A₁) $F(t, X)$ is continuous and bounded on $\mathbb{R}_t \times \mathcal{U}$, and holomorphic on \mathcal{U} for any fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}$;

(A₂) $F(t, 0) \equiv 0$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$;

(A₃) $\phi_i(x) \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$.

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^m u = F(t, \{\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha u\}_{(j,\alpha) \in I_m}), \\ \partial_t^i u(0, x) = \phi_i(x), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

- (3) has a unique local solution $u(t, x) \in C^m((-\delta, \delta), \mathcal{A}(\Omega))$
 $\exists \delta > 0$ (Nagumo 1941, Nirenberg 1972, Nishida 1977, and Walter 1985)
- **Lifespan** := $\sup\{\text{all } \delta\}$
- D'Ancona and Spagnolo 1991, 1995
 - analytic category for nonlinear hyperbolic equations or systems with initial data $\phi_i(x) = \varepsilon u_i(x)$ ($\varepsilon > 0$)
 - proved that the lifespan T_ε tends to ∞ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$
 - when $F(t, X)$ is independent of t , they showed that $1/T_\varepsilon = O((\log \log(1/\varepsilon))^{-1})$ (as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$)

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^m u = F(t, \{\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha u\}_{(j,\alpha) \in I_m}), \\ \partial_t^i u(0, x) = \phi_i(x), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1 \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

- Gourdin and Mechab **1999, 2001**
 - $F(t, X)$ is independent of t without hyperbolicity assumption and using $\phi_i(x) = u_i(\varepsilon x)$
 - They proved that $1/T_\varepsilon = O(\varepsilon^\sigma)$ (as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$) for some $\sigma > 0$
- Yamane **2006, 2011, 2012**
 - second order case without hyperbolicity assumption
 - Simplest case: initial data $\phi_i(x)$ ($i = 0, 1$) with

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega} |\partial_x^\alpha \phi_i(x)| \leq \varepsilon^{i+1+|\alpha|} \quad \text{for any } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n.$$

- proved that $1/T_\varepsilon = O(\varepsilon)$ (as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$)

Let us look at the situation in the analytic case where $\Omega = (-1/2, 1/2)$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$.

Example 1

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u = \partial_x^2 u + 2u\partial_x u, \\ u(0, x) = \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon x}, \quad \partial_t u(0, x) = \frac{\sqrt{2}\varepsilon^2}{(1 - \varepsilon x)^2}. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Solution:

$$u(t, x) = \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \sqrt{2}\varepsilon t - \varepsilon x},$$

Lifespan: $T_\varepsilon = (2 - \varepsilon)/(2\sqrt{2}\varepsilon)$. T_ε is of order $1/\varepsilon$ (as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$).

Example 2

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u = \partial_x^2 u + \partial_x u + 2u\partial_t u, \\ u(0, x) = \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{1 - \varepsilon x}, \quad \partial_t u(0, x) = \frac{\varepsilon}{(1 - \varepsilon x)^2}. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Solution satisfies the relation:

$$\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{1 - \sqrt{\varepsilon}t - \varepsilon x} \ll u(t, x) \ll \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{1 - (5/2)\sqrt{\varepsilon}t - \varepsilon x}$$

Lifespan satisfies the relation:

$$(2 - \varepsilon)/(5\sqrt{\varepsilon}) \leq T_\varepsilon \leq (2 - \varepsilon)/(2\sqrt{\varepsilon})$$

T_ε is of order $1/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ (as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$).

Note: we write $f(t, x) \ll g(t, x)$ for two formal power series

$f(t, x) = \sum_{k,l} a_{k,l} t^k x^l$ and $g(t, x) = \sum_{k,l} b_{k,l} t^k x^l$ if $|a_{k,l}| \leq b_{k,l}$ for all (k, l) .

Example 3

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u = 2u \partial_x u, \\ u(0, x) = \frac{\varepsilon^3}{1 - \varepsilon x}, \quad \partial_t u(0, x) = \frac{\varepsilon^5}{(1 - \varepsilon x)^2}. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Solution:

$$u(t, x) = \frac{\varepsilon^3}{1 - \varepsilon^2 t - \varepsilon x}.$$

Lifespan:

$$T_\varepsilon = (2 - \varepsilon)/(2\varepsilon^2)$$

T_ε is of order $1/\varepsilon^2$ (as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$).

In the preceding examples, the lifespans of the solutions to the initial value problems (5), (6), and (7) are of orders 1, $1/2$, and 2, respectively, with respect to $1/\varepsilon$.

Problem: What determines the order of the lifespan of the solution?

$$F(t, X) = \sum_{(j, \alpha) \in I_m} a_{j, \alpha}(t) X_{j, \alpha} + \sum_{|q| \geq 2} b_q(t) \prod_{(j, \alpha) \in I_m} (X_{j, \alpha})^{q_{j, \alpha}}$$

where $q = \{q_{j, \alpha}\}_{(j, \alpha) \in I_m} \in \mathbb{N}^N$ and $|q| = \sum_{(j, \alpha) \in I_m} q_{j, \alpha}$. We set

$$\Lambda = \{(j, \alpha) \in I_m; a_{j, \alpha}(t) \neq 0\}, \quad \Delta_2 = \{q \in \mathbb{N}^N; |q| \geq 2, b_q(t) \neq 0\}.$$


$\Delta_2 \neq \emptyset$ (nonlinear equations)

We define two indices σ and γ by

$$\sigma = \min_{(j, \alpha) \in \Lambda} \left(\frac{|\alpha|}{m - j} \right), \quad (8)$$

$$\gamma \text{ (or } \gamma_\sigma) = \max \left[0, \sup_{q \in \Delta_2} \left(\frac{\sigma m - \sigma s_t(q) - s_x(q)}{|q| - 1} \right) \right], \quad (9)$$

$$s_t(q) = \sum_{(j, \alpha) \in I_m} j q_{j, \alpha}, \quad s_x(q) = \sum_{(j, \alpha) \in I_m} |\alpha| q_{j, \alpha}. \quad (10)$$

Note that if σ exists then $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq \sigma m$. 

Theorem 1 (The case $\Lambda \neq \emptyset$)

There are $c_i > 0$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$), $\mu > 0$, and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ for which the following is true for all $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$: If the Cauchy data satisfy

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega} |\partial_x^\alpha \phi_i(x)| \leq c_i \varepsilon^{\gamma + \sigma i + |\alpha|} |\alpha|! \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, i = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1, \quad (11)$$

the Cauchy problem (3) has a unique solution $u(t, x) \in C^m((-T, T), \mathcal{A}(\Omega))$ for $T = \mu/\varepsilon^\sigma$.

Theorem 2 (The case $\Lambda = \emptyset$)

For any $\sigma \geq 0$ there are $c_i > 0$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$), $\mu > 0$, and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ for which the following is true for all $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$: If the Cauchy data satisfy

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega} |\partial_x^\alpha \phi_i(x)| \leq c_i \varepsilon^{\gamma_\sigma + \sigma i + |\alpha|} |\alpha|! \quad \text{for any } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1, \quad (12)$$

the Cauchy problem (3) has a unique solution $u(t, x) \in C^m((-T, T), \mathcal{A}(\Omega))$ for $T = \mu/\varepsilon^\sigma$.

Example:

Let $\Omega = (-1/2, 1/2)$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Consider the equation

$$\partial_t^2 u = 2u \partial_x u.$$

We have $\Lambda = \emptyset$ and $\gamma_\sigma = 2\sigma - 1$ for any $\sigma \geq 1/2$. Consider the IVP with data

$$u(0, x) = \frac{\varepsilon^{2\sigma-1}}{1 - \varepsilon x}, \quad \partial_t u(0, x) = \frac{\varepsilon^{3\sigma-1}}{(1 - \varepsilon x)^2}.$$

Solution:

$$u(t, x) = \frac{\varepsilon^{2\sigma-1}}{1 - \varepsilon^\sigma t - \varepsilon x}.$$

Lifespan: $T_\varepsilon = (2 - \varepsilon)/(2\varepsilon^\sigma)$.

For a C^∞ -function $f(x)$ on Ω , we define the formal norm $\|f\|_\rho$ by

$$\|f\|_\rho := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{\sup_{x \in \Omega} |\partial_x^\alpha f(x)|}{|\alpha|!} \rho^{|\alpha|}.$$

Similarly, for a function $u(t, x) \in C^0(I \times \Omega)$ that is of class C^∞ in x , we define the formal norm $\|u(t)\|_\rho$ by

$$\|u(t)\|_\rho := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{\sup_{x \in \Omega} |\partial_x^\alpha u(t, x)|}{|\alpha|!} \rho^{|\alpha|}.$$

Properties:

- (1) $f(x) \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega) \iff \|f\|_\rho \ll C/(1 - h\rho) \exists C > 0 \exists h > 0$
- (2) $\|\partial_x^\alpha f\|_\rho \ll \partial_\rho^{|\alpha|} \|f\|_\rho$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$
- (3) $\|fg\|_\rho \ll \|f\|_\rho \|g\|_\rho$

Set

$$L(q) = \gamma|q| + \sigma s_t(q) + s_x(q).$$

The function $F(t, X)$ on the RHS of (3) may be written in the form

$$F(t, X) = \sum_{|q| \geq 1, L(q) \geq \gamma + \sigma m} b_q(t) \prod_{(j, \alpha) \in I_m} (X_{j, \alpha})^{q_{j, \alpha}}. \quad (13)$$

If $\phi(x) \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ satisfies

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega} |\partial_x^\alpha \phi(x)| \leq c \varepsilon^{a + |\alpha|} |\alpha|! \quad \text{for any } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n,$$

for some $c > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and $a > 0$, then by setting $\delta = n\varepsilon$, we have

$$\|\phi\|_\rho \ll \frac{c \varepsilon^a}{1 - n\varepsilon\rho} = \frac{(cn^{-a})\delta^a}{1 - \delta\rho}.$$

Theorem 3

Suppose that $F(t, X)$ has the form (13) for some $\sigma \geq 0$ and $\gamma \geq 0$. Then there are $c_i > 0$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$), $\mu > 0$, and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ for which the following is true for all $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$: If the Cauchy data satisfy

$$\|\phi_i\|_\rho \ll \frac{c_i \varepsilon^{\gamma + \sigma i}}{1 - \varepsilon \rho}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1, \quad (14)$$

the Cauchy problem has a unique solution $u(t, x) \in C^m((-T, T), \mathcal{A}(\Omega))$ for $T = \mu/\varepsilon^\sigma$.

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^m u = F(\{\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha u\}_{(j,\alpha) \in I_m}), \\ \partial_t^i u(0, x) = \phi_i(x), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1. \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

Theorem 4 (Complex-analytic version)

There are $c_i > 0$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$), $\mu > 0$, and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ for which the following is true for all $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$: If the Cauchy data satisfy

$$\|\phi_i\|_\rho \ll \frac{c_i \varepsilon^{\gamma + \sigma i}}{1 - \varepsilon \rho}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1, \quad (16)$$

the Cauchy problem has a unique solution $u(t, x) \in \mathcal{O}(B_T, \mathcal{A}_c(U))$ for $T = \mu/\varepsilon^\sigma$.

$$\varphi(X) = \frac{1}{4S} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{X^n}{(n+1)^2},$$

where

$$S = \frac{\pi^2}{6} = 1 + \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{3^2} + \frac{1}{4^2} + \dots$$

- 1 $\varphi(X)$ defines a holomorphic function on $\{X \in \mathbb{C}; |X| < 1\}$
- 2 $\varphi(X)^2 \ll \varphi(X)$;
- 3 For any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, there is a constant $K_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon X} \ll K_\varepsilon \varphi(X).$$

Let $T > 0$ and $R > 0$. We denote by $\mathcal{X}(T, R)$ the set of all functions $u(t, x)$ satisfying:

- (i) $\partial_x^\alpha u(t, x) \in C^0((-T, T) \times \Omega) \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and it is of class C^∞ w.r.t. x ;
(ii) $\exists M > 0$ s.t.

$$\|u(t)\|_\rho \ll M \varphi\left(\frac{|t|}{T} + \frac{\rho}{R}\right) \quad \forall t \in (-T, T). \quad (17)$$

- $\mathcal{X}(T, R)$, a subset of $C^0((-T, T), \mathcal{A}(\Omega))$, is a Banach space under the norm

$$\|u\| = \inf\{M; M \text{ satisfies (17)}\}.$$

$$D_t^{-1}u(t, x) := \int_0^t u(\tau, x) d\tau.$$

$$u(t, x) := v(t, x) + \psi(t, x) \quad \text{with} \quad \psi(t, x) := \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{\phi_i(x)t^i}{i!}.$$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^m v = F(t, \{\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha (v + \psi)\}_{(j,\alpha) \in I_m}), \\ \partial_t^i v(0, x) = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1. \end{cases}$$

If $w(t, x) := \partial_t^m v(t, x)$ then

$$w(t, x) = F(t, \{D_t^{-(m-j)} \partial_x^\alpha w + \partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha \psi\}_{(j,\alpha) \in I_m}) =: \Phi[w]. \quad (18)$$

$$\text{CP (1)} \iff w = \Phi[w]$$

- 1 Then we prove that for some closed ball $B(C; T, R)$ of $\mathcal{X}(T, R)$, $\phi : B(C; T, R) \rightarrow B(C; T, R)$ is a contraction mapping.
- 2 Let $C > 0$. We define $B(C; T, R) := \left\{ u(t, x) \in \mathcal{X}(T, R); \|u(t)\|_\rho \ll \varepsilon^{\gamma+\sigma m} |c| C \varphi\left(\frac{|t|}{T} + \frac{\rho}{R}\right) \forall (-T, T) \right\}$
- 3 Set $T = \mu/\varepsilon^\sigma$, $R = 1/2\varepsilon$.

Then we can show that ϕ is a contraction from $B(C; T, R)$ to itself.

Define the set \mathcal{M} by:

$$\mathcal{M} = \left\{ q \in \Delta_2; \gamma = \frac{\sigma m - \sigma s_t(q) - s_x(q)}{|q| - 1} \right\}.$$

Let $U = \{x \in \mathbb{C}; |x| < 1/2\}$, and let $c_i > 0$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$), $0 < \varepsilon_0 \leq 1$ and $d > 0$ be fixed constants. Consider the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^m u = F(\{\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha u\}_{(j,\alpha) \in I_m}), \\ \partial_t^i u(0, x) = \frac{c_i \varepsilon^{\gamma + \sigma i}}{(1 - \varepsilon x)^{d+i}}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1, \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is regarded as a parameter with $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$.

Proposition 5

Let $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$. Suppose $a_{j,\alpha} > 0 \forall (j, \alpha) \in \Lambda$, $b_q > 0 \forall q \in \Delta_2$, and $\mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset$. If $d > 0$ satisfies

$$d \geq \frac{m - s_t(q) - s_x(q)}{|q| - 1} \quad \text{for any } q \in \mathcal{M} \quad (20)$$

and if there are $A > 0$ and $h > 0$ such that

$$c_i \geq A(d)_i h^i \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1, \quad (21)$$

$$\sum_{q \in \mathcal{M}} b_q A^{|q|-1} h^{s_t(q)} \prod_{(j,\alpha) \in I_m} \{(d)_{j+\alpha}\}^{q_{j,\alpha}} \geq (d)_m h^m, \quad (22)$$

then $T_\varepsilon((19)) \leq (2 - \varepsilon)/(2h\varepsilon^\sigma)$.

We can show that

$$u(t, x) \gg w(t, x) := \frac{A\varepsilon^\gamma}{(1 - h\varepsilon^\sigma t - \varepsilon x)^d},$$

which implies that lifespan $T_\varepsilon \leq (2 - \varepsilon)/(2h\varepsilon^\sigma)$. Therefore we have

$$\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon^\sigma} \leq T_\varepsilon \leq \frac{\eta}{\varepsilon^\sigma}$$

for some $\mu > 0$ and $\eta > 0$.

M.A. C. Tolentino, D. B. Bacani, and H. Tahara, *On the lifespan of solutions to nonlinear Cauchy problems with small analytic data*, to appear in J. Differential Equations.

We can show that

$$u(t, x) \gg w(t, x) := \frac{A\varepsilon^\gamma}{(1 - h\varepsilon^\sigma t - \varepsilon x)^d},$$

which implies that lifespan $T_\varepsilon \leq (2 - \varepsilon)/(2h\varepsilon^\sigma)$. Therefore we have

$$\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon^\sigma} \leq T_\varepsilon \leq \frac{\eta}{\varepsilon^\sigma}$$

for some $\mu > 0$ and $\eta > 0$.

M.A. C. Tolentino, D. B. Bacani, and H. Tahara, *On the lifespan of solutions to nonlinear Cauchy problems with small analytic data*, to appear in J. Differential Equations.

Thank you for your attention.