

Robustness of quadratic hedging strategies via BSDEs with jumps

G. Di Nunno, A. Khedher, **Michèle Vanmaele**

Advances in Mathematics of Finance - 6th AMaMeF and
Banach Center Conference

June 10-15, 2013



Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Robustness of the BSDEJs
- 3 Robustness of quadratic hedging strategies
- 4 Conclusion



Outline

- 1** Introduction
 - Background and motivation
 - Setting
 - Hedging problem
 - Quadratic hedging
- 2 Robustness of the BSDEJs
- 3 Robustness of quadratic hedging strategies
- 4 Conclusion



Background

- model process consisting of continuous part + jumps, e.g., Lévy process
- BM + Poisson random measure
- small jumps (SJ) and large jumps
- jumps may have infinite activity
in any finite time interval there are infinitely many jumps



Background

- model process consisting of continuous part + jumps, e.g., Lévy process
- BM + Poisson random measure
- small jumps (SJ) and large jumps
- jumps may have infinite activity
in any finite time interval there are infinitely many jumps



Background

- model process consisting of continuous part + jumps, e.g., Lévy process
- BM + Poisson random measure
- small jumps (SJ) and large jumps
- jumps may have **infinite activity**
in any finite time interval there are infinitely many jumps



- algorithms to simulate general Lévy processes
- Asmussen and Rosinski (2001):

$$\frac{SJ - \mathbb{E}[SJ]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[SJ]}} \sim BM$$

$SJ \approx \text{scaled}(\varepsilon) \text{ BM}$

convergence in distribution when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

- approximation consisting of BM + compound Poisson
- practical purpose for simulations



- algorithms to simulate general Lévy processes
- Asmussen and Rosinski (2001):

$$\frac{SJ - \mathbb{E}[SJ]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[SJ]}} \sim BM$$

$SJ \approx \text{scaled}(\varepsilon) \text{ BM}$

convergence in distribution when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

- approximation consisting of BM + compound Poisson
- practical purpose for simulations

**ROBUSTNESS**

of

MODEL

SENSITIVITY

A. Khedher, PhD Thesis (2010)

- robustness of the option price
- robustness of the delta
- robustness of quadratic hedging strategies?



ROBUSTNESS

of

MODEL

SENSITIVITY

A. Khedher, PhD Thesis (2010)

- robustness of the option price
- robustness of the delta
- robustness of quadratic hedging strategies?



Setting

- We consider a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ equipped with the \mathbb{P} -augmented filtration \mathcal{F}_t , $t \in [0, T]$.
- A risk free asset with price $S^0(t) > 0$.
A risky asset with price $S^1(t)$.
- The discounted price process is given by

$$\tilde{S}(t) = \frac{S^1(t)}{S^0(t)}.$$

- The value of a hedging portfolio is given by

$$V(t) = \underbrace{\chi(t)}_{\text{number of units of asset 1}} \tilde{S}(t) + \underbrace{\eta(t)}_{\text{amount invested in asset 0}}.$$



- We consider a portfolio strategy of the form $\varphi = (\chi, \eta)$.
- The gain process is given by

$$G(t, \chi) = \int_0^t \chi(s) d\tilde{S}(s).$$

- The cost process is given by

$$C(t) = V(t) - G(t, \chi).$$

- A strategy is called **self-financing** if C is a constant or equivalently

$$V(t) = V(0) + G(t, \chi).$$

- We fix a contingent claim ξ . Example: European call option

$$\xi(\omega) = \max(\tilde{S}(T, \omega) - K, 0).$$

Hedging in the Black-Scholes model:

$$d\tilde{S}(t) = \sigma\tilde{S}(t)dW(t). \quad (\text{Under } \tilde{\mathbb{P}}).$$

$$\xi = \xi_0 + \int_0^T \chi(s)d\tilde{S}(s). \quad (\xi_0 \text{ is a constant!})$$

\implies The market is **complete**.

\implies Exact replication.



Incomplete market

- **Incomplete market:** martingale representation does not hold, i.e., ξ_0 is not constant. It is in general not possible to find a self-financing strategy V with final value $V(T) = \xi$.
- Föllmer and Sondermann (1986).
Quadratic hedging: introduce subjective criteria under which the strategies are chosen.
Locally risk-minimizing strategies: $V(T) = \xi$. The strategy is **not self-financing**.
Mean variance hedging strategies: we do not impose $V(T) = \xi$. We insist on the **self-financing constraint**.



UNIVERSITEIT
GENT

FS decomposition

- A semimartingale \tilde{S} is a process of the form

$$\tilde{S} = \tilde{S}(0) + \underbrace{\quad}_M + \underbrace{\quad}_A.$$

local martingale finite variation process

- A special semimartingale \tilde{S} is a semimartingale with the decomposition

$$\tilde{S} = \tilde{S}(0) + M + A,$$

where A is a predictable process.

- Föllmer-Schweizer decomposition Föllmer and Schweizer (1991)

$$\xi = \xi_0 + \int_0^T \chi^{FS}(s) d\tilde{S}(s) + \Phi_T^{FS},$$

where the process Φ^{FS} is a martingale orthogonal to M .

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Robustness of the BSDEJs
 - Two candidate approximating BSDEJs
 - Convergence result
- 3 Robustness of quadratic hedging strategies
- 4 Conclusion

UNIVERSITEIT
GENT

Robustness of BSDEJs

- W is a standard Wiener process
 $\tilde{N} = \tilde{N}(dt, dz)$ is a centered Poisson random measure.
- We introduce the \mathbb{P} -augmented filtration $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ by

$$\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma \left\{ W(s), \int_0^s \int_A \tilde{N}(du, dz), \quad s \leq t, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_0) \right\}$$

$$\begin{cases} -dX(t) = f(t, X(t), Y(t), Z(t, \cdot))dt - Y(t)dW(t) \\ \quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} Z(t, z)\tilde{N}(dt, dz), \\ X(T) = \xi. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

A solution to (1) is (X, Y, Z) \mathbb{F} -adapted.

Existence and uniqueness result can be found in Tang and Li (1994).



Two approximating BSDEJs

- $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \xi_\varepsilon^0 = \xi$
- *First candidate approximation*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -dX_\varepsilon^0(t) = f^0(t, X_\varepsilon^0(t), Y_\varepsilon^0(t), Z_\varepsilon^0(t, \cdot))dt - Y_\varepsilon^0(t)dW(t) \\ \quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} Z_\varepsilon^0(t, z)\tilde{N}(dt, dz), \\ X_\varepsilon^0(T) = \xi_\varepsilon^0. \end{array} \right. \quad (2)$$

A solution to (2) is $(X_\varepsilon^0, Y_\varepsilon^0, Z_\varepsilon^0)$ \mathbb{F} -adapted.

- B is a standard Wiener process independent of W
- We define the \mathbb{P} -augmented filtration $\mathbb{G} = (\mathcal{G}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ by

$$\mathcal{G}_t = \sigma \left\{ W(s), B(s), \int_0^s \int_A \tilde{N}(du, dz), \quad s \leq t, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_0) \right\}.$$

- *Second candidate approximation*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -dX_\varepsilon^1(t) = f^1(t, X_\varepsilon^1(t), Y_\varepsilon^1(t), Z_\varepsilon^1(t, \cdot), \zeta_\varepsilon(t))dt - Y_\varepsilon^1(t)dW(t) \\ \quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} Z_\varepsilon^1(t, z)\tilde{N}(dt, dz) - \zeta_\varepsilon(t)dB(t), \\ X_\varepsilon^1(T) = \xi_\varepsilon^1, \end{array} \right. \quad (3)$$

A solution to (3) is $(X_\varepsilon^1, Y_\varepsilon^1, Z_\varepsilon^1, \zeta_\varepsilon)$ \mathbb{G} -adapted.

Theorem

Assume that f^0 and f^1 satisfy

$$|f(t, x_1, y_1, z_1) - f^0(t, x_2, y_2, z_2)| \leq C \left(|x_1 - x_2| + |y_1 - y_2| + \|z_1 - z_2\| \right), \quad \text{for all } t,$$

$$|f(t, x_1, y_1, z_1) - f^1(t, x_2, y_2, z_2, \zeta)| \leq C \left(|x_1 - x_2| + |y_1 - y_2| + \|z_1 - z_2\| + |\zeta| \right) \quad \text{for all } t.$$

Theorem

Then we have for $t \in [0, T]$, and for $\rho = 0, 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E} \left[\int_t^T |X(s) - X_\varepsilon^\rho(s)|^2 ds \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\int_t^T |Y(s) - Y_\varepsilon^\rho(s)|^2 ds \right] \\
 & + \mathbb{E} \left[\int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} |Z(s, z) - Z_\varepsilon^\rho(s, z)|^2 \ell(dz) ds \right] \\
 & + \rho \mathbb{E} \left[\int_t^T |\zeta_\varepsilon^1(s)|^2 ds \right] \leq K \mathbb{E} [|\xi - \xi_\varepsilon^\rho|^2],
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |X(t) - X_\varepsilon^\rho(t)|^2 \right] \leq K \mathbb{E} [|\xi - \xi_\varepsilon^\rho|^2]$$

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Robustness of the BSDEJs
- 3 Robustness of quadratic hedging strategies
 - Model
 - FS-decomposition and LRM strategy
 - Martingale representation theorem
 - First candidate approximation to S
 - Second candidate approximation to S
 - Mean-variance hedging
- 4 Conclusion



UNIVERSITEIT
GENT

Model

- A risk free asset: $dS^{(0)}(t) = S^{(0)}(t)r(t)dt$,

A risky asset:

$$dS^{(1)}(t) = S^{(1)}(t) \left[a(t)dt + b(t)dW(t) + \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \gamma(t, z) \tilde{N}(dt, dz) \right].$$

- The dynamics of the discounted price process $\tilde{S} = \frac{S^{(1)}}{S^{(0)}}$:

$$d\tilde{S}(t) = \tilde{S}(t) \left[(a(t) - r(t))dt + b(t)dW(t) + \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \gamma(t, z) \tilde{N}(dt, dz) \right].$$

- $\tilde{S} = \tilde{S}(0) + M + A$, where

$$M(t) = \int_0^t b(s) \tilde{S}(s) dW(s) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \gamma(s, z) \tilde{S}(s) \tilde{N}(ds, dz),$$

$$A(t) = \int_0^t (a(s) - r(s)) \tilde{S}(s) ds.$$



LRM strategy

We have

$$\tilde{V}(t) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[\tilde{\xi} | \mathcal{F}_t], \quad \tilde{\xi} = \xi / S^{(0)}(T)$$

where \mathbb{Q} is a martingale measure.

In a risk-minimizing strategy, the martingale measure is **the risk minimal martingale measure** (Föllmer and Sondermann (1986)).

We assume for the MVT-process

$$K(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(a(s) - r(s))^2}{\underbrace{b^2(s) + \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \gamma^2(s, z) \ell(dz)}_{\alpha^2(s)}} ds < C \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

and

$$\tilde{S}(t)\alpha(t)\gamma(t, z) > -1, \quad \text{a.e. in } (t, z, \omega).$$

We have the following FS decomposition for \tilde{V} (Choulli et al. (1998))

$$\tilde{V}(t) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[\tilde{\xi}] + \int_0^t \chi^{FS}(s) d\tilde{S}(s) + \phi^{FS}(t),$$

where ϕ^{FS} is a \mathbb{P} -martingale orthogonal to M .
Replacing \tilde{S} by its value we get

$$\begin{cases} d\tilde{V}(t) = \tilde{\pi}(t)(a(t) - r(t))dt + \tilde{\pi}(t)b(t)dW(t) \\ \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \tilde{\pi}(t)\gamma(t, z)\tilde{N}(dt, dz) + d\phi^{FS}(t), \\ \tilde{V}(T) = \tilde{\xi}, \end{cases}$$

where $\tilde{\pi} = \chi^{FS}\tilde{S}$.



Martingale representation theorem

Kunita and Watanabe (1967).

Theorem

Every $\xi \in L_T^2$, \mathcal{G}_T -measurable has a representation of the form

$$\xi = \mathbb{E}[\xi] + \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_k(t, z) \mu_k(dt, dz), \quad (4)$$

where the stochastic integrators

$$\mu_1(dt, dz) = W(dt) \times \delta_0(dz), \quad \mu_2(dt, dz) = B(dt) \times \delta_0(dz),$$

$$\mu_3(dt, dz) = \tilde{N}(dt, dz) \mathbf{1}_{[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_0}(t, z),$$

are orthogonal martingale random fields on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_0$.

For every $\xi \in L_T^2$, \mathcal{F}_T -measurable, (4) holds with $\mu_2(dt, dz) = 0$.

Applying the martingale representation theorem,

$$\phi^{FS}(t) = \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[\phi^{FS}(T)]}_{=0} + \int_0^t Y^{FS}(s) dW(s) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} Z^{FS}(s, z) \tilde{N}(ds, dz).$$

In that case, we have

$$\begin{cases} d\tilde{V}(t) = \tilde{\pi}(t)(a(t) - r(t))dt + (\tilde{\pi}(t)b(t) + Y^{FS}(t))dW(t) \\ \quad \quad \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} (\tilde{\pi}(t)\gamma(t, z) + Z^{FS}(t, z))\tilde{N}(dt, dz), \\ \tilde{V}(T) = \tilde{\xi}. \end{cases}$$

Lemma

Let $\kappa(t) = b^2(t) + \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \gamma^2(t, z) \ell(dz)$. Assume that for all $t \in [0, T]$

$$\frac{|a(t) - r(t)|}{\sqrt{\kappa(t)}} \leq C, \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}, \quad (5)$$

for a positive constant C . Then BSDEJ for \tilde{V} is of type (1).



$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -dX(t) = f(t, X(t), Y(t), Z(t, \cdot))dt - Y(t)dW(t) \\ \quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} Z(t, z)\tilde{N}(dt, dz), \\ X(T) = \xi. \end{array} \right.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -d\tilde{V}(t) = -\tilde{\pi}(t)(a(t) - r(t))dt - (\tilde{\pi}(t)b(t) + Y^{FS}(t))dW(t) \\ \quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} (\tilde{\pi}(t)\gamma(t, z) + Z^{FS}(t, z))\tilde{N}(dt, dz), \\ \tilde{V}(T) = \tilde{\xi}. \end{array} \right.$$

The discounted price process is given by

$$d\tilde{S}_{1,\varepsilon}(t) = \tilde{S}_{1,\varepsilon}(t) \left\{ (a(t) - r(t))dt + b(t)dW(t) + \int_{|z|>\varepsilon} \gamma(t, z)\tilde{N}(dt, dz) + G(\varepsilon)\tilde{\gamma}(t)dB(t) \right\}.$$

Benth, Di Nunno, and Khedher (2011).

Let

$$\tilde{V}_{1,\varepsilon}(t) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_{1,\varepsilon}}[\tilde{\xi}_\varepsilon^1 \mid \mathcal{G}_t],$$

where $\mathbb{Q}_{1,\varepsilon}$ is the minimal martingale measure.

The equation we obtain for the approximating problem is thus given by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} d\tilde{V}_{1,\varepsilon}(t) = \tilde{\pi}_{1,\varepsilon}(t)(a(t) - r(t))dt + (\tilde{\pi}_{1,\varepsilon}(t)b(t) + Y_{1,\varepsilon}^{FS}(t))dW(t) \\ \quad + (\tilde{\pi}_{1,\varepsilon}(t)G(\varepsilon)\tilde{\gamma}(t) + Y_{2,\varepsilon}^{FS}(t))dB(t) \\ \quad + \int_{|z|>\varepsilon} (\tilde{\pi}_{1,\varepsilon}(t)\gamma(t, z) + Z_{\varepsilon}^{FS}(t, z))\tilde{N}(dt, dz), \\ \tilde{V}_{1,\varepsilon}(T) = \tilde{\xi}_{\varepsilon}^1, \end{array} \right.$$

where $\tilde{\pi}_{1,\varepsilon} = \chi_{1,\varepsilon}^{FS}\tilde{S}_{1,\varepsilon}$.

\implies BSDEJ for $\tilde{V}_{1,\varepsilon}$ is of type (3)

Daveloose, Khedher, Vanmaele (2013).

Theorem

Assume that for all $t \in [0, T]$ $\frac{|a(t)-r(t)|}{\sqrt{\kappa(t)}} \leq C$, \mathbb{P} -a.s.. Then ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\tilde{V}(t) - \tilde{V}_{1,\varepsilon}(t)|^2 \right] \leq C \mathbb{E}[|\tilde{\xi} - \tilde{\xi}_\varepsilon^1|^2].$$

Assume that (5) holds and that for all $t \in [0, T]$

$$\inf_{t \leq s \leq T} \kappa(s) \geq K, \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.} \quad (6)$$

Then for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_t^T |\tilde{\pi}(s) - \tilde{\pi}_{1,\varepsilon}(s)|^2 ds \right] \leq C \mathbb{E}[|\tilde{\xi} - \tilde{\xi}_\varepsilon^1|^2].$$

Theorem

Assume that (5) and (6) hold and for all $t \in [0, T]$

$$\sup_{t \leq s \leq T} \tilde{\gamma}^2(s) \leq \tilde{K}, \quad \sup_{t \leq s \leq T} \kappa(s) \leq \hat{K} < \infty \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

Then for all $t \in [0, T]$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[|\phi^{FS}(t) - \phi_{1,\varepsilon}^{FS}(t)|^2 \right] \leq C \mathbb{E} [|\tilde{\xi} - \tilde{\xi}_\varepsilon^1|^2] + C' G(\varepsilon),$$

where C and C' are two positive constants.

Let

$$C(t) = \phi^{FS}(t) + \tilde{V}(0)$$

and

$$C_{1,\varepsilon}(t) = \phi_{1,\varepsilon}^{FS}(t) + \tilde{V}_{1,\varepsilon}(0).$$

Corollary

Under the assumption of the last lemma we have for all $t \in [0, T]$

$$\mathbb{E}[|C(t) - C_{1,\varepsilon}(t)|^2] \leq K\mathbb{E}[|\tilde{\xi} - \tilde{\xi}_\varepsilon^1|^2] + K'G(\varepsilon),$$

where K and K' are two positive constants.

The discounted price process is given by

$$d\tilde{S}_{0,\varepsilon}(t) = \tilde{S}_{0,\varepsilon}(t) \left[(a(t) - r(t))dt + (b(t) + G(\varepsilon)\tilde{\gamma}(t))dW(t) + \int_{|z|>\varepsilon} \gamma(t, z)\tilde{N}(dt, dz) \right].$$

Let $\tilde{V}_{0,\varepsilon}(t) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_{0,\varepsilon}}[\tilde{\xi}_\varepsilon^0 \mid \mathcal{F}_t]$, where $\mathbb{Q}_{0,\varepsilon}$ is the minimal martingale measure. Thus

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} d\tilde{V}_{0,\varepsilon}(t) = \tilde{\pi}_{0,\varepsilon}^1(t)(a(t) - r(t))dt + (\tilde{\pi}_{0,\varepsilon}(t)[b(t) + G(\varepsilon)\tilde{\gamma}(t)] \\ \quad + Y_\varepsilon^{FS}(t))dW(t) + \int_{|z|>\varepsilon} (\tilde{\pi}_{0,\varepsilon}(t)\gamma(t, z) + Z_\varepsilon^{FS}(t, z))\tilde{N}(dt, dz), \\ \tilde{V}_{0,\varepsilon}(T) = \tilde{\xi}_\varepsilon^0, \end{array} \right.$$

where $\tilde{\pi}_{0,\varepsilon}(t) = \chi_{0,\varepsilon}^{FS}\tilde{S}_{1,\varepsilon}$. \implies BSDEJ for $\tilde{V}_{0,\varepsilon}$ is of type (2)



- case $S_{1,\varepsilon}$
 - variance of continuous part = $b^2(t) + G^2(\varepsilon)\tilde{\gamma}^2(t)$
= variance of continuous part + variance of SJ in S
 - study approximation by embedding original model solution into larger filtration \mathbb{G}
- case $S_{0,\varepsilon}$
 - variance of continuous part = $(b(t) + G(\varepsilon)\tilde{\gamma}(t))^2$
 \neq variance of continuous part + variance of SJ in S
 - approximation of solution in original filtration \mathbb{F}



Mean-variance hedging

- self-financing strategy
- the shortfall or loss from hedging $\tilde{\xi}$ is given by

$$\tilde{\xi} - \tilde{V}(T) = \tilde{\xi} - \tilde{V}(0) - \int_0^T \tilde{\Gamma}(s) d\tilde{S}(s), \quad \tilde{V}(0) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \tilde{\Gamma} \in \Theta.$$

- minimize the latter quantity in the L^2 -norm
- the finite variation process A in the decomposition of \tilde{S} is continuous
- assuming that the MVT process K with $K(t) = \int_0^t \alpha^2(s) ds$ is deterministic, the discounted number of risky assets is given by

$$\tilde{\Gamma}(t) = \tilde{\chi}^{FS}(t) + \alpha(t) \left(\tilde{V}(t) - \tilde{V}(0) - \int_0^t \tilde{\Gamma}(s) d\tilde{S}(s) \right), \quad (7)$$

- $\tilde{V}(t) = \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{Q}}[\tilde{\xi} | \mathcal{F}_t]$, $0 \leq t \leq T$, where \tilde{Q} is the minimal martingale measure
- the amount of wealth is given by

$$\tilde{\Upsilon}(t) = \tilde{\pi}(t) + h(t) \left(\tilde{V}(t) - \tilde{V}(0) - \int_0^t \frac{\tilde{\Upsilon}(s)}{\tilde{S}(s)} d\tilde{S}(s) \right),$$

- the amount of wealth associated to $\tilde{S}_{1,\varepsilon}$ is given by

$$\tilde{\Upsilon}_{1,\varepsilon}(t) = \tilde{\pi}_{1,\varepsilon}(t) + h(t) \left(\tilde{V}_{1,\varepsilon}(t) - \tilde{V}_{1,\varepsilon}(0) - \int_0^t \frac{\tilde{\Upsilon}_{1,\varepsilon}(s)}{\tilde{S}_{1,\varepsilon}(s)} d\tilde{S}_{1,\varepsilon}(s) \right).$$

Theorem

Assume the mean-variance tradeoff process is deterministic and that (5) and (6) hold. Then for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[|\tilde{\Upsilon}(t) - \tilde{\Upsilon}_{1,\varepsilon}(t)|^2 \right] \leq C \mathbb{E}[|\tilde{\xi} - \tilde{\xi}_\varepsilon^1|^2] + \tilde{C} G^2(\varepsilon).$$



Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Robustness of the BSDEJs
- 3 Robustness of quadratic hedging strategies
- 4 Conclusion**

- we considered different models for the price process
- using BSDEJs we proved that the locally risk-minimizing and the mean-variance hedging strategies are robust towards the choice of the model
- results are given in terms of estimates containing $\mathbb{E}[|\tilde{\xi} - \tilde{\xi}_\varepsilon^\rho|^2]$, which is a quantity well studied by Benth, Di Nunno, and Khedher (2010) and Kohatsu-Higa and Tankov (2010).

Ongoing research

- Discretizing the approximating BSDEJs.
- Computing the error coming from the combined effect discretization and approximation
- Apply this to study the robustness of discrete quadratic hedging strategies.



UNIVERSITEIT
GENT

References

- Benth, F. E., Di Nunno, G., and Khedher, A. (2011). Robustness of option prices and their deltas in markets modelled by jump-diffusions. *Comm. Stochastic Analysis.*, **5** (2), pp. 285–307.
- Benth, F. E., Di Nunno, G., and Khedher, A. (2013). A note on convergence of option prices and their Greeks for Lévy models. *Stochastics: An International Journal of Probability and Stochastic Processes.* **0** (0), pp 1–25
- Choulli, T., Krawczyk, L., Stricker, C. (1998). \mathcal{E} -martingales and their applications in mathematical finance. *Annals of Probability.*, **26**, 2, pp. 853–876.
- Daveloose, C., Khedher, A., Vanmaele, M. (2013). Robustness study of the hedging of European claims. Working paper



References

- Föllmer, H., Sondermann, D. (1986). Hedging of non redundant contingent claims. In: W. Hildenbrand and A. Mas-Collel, editors, *Contributions to Mathematical Economics*. pp. 205–223. North-Holland, Elsevier, 1986.
- Kohatsu-Higa, A., and Tankov, P. (2010). jump-adapted discretization schemes for Lévy-driven SDEs. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, **120**, 11, pp. 2258–2285.
- Kunita, H., and Watanabe, S. (1967). On square integrable martingales. *Nagoya Math.*, **30**, pp. 209–245.
- S.Tang, X.Li. (1994). Necessary conditions for optimal control of stochastic systems with random jumps. *SIAM J. Control Optim*, **32**, (5), pp. 1447–1475.



Thanks for your attention