Existence of an Equivalent Martingale Measure in the Dalang–Morton–Willinger Theorem, which Preserves the Dependence Structure

Uwe Schmock

Based on work in progress with Ismail Cetin Gülüm

Financial and Actuarial Mathematics and Vienna University of Technology, Austria www.fam.tuwien.ac.at

Sixth AMaMeF and Banach Center Conference Warsaw, June 10–15, 2013

Financial support: Vienna Insurance Group

1

1 Introduction

- Dalang–Morton–Willinger theorem
- Central question concerning the measure change
- Preservation of the Markov property (history)

Main result

- *k*-multiple Markov chains
- DMW theorem for k-multiple Markov chains
- Proof of the theorem

3 Announcements

Dalang-Morton-Willinger theorem Central question concerning the measure change Preservation of the Markov property (history)

3

The Dalang–Morton–Willinger theorem (1990)

Theorem (DMW, Fundamental Theorem of Asset Pricing)

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t=0,...,T}, \mathbb{P})$ be a (general) filtered probability space and let $S = \{S_t\}_{t \in \{0,...,T\}}$ be an adapted, \mathbb{R}^d -valued stochastic process describing the discounted prices of $d \in \mathbb{N}$ financial assets. Then the following properties are equivalent:

- (a) The financial market model is free of arbitrage.
- (b) There exists a probability measure \mathbb{P}^* on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ such that
 - *P*^{*} is equivalent to ℙ and the Radon–Nikodým density
 ρ := *d* ℙ^{*}/*d* ℙ is bounded, i.e. in L[∞](Ω, *F*_T, ℙ),
 - Integrability: $S_t \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbb{P}^*)$ for all $t \in \{0, \dots, T\}$,
 - Martingale property w.r.t. \mathbb{P}^* :

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}^*}[S_t \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] \stackrel{\textit{a.s.}}{=} S_{t-1} \quad \textit{for all } t \in \{1, \dots, T\}.$$

Remarks on the proof of the Dalang–Morton–Willinger theorem

- No integrability assumption on S_0, \ldots, S_T w.r.t. \mathbb{P} .
- Proving (a) ⇒ (b), i.e. the existence of the equivalent martingale measure P*, is the hard part.
- The proof uses the Hahn–Banach theorem (and therefore the axiom of choice), hence it is not constructive.
- Boundedness of the density ϱ originates from the fact that $L^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathbb{P})$ "is" the topological dual of $L^{1}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathbb{P})$.
- For proving (b) ⇒ (a), i.e. absence of arbitrage, the boundedness of the density *ρ* is not needed. (This works nicely with conditional expectations for *σ*-integrable random variables.)

Application of the Dalang–Morton–Willinger theorem to the pricing of contingent claims

- Add a discounted, contingent claim $C \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, \mathbb{P})$ to an arbitrage-free financial market model.
- By DMW, (a) ⇒ (b), there exists an equivalent martingale measure P^{*} with **bounded density** *ρ*, hence

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}^*}[|\mathcal{C}|] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[\varrho|\mathcal{C}|] \le \|\varrho\|_{\infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[|\mathcal{C}|] < \infty.$$

• Therefore, the additional discounted price process

$$S^{(d+1)}_t := \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}^*}[C | \mathcal{F}_t], \quad t \in \{0, \ldots, T\},$$

is (also) a well-defined \mathbb{P}^* -martingale.

 By DMW, (b) ⇒ (a), the model (S⁽¹⁾_t,...,S^(d)_t,S^(d+1)_t), t ∈ {0,..., T}, with the additional discounted price process is free of arbitrage.

5

6

Example for gaining "nice" dependence properties

Binomial model:

- On Ω = {-1,1}^T let X₁,..., X_T denote the {-1,1}-valued projections.
- Define $S_t = X_1 + \cdots + X_t$ and $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(S_0, \ldots, S_t)$ for all $t \in \{0, \ldots, T\}$.
- Under $\mathbb{P}^* = (\frac{1}{2}\delta_{-1} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_1)^{\otimes T}$ the projections X_1, \ldots, X_T are i.i.d. with symmetric distribution and (S_0, \ldots, S_T) is a martingale.
- \mathbb{P}^* with this martingale property is unique.
- By DMW, (b) ⇒ (a), every measure P with full support gives an arbitrage-free model, hence DMW, (a) ⇒ (b), turns every P with full support into P*.

7

Central question concerning the measure change

If the discounted asset price process $S = \{S_t\}_{t \in \{0,...,T\}}$ has a "nice" dependence property under the original measure \mathbb{P} , does there exist an equivalent martingale measure \mathbb{P}^* as in DMW (with bounded density) such that S has the same dependence property under \mathbb{P}^* ?

Examples:

- Martingale property (trivial, holds for every \mathbb{P}^*)
- If S is an integrable process which is increasing in the convex order w.r.t.
 P (i.e, S is a peacock), then S keeps this property under every
 P*, because every martingale is a peacock (apply the conditional Jensen inequality).

Dalang–Morton–Willinger theorem Central question concerning the measure change Preservation of the Markov property (history)

8

Further dependence properties

Does there exist at least one \mathbb{P}^* from the DMV theorem to preserve the following dependence properties:

- independence of additive (or multiplicative) increments of S,
- independence and identical distribution of additive (or multiplicative) increments of *S*,
- Markov property,
- k-multiple Markov property,
- exchangeablility,
- ...?

Dalang-Morton-Willinger theorem Central question concerning the measure change Preservation of the Markov property (history)

9

Result for independent additive increments

Theorem (Gülüm/S.)

Assume that the adapted process $S = \{S_t\}_{t \in \{0,...,T\}}$ of the discounted asset prices does not offer arbitrage possibilities.

- Assume that the additive increment X_t := S_t − S_{t-1} is independent of F_{t-1} under P for every t ∈ {1,..., T}. Then there exists an equivalent martingale measure P* for S with bounded density such that the increments {X_t}_{t∈{1,...,T}} of S keep this independence under P*.
- If, in addition, X₁,..., X_T have the same distribution under ℙ, then ℙ* can be chosen to preserve this property, too.

Preservation of the Markov property (history)

- Stanley Pliska shows in his book "Introduction to Mathematical Finance, Discrete Time Models" (1998) that, if the discounted asset price process S is a Markov chain under P with finite state space, then an equivalent martingale measure P* can be chosen such that S also has the Markov property under P*. Due to the finite state space, the boundedness of the density ρ is trivial.
- This result was generalized by Freddy Delbaen (lectures on discrete-time mathematical finance at ETH Zürich) to Markov chains with a general state space, but **boundedness of the density** *ρ* was left open.
- To my (and Freddy Delbaen's) knowledge, this problem remained open for the last decade.
- While searching for a counterexample to close a matter, we instead found a proof, even for *k*-multiple Markov chains.

Definition of k-multiple Markov chains

Slightly more general setting: Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ be a discrete interval, (E, \mathcal{E}) be a measurable space, $S = \{S_t\}_{t \in I}$ a *E*-valued stochastic process on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in I}, \mathbb{P})$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Define $I_k = \{t \in I \mid t - k \in I\}$.

Definition

We say that S is a k-multiple Markov chain with respect to \mathbb{P} and $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\in I}$, if S is adapted and if

$$\mathbb{P}(S_t \in B \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{t-1}) \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} \mathbb{P}(S_t \in B \,|\, S_{t-1}, S_{t-2}, \dots, S_{t-k})$$

for every $B \in \mathcal{E}$ and for every $t \in I_k$.

Remark (no enlargement of the state space E to E^k)

The usual reduction to the Markov chain $S'_t := (S_t, \ldots, S_{t-k+1})$, $t \in I_{k-1}$, doesn't fit nicely with the martingale property for $E = \mathbb{R}^d$.

11

Introduction Main result Announcements *k*-multiple Markov chains DMW theorem for *k*-multiple Markov chains Proof of the theorem

Characterization of *k*-multiple Markov chains

Lemma

For an *E*-valued process *S* adapted to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\in I}$, the following statements are equivalent:

- S is a k-multiple Markov chain.
- ② For every *E*-measurable function $g: E → \mathbb{R}$, which is bounded or nonnegative, and every $t \in I_k$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[g(S_t) \,\middle|\, \mathcal{F}_{t-1}\right] \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} \mathbb{E}\left[g(S_t) \,\middle|\, S_{t-1}, S_{t-2}, \ldots, S_{t-k}\right].$$

3 For every $\mathcal{E}^{\otimes (k+1)}$ -measurable function $h: E^{k+1} \to \mathbb{R}$, which is bounded or nonnegative, and every $t \in I_k$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[h(S_t, S_{t-1}, \ldots, S_{t-k}) \,\middle|\, \mathcal{F}_{t-1}\right]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} \mathbb{E}\left[h(S_t, S_{t-1}, \ldots, S_{t-k}) \,\middle|\, S_{t-1}, S_{t-2}, \ldots, S_{t-k}\right].$$

13

DMW theorem for *k*-multiple Markov chains

Theorem (Gülüm/S.)

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, {\mathcal{F}_t}_{t=0,...,T}, \mathbb{P})$ be a (general) filtered probability space and let $S = {S_t}_{t \in \{0,...,T\}}$ be an adapted, \mathbb{R}^d -valued discounted asset price process, which has the k-multiple Markov property w.r.t. \mathbb{P} . Then the following properties are equivalent:

(a) The financial market model is free of arbitrage.

(b) There exists a probability measure \mathbb{P}^* on $(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mathbb{P})$ such that

- $\mathbb{P}^* \sim \mathbb{P}$ with $\varrho := d\mathbb{P}^*/d\mathbb{P} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, \mathbb{P})$,
- Integrability: $S_t \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbb{P}^*)$ for all $t \in \{0, \dots, T\}$,
- Martingale property w.r.t. \mathbb{P}^* :

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}^*}[S_t \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] \stackrel{a.s.}{=} S_{t-1} \qquad \textit{for all } t \in \{1, \ldots, T\}.$$

• k-multiple Markov property: For all $B \in \mathcal{E}$ and $t \in \{k, \dots, T\}$

$$\mathbb{P}^*(S_t \in B \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{t-1}) \stackrel{a.s.}{=} \mathbb{P}^*(S_t \in B \,|\, S_{t-1}, S_{t-2}, \ldots, S_{t-k}).$$

Idea of the proof: lemma for backward induction

Suppose we have shown the following result:

Lemma (Gülüm/S.)

Let $u \in \{1, \dots, T\}.$ Assume that there is a measure $\mathbb Q$ such that

- $S = \{S_t\}_{t \in \{0,...,T\}}$ is a k-multiple Markov chain under $\mathbb Q$ and
- $\{S_t\}_{t \in \{u, u+1, \dots, T\}}$ is a \mathbb{Q} -martingale.

Then there is a probability measure \mathbb{Q}^* on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) such that:

- $\mathbb{Q}^* \sim \mathbb{Q}$ and the density $d\mathbb{Q}^*/d\mathbb{Q}$ is bounded and \mathcal{F}_u -measurable,
- S_{u-1} is \mathbb{Q}^* -integrable and $\{S_t\}_{t \in \{u-1, u, ..., T\}}$ is a \mathbb{Q}^* -martingale,
- $S = \{S_t\}_{t \in \{0,...,T\}}$ is a k-multiple Markov chain under \mathbb{Q}^* .

Idea of the proof: application of the lemma

After changing from \mathbb{P} to a \mathbb{Q}_T to make S_T integrable w.r.t. \mathbb{Q}_T , the model of the theorem satisfies all of the conditions of the lemma for u = T. So we get a probability measure $\mathbb{Q}_T^* \sim \mathbb{Q}_T$ with bounded density Z_T . The model $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \{0, \dots, T-1\}}, \mathbb{Q}_T^*)$ with asset prices $\{S_t\}_{t \in \{0,...,T-1\}}$ is arbitrage-free and satisfies all of the above conditions, hence we get a new probability measure $\mathbb{Q}_{T-1}^* \sim \mathbb{Q}_T^*$ with bounded, \mathcal{F}_{T-1} -measurable density Z_{T-1} . Doing this iteratively, we get probability measures $\mathbb{Q}^*_{\tau}, \mathbb{Q}^*_{\tau-1}, \dots, \mathbb{Q}^*_1$ such that $Z_u = d\mathbb{Q}^*_u/d\mathbb{Q}^*_{u+1} \in L^\infty$ for $u \in \{1, \ldots, T-1\}$. Set $\mathbb{P}^* = \mathbb{Q}_1^*$. All that remains to show is that $d\mathbb{P}^*/d\mathbb{P}$ is bounded and strictly positive. This follows from

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}^*}{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{Q}_1^*}{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{Q}_2^*} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{Q}_2^*}{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{Q}_3^*} \cdot \cdots \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{Q}_T^*}{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{Q}_T} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{Q}_T}{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{Q}_T}{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}} \prod_{u=1}^T Z_u.$$

Introduction k-multiple Markov chains Main result DMW theorem for k-multiple Markov chains Announcements Proof of the theorem

Proof of the lemma (sketch)

- Since the model {S_t}_{t∈{0,...,u}} is also arbitrage-free, there is by the DMW theorem an equivalent martingale measure Q' with bounded and *F_u*-measurable density Z' with respect to Q such that {S_t}_{t∈{0,...,u}} is a Q'-martingale.
- If $u \in \{k, ..., T\}$, then we define Z as the density of \mathbb{Q}' with respect to \mathbb{Q} on $\sigma(S_u, S_{u-1}, ..., S_{u-k})$, i.e.

$$Z = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[Z' | S_u, S_{u-1}, \ldots, S_{u-k}].$$

If $u \in \{1, \ldots, k-1\}$, then we define Z = Z'.

 Z is almost surely bounded, strictly positive and E_Q[Z] = 1, so we can define a new probability measure Q^{*} on F via

$$\frac{\mathsf{d}\mathbb{Q}^*}{\mathsf{d}\mathbb{Q}} = Z.$$

It follows that Q^{*} ~ Q, that Z is F_u-measurable, and by calculation that Q^{*} has the desired properties.

Announcements

18th ÖMG Congress and Annual DMV Meeting

- Monday–Friday, 23.–27. September 2013
- University of Innsbruck, Austria
- Minisymposium on Financial and Actuarial Mathematics
- http://math-oemg-dmv-2013.uibk.ac.at/

Portfolio Risk Management Day 2013

- Friday, 27. September 2013, 9:00 18:00
- TU Vienna, no registration fee (but no free lunch, just free coffee)
- http://www.fam.tuwien.ac.at/prisma2013/

2nd European Actuarial Journal Conference 2014

- Wednesday-Friday, 10.-12. September 2014
- TU Vienna
- http://www.fam.tuwien.ac.at/eaj2014/