



HEINRICH HEINE  
UNIVERSITÄT DÜSSELDORF

# Applications of the Likelihood Theory in Finance: Modelling and Pricing

Arnold Janssen (joint work with Martin Tietje)

Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf

Warsaw, June 13th 2013



# Applications of the Likelihood Theory in Finance: Modelling and Pricing

**Arnold Janssen and Martin Tietje**

*Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, Universitätsstr. 1, 40225 Düsseldorf, Germany*

*E-mails: janssen@math.uni-duesseldorf.de, tietje@math.uni-duesseldorf.de*

## Summary

**This paper discusses the connection between mathematical finance and statistical modelling which turns out to be more than a formal mathematical correspondence. We like to figure out how common results and notions in statistics and their meaning can be translated to the world of mathematical finance and vice versa. A lot of similarities can be expressed in terms of LeCam's theory for statistical experiments which is the theory of the behaviour of likelihood processes. For positive prices the**

# Outline

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Representation of financial models as statistical experiments
- 3. Option prices as functions of power functions of tests
- 4. Convergence of option prices

# 1. Motivation

Goal:

1. Application of statistical results in finance.
2. Review parallel working in finance and statistical modelling (Le Cam theory).

Similarities:

- Filtered likelihood processes
- Regression models
- Contiguity
- Completeness
- ...

# 1. Motivation

Goal:

1. Application of statistical results in finance.
2. Review parallel working in finance and statistical modelling (Le Cam theory).

Similarities:

- Filtered likelihood processes
- Regression models
- Contiguity
- Completeness
- ...

# References for Le Cam theory:



L. LeCam.

*Asymptotic Methods in Statistical Decision Theory.*  
Springer-Verlag, 1986.



L. LeCam and G.L.Yang.

*Asymptotics in statistics.*  
Springer-Verlag, 2000.



A. N. Shiryaev and V. G. Spokoiny.

*Statistical Experiments and Decisions: Asymptotic Theory.*  
World Scientific, 2000.



H. Strasser.

*Mathematical Theory of Statistics.*  
de Gruyter, 1985.

## Examples of statistical concepts in finance

- geometric Brownian motion
- Contiguity: asymptotic arbitrage  
Kabanov / Kramkov, Hubalek / Schachermeyer
- Neyman Pearson tests  
Föllmer / Leukert, Schied, Rudloff / Karatzas
- binary experiments  
Gushin / Mordecki
- Le Cam's third Lemma in finance  
Shiryaev

## 2. Representation of financial models as statistical experiments

Filtered statistical experiment:

- $E = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{P_\vartheta : \vartheta \in \Theta\})$
- Filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ .

Financial model:

- Time interval:  $I \subset [0, T]$  with  $T < \infty$ ,  $\{0, T\} \subset I$ ,
- Filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  with filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in I}$ ,  
 $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_T$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{N : P(N) = 0 \text{ or } P(N) = 1\}$
- **Adapted, positive, discounted price processes**  $(X_t^i)_{t \in I}$ ,  
 $1 \leq i \leq d$ .



## 2. Representation of financial models as statistical experiments

Filtered statistical experiment:

- $E = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{P_\vartheta : \vartheta \in \Theta\})$
- Filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ .

Financial model:

- Time intervall:  $I \subset [0, T]$  with  $T < \infty$ ,  $\{0, T\} \subset I$ ,
- Filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  with filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in I}$ ,  
 $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_T$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{N : P(N) = 0 \text{ or } P(N) = 1\}$
- **Adapted, positive, discounted price processes**  $(X_t^i)_{t \in I}$ ,  
 $1 \leq i \leq d$ .

Martingale measure:

$Q$  Martingale measure, if  $(X_t^i)_{t \in I}$   $Q$ -martingale  $\forall 1 \leq i \leq d$ .

### Theorem 1

Let  $Q$  be a probability measure equivalent to  $P$ . The following assertions are equivalent:

- (1) There are probability measures  $Q_1, \dots, Q_d$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  satisfying

$$\frac{dQ_i|_{\mathcal{F}_t}}{dQ|_{\mathcal{F}_t}} = \frac{X_t^i}{X_0^i}, \quad t \in I. \quad (1)$$

and  $Q_i \ll Q$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq d$ .

- (2)  $Q$  is a martingale measure.

From now on: financial models which allow a martingale measure

Notation:

- $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{Q_1, \dots, Q_d, Q, P\})$  together with  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in I}$  is called a financial experiment.
- The processes  $\left( \frac{dQ_i|_{\mathcal{F}_t}}{dQ|_{\mathcal{F}_t}} \right)_{t \in I}$  are called filtered likelihood processes.

## Dictionary

<i>Finance</i>	<i>Statistics</i>
<i>price process</i>	<i>filtered likelihood process</i>

### Example (Cox-Ross-Rubinstein model)

- $Q, Q_1$  products of Bernoulli distributions (parameters  $\tau, \kappa$ )
- $Q := ((1 - \tau)\varepsilon_0 + \tau\varepsilon_1)^N$  and  $Q_1 := ((1 - \kappa)\varepsilon_0 + \kappa\varepsilon_1)^N$
- Result:

$$\frac{dQ_1|_{\mathcal{F}_n}(k_n)}{dQ|_{\mathcal{F}_n}(k_n)} = \left(\frac{\kappa}{\tau}\right)^{k_n} \left(\frac{1 - \kappa}{1 - \tau}\right)^{n - k_n} = \tilde{u}^{k_n} \tilde{d}^{n - k_n} = \frac{X_n(k_n)}{X_0(k_n)}.$$

## Dictionary

<i>Finance</i>	<i>Statistics</i>
<i>price process</i>	<i>filtered likelihood process</i>

## Example (Cox-Ross-Rubinstein model)

- $Q, Q_1$  products of Bernoulli distributions (parameters  $\tau, \kappa$ )
- $Q := ((1 - \tau)\varepsilon_0 + \tau\varepsilon_1)^N$  and  $Q_1 := ((1 - \kappa)\varepsilon_0 + \kappa\varepsilon_1)^N$
- *Result:*

$$\frac{dQ_{1|\mathcal{F}_n}(k_n)}{dQ_{|\mathcal{F}_n}(k_n)} = \left(\frac{\kappa}{\tau}\right)^{k_n} \left(\frac{1 - \kappa}{1 - \tau}\right)^{n - k_n} = \tilde{u}^{k_n} \tilde{d}^{n - k_n} = \frac{X_n(k_n)}{X_0(k_n)}.$$

## Example (Itô type price processes)

*Discounted price processes:*

$$\mathbf{X}_t^i = \mathbf{X}_0^i \exp\left(\int_0^t \sigma_i'(\mathbf{s}) d\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{s}) + \int_0^t \left(\mu_i(\mathbf{s}) - \rho(\mathbf{s}) - \frac{\|\sigma_i(\mathbf{s})\|^2}{2}\right) ds\right)$$

*where  $W$  is a  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion*

*Usual assumptions:*

- *Parameter space  $\Theta$ : space of volatility matrices  $\sigma = (\sigma_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,d}$  which are progressively measurable, uniformly positive definite processes such that an integrability condition holds.*
- *Interest rate and drift:  $\rho$  and  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_d)'$  progressively measurable processes*

- *Bond price:*

$$V_t^0 = \exp\left(\int_0^t \rho(s) ds\right), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{X}_T^i}{\mathbf{X}_0^i} = \frac{d\mathbf{Q}_i}{d\mathbf{Q}} := \exp \left( \int_0^T \sigma_i'(\mathbf{s}) d\bar{W}(\mathbf{s}) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|\sigma_i(\mathbf{s})\|^2 d\mathbf{s} \right)$$

Changing martingale measure:

$\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)' \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\theta(\mathbf{s}) := \sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{s})[\rho(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{1} - \mu(\mathbf{s})]$

- Set  $\frac{dQ}{dP} := \exp \left( \int_0^T \theta'(s) dW(s) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|\theta(s)\|^2 ds \right)$

By Girsanov's Theorem  $\bar{W}(t) = W(t) - \int_0^t \theta(s) ds$  is a  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion with respect to  $Q$

Statistical meaning: regression model in survival analysis

- $\xi(\mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{t}) + \int_0^{\mathbf{t}} (\tau(\mathbf{s}) - \theta(\mathbf{s})) d\mathbf{s} = \bar{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{t}) + \int_0^{\mathbf{t}} \tau(\mathbf{s}) d\mathbf{s}$   
 $\mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{t} \leq \mathbf{T}$
- $\mathbf{Q} = \mathcal{L}((\xi(\mathbf{t}))_{\mathbf{t} \leq \mathbf{T}} | \tau = \mathbf{0})$
- $\mathbf{Q}_i = \mathcal{L}((\xi(\mathbf{t}))_{\mathbf{t} \leq \mathbf{T}} | \tau = \sigma_i)$ ,  $\sigma_i := (\sigma_{i1}, \dots, \sigma_{id})'$

$$\frac{\mathbf{X}_T^i}{\mathbf{X}_0^i} = \frac{d\mathbf{Q}_i}{d\mathbf{Q}} := \exp \left( \int_0^T \sigma_i'(\mathbf{s}) d\bar{W}(\mathbf{s}) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|\sigma_i(\mathbf{s})\|^2 d\mathbf{s} \right)$$

Changing martingale measure:

$\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)' \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\theta(\mathbf{s}) := \sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{s})[\rho(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{1} - \mu(\mathbf{s})]$

- Set  $\frac{dQ}{dP} := \exp \left( \int_0^T \theta'(s) dW(s) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|\theta(s)\|^2 ds \right)$

By Girsanov's Theorem  $\bar{W}(t) = W(t) - \int_0^t \theta(s) ds$  is a  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion with respect to  $Q$

Statistical meaning: regression model in survival analysis

- $\xi(\mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{t}) + \int_0^{\mathbf{t}} (\tau(\mathbf{s}) - \theta(\mathbf{s})) d\mathbf{s} = \bar{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{t}) + \int_0^{\mathbf{t}} \tau(\mathbf{s}) d\mathbf{s}$   
 $\mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{t} \leq \mathbf{T}$
- $\mathbf{Q} = \mathcal{L}((\xi(\mathbf{t}))_{\mathbf{t} \leq \mathbf{T}} | \tau = \mathbf{0})$
- $\mathbf{Q}_i = \mathcal{L}((\xi(\mathbf{t}))_{\mathbf{t} \leq \mathbf{T}} | \tau = \sigma_i)$ ,  $\sigma_i := (\sigma_{i1}, \dots, \sigma_{id})'$



Finance	Statistics
Itô process models of Black-Scholes type	regression models
volatility	hazard parameters

### Definition

$\{P_\vartheta : \vartheta \in \Theta\}$  is  $\mathcal{G}$ -complete w.r.t. some class of function  $\mathcal{G}$ , if for  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  we have  $\int g dP_\vartheta = \text{constant}$  for all  $P_\vartheta$  implies  $g = \text{constant}$  a.e.

Finance	Statistics
complete markets	completeness of experiments

Finance	Statistics
Itô process models of Black-Scholes type	regression models
volatility	hazard parameters

## Definition

$\{P_\vartheta : \vartheta \in \Theta\}$  is  $\mathcal{G}$ -complete w.r.t. some class of function  $\mathcal{G}$ , if for  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  we have  $\int g dP_\vartheta = \text{constant}$  for all  $P_\vartheta$  implies  $g = \text{constant}$  a.e.

Finance	Statistics
complete markets	completeness of experiments

### 3. Option prices as functions of power functions of tests

#### Example (European Call option)

- *Payoff European Call:*

$$H_C = (X_T^1 - K)^+ = (X_T^1 - K) \mathbf{1}_{\{X_T^1 > K\}} = (X_T^1 - K) \phi_C \left( \frac{dQ_1}{dQ} \right)$$

where  $\phi_C \left( \frac{dQ_1}{dQ} \right) = \mathbf{1}_{\left\{ \frac{dQ_1}{dQ} > \frac{K}{X_0^1} \right\}}$  is a **Neyman Pearson test**

for the null hypothesis  $\{Q\}$  versus  $\{Q_1\}$

- *Fair price:*

$$p(H_C) = X_0^1 E_{Q_1} \left( \phi_C \left( \frac{dQ_1}{dQ} \right) \right) - K E_Q \left( \phi_C \left( \frac{dQ_1}{dQ} \right) \right)$$

- $p(H_C) + K$  **Bayes risk** of the test  $\phi_C$  with respect to the prior  $\Lambda_0 = X_0^1$  and  $\Lambda_1 = K$

### 3. Option prices as functions of power functions of tests

#### Example (European Call option)

- *Payoff European Call:*

$$H_C = (X_T^1 - K)^+ = (X_T^1 - K) \mathbf{1}_{\{X_T^1 > K\}} = (X_T^1 - K) \phi_C \left( \frac{dQ_1}{dQ} \right)$$

where  $\phi_C \left( \frac{dQ_1}{dQ} \right) = \mathbf{1}_{\left\{ \frac{dQ_1}{dQ} > \frac{K}{X_0^1} \right\}}$  is a **Neyman Pearson test**

for the null hypothesis  $\{Q\}$  versus  $\{Q_1\}$

- *Fair price:*

$$p(H_C) = X_0^1 E_{Q_1} \left( \phi_C \left( \frac{dQ_1}{dQ} \right) \right) - K E_Q \left( \phi_C \left( \frac{dQ_1}{dQ} \right) \right)$$

- $p(H_C) + K$  **Bayes risk** of the test  $\phi_C$  with respect to the prior  $\Lambda_0 = X_0^1$  and  $\Lambda_1 = K$

Option:

Random payoff  $H$  at time  $T$ .

Assumption (A):  $H$  is of the form

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^d [a_{ij} X_T^i - K_{ij}] \phi_{ij} \left( \left( \frac{X_t^i}{X_0^i} \right)_{t \in I} \right),$$

where  $\phi_{ij} : \mathbb{R}^I \rightarrow [0, 1]$  tests and  $a_{ij}, K_{ij}$  real coefficients  
 $1 \leq i \leq d, 1 \leq j \leq m$ .

Examples:

- European Call, European Put
- Strangle Option, Straddle Option, Bull-Spread Option
- Digital Option

Option:

Random payoff  $H$  at time  $T$ .

Assumption (A):  $H$  is of the form

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^d [a_{ij} X_T^i - K_{ij}] \phi_{ij} \left( \left( \frac{X_t^i}{X_0^i} \right)_{t \in I} \right),$$

where  $\phi_{ij} : \mathbb{R}^I \rightarrow [0, 1]$  tests and  $a_{ij}, K_{ij}$  real coefficients  
 $1 \leq i \leq d, 1 \leq j \leq m$ .

Examples:

- European Call, European Put
- Strangle Option, Straddle Option, Bull-Spread Option
- Digital Option

## Theorem 2

Under assumption (A) and for a fixed martingale measure  $Q$  the option price  $p(H)$  of  $H$  is given by

$$p(H) = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^d \left[ a_{ij} X_0^i E_{Q_i} \left( \phi_{ij} \left( \left( \frac{dQ_{i|\mathcal{F}_t}}{dQ_{|\mathcal{F}_t}} \right)_{t \leq T} \right) \right) - K_{ij} E_Q \left( \phi_{ij} \left( \left( \frac{dQ_{i|\mathcal{F}_t}}{dQ_{|\mathcal{F}_t}} \right)_{t \leq T} \right) \right) \right].$$

- $E_Q \left( \phi_{ij} \left( \left( \frac{dQ_{i|\mathcal{F}_t}}{dQ_{|\mathcal{F}_t}} \right)_{t \leq T} \right) \right)$  level of the test  $\phi_{ij}$
- $E_{Q_i} \left( \phi_{ij} \left( \left( \frac{dQ_{i|\mathcal{F}_t}}{dQ_{|\mathcal{F}_t}} \right)_{t \leq T} \right) \right)$  power of the test  $\phi_{ij}$

## Theorem 2

Under assumption (A) and for a fixed martingale measure  $Q$  the option price  $p(H)$  of  $H$  is given by

$$p(H) = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^d \left[ a_{ij} X_0^i E_{Q_i} \left( \phi_{ij} \left( \left( \frac{dQ_{i|\mathcal{F}_t}}{dQ_{|\mathcal{F}_t}} \right)_{t \leq T} \right) \right) - K_{ij} E_Q \left( \phi_{ij} \left( \left( \frac{dQ_{i|\mathcal{F}_t}}{dQ_{|\mathcal{F}_t}} \right)_{t \leq T} \right) \right) \right].$$

- $E_Q \left( \phi_{ij} \left( \left( \frac{dQ_{i|\mathcal{F}_t}}{dQ_{|\mathcal{F}_t}} \right)_{t \leq T} \right) \right)$  level of the test  $\phi_{ij}$
- $E_{Q_i} \left( \phi_{ij} \left( \left( \frac{dQ_{i|\mathcal{F}_t}}{dQ_{|\mathcal{F}_t}} \right)_{t \leq T} \right) \right)$  power of the test  $\phi_{ij}$



## Dictionary

<i>Finance</i>	<i>Statistics</i>
<i>options</i>	<i>tests</i>
<i>option price</i>	<i>by power functions of test</i>
<i>European call option</i>	<i>Neyman Pearson test</i>
<i>Black-Scholes price</i>	<i>Bayes risk</i>

## 4. Convergence of option prices

Le Cam: Convergence of experiments (likelihood processes)

Consequences: Convergence of Neyman Pearson Tests

Convergence of Bayes risks

- $E_n = \{P_{n,\vartheta} : \vartheta \in \Theta\}$  sequence of experiments
- weak convergence of experiments  $E_n \rightarrow E = \{P_\vartheta : \vartheta \in \Theta\}$   
weak convergence of all finite dimensional likelihood ratio processes

$$\mathcal{L} \left( \left( \frac{dP_{n,\vartheta}}{dP_{n,\vartheta_0}} \right)_{\vartheta} \middle| P_{n,\tau} \right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L} \left( \left( \frac{dP_\vartheta}{dP_{\vartheta_0}} \right)_{\vartheta} \middle| P_\tau \right) \quad \text{for all } \tau$$

- compact topology (on the set of classes of experiments)

## Example

*Central limit Theorem for statistical experiments (LAN) “local asymptotic normality” under some condition:*

- $E_n \rightarrow E = \{Q_{\vartheta g} : \vartheta \in \mathbb{R}\}$  *weakly*
- $\frac{dQ_{\vartheta g}}{dQ_0} = \exp(\vartheta L(g) - \vartheta^2 \|g\|^2/2)$  *Gaussian shift,  $g \in L_2$*
- $g \mapsto L(g)$  *Gaussian process, mean zero and  $\text{Cov}(L(g_1), L(g_2)) = \langle g_1, g_2 \rangle$  under  $Q_0$*
- *Covers the geometric Brownian motion*

## Example

*Central limit Theorem for statistical experiments (LAN) “local asymptotic normality” under some condition:*

- $E_n \rightarrow E = \{Q_{\vartheta g} : \vartheta \in \mathbb{R}\}$  *weakly*
- $\frac{dQ_{\vartheta g}}{dQ_0} = \exp(\vartheta L(g) - \vartheta^2 \|g\|^2/2)$  *Gaussian shift,  $g \in L_2$*
- $g \mapsto L(g)$  *Gaussian process, mean zero and  $\text{Cov}(L(g_1), L(g_2)) = \langle g_1, g_2 \rangle$  under  $Q_0$*
- *Covers the geometric Brownian motion*

## Example (Brownian motion regression model)

- $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, P_0 = \lambda|_{[0,1]}$
- $X_t = B(t) + \int_0^t g(u)du, 0 \leq t \leq 1$  *noise + signal*
- $Q_g = \mathcal{L}((X_t)_{t \leq 1} | g)$
- $L(g) = \int_0^1 g B(dt)$
- $\frac{dQ_g}{dQ_0} = \exp\left(\int_0^1 g B(dt) - \frac{\|g\|^2}{2}\right)$

For simplicity, one asset  $d = 1$

$$X_{n,t} = \frac{dQ_{1,n}|\mathcal{F}_{t,n}}{dQ_n|\mathcal{F}_{t,n}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

### Theorem 3

Suppose that  $X_{n,t}$  “converges weakly to”  $X_{0,t}$  (in terms of financial experiments).  $X_{0,t}$  is a price process iff  $Q_{1,n} \triangleleft Q_n$  and  $Q_n \triangleleft Q_{1,n}$  (Contiguity).

Contiguity  $P_n \triangleleft Q_n$ :

When  $Q_n(A_n) \rightarrow 0$  then  $P_n(A_n) \rightarrow 0$  holds.

“Asymptotic arbitrage freeness”

For simplicity, one asset  $d = 1$

$$X_{n,t} = \frac{dQ_{1,n}|\mathcal{F}_{t,n}}{dQ_n|\mathcal{F}_{t,n}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

### Theorem 3

Suppose that  $X_{n,t}$  “converges weakly to”  $X_{0,t}$  (in terms of financial experiments).  $X_{0,t}$  is a price process iff  $Q_{1,n} \triangleleft Q_n$  and  $Q_n \triangleleft Q_{1,n}$  (Contiguity).

Contiguity  $P_n \triangleleft Q_n$ :

When  $Q_n(A_n) \rightarrow 0$  then  $P_n(A_n) \rightarrow 0$  holds.

“Asymptotic arbitrage freeness”

## Example

$t_k = \frac{k}{n}T$  discrete times

$$X_{n,t_k} = \prod_{j=1}^k Z_{n,j}, \quad Z_{n,j} - 1 = \frac{X_{n,t_k} - X_{n,t_{k-1}}}{X_{n,t_{k-1}}} \text{ returns}$$

*Under regularity assumptions:*

*Convergence to the Gaussian shift (= geometric Brownian motion)*

*Concrete Example: Convergence of Cox-Ross-Rubinstein models*



## Theorem (Le Cam) (Main Theorem of Testing)

Suppose that  $\{P_{n,\vartheta} : \vartheta \in \Theta\} \rightarrow \{P_\vartheta : \vartheta \in \Theta\}$  weakly.  $P_\vartheta \ll P_{\vartheta_0}$   
Let  $\varphi_n : \Omega_n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a sequence of tests with

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{P_{n,\vartheta}}(\varphi_n) = a_\vartheta \text{ exists.}$$

Then there exists a test  $\varphi$  for  $(P_\vartheta)_\vartheta$  with

$$E_{P_\vartheta}(\varphi) = a_\vartheta \text{ for all } \vartheta.$$

$\varphi$  is related to the option for the “limit model”.

## Theorem (Le Cam) (Main Theorem of Testing)

Suppose that  $\{P_{n,\vartheta} : \vartheta \in \Theta\} \rightarrow \{P_\vartheta : \vartheta \in \Theta\}$  weakly.  $P_\vartheta \ll P_{\vartheta_0}$   
Let  $\varphi_n : \Omega_n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a sequence of tests with

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{P_{n,\vartheta}}(\varphi_n) = a_\vartheta \text{ exists.}$$

Then there exists a test  $\varphi$  for  $(P_\vartheta)_\vartheta$  with

$$E_{P_\vartheta}(\varphi) = a_\vartheta \text{ for all } \vartheta.$$

$\varphi$  is related to the option for the “limit model”.

All kind of convergence results are known in statistics.

Application in finance:

- Convergence of financial experiments  
(price processes)
- Convergence of power functions  
Neyman Pearson tests, Bayes risks
- Convergence of option prices
- Discrete approximation of complicated option prices

## Dictionary

<i>Finance</i>	<i>Statistics</i>
<i>price process</i>	<i>filtered likelihood process</i>
<i>Itô process models of Black-Scholes type</i>	<i>regression models</i>
<i>volatility</i>	<i>hazard parameters</i>
<i>complete markets</i>	<i>completeness of experiments</i>
<i>options</i>	<i>tests</i>
<i>option price</i>	<i>by power functions of test</i>
<i>European call option</i>	<i>Neyman Pearson test</i>
<i>Black-Scholes price</i>	<i>Bayes risk</i>
<i>martingale measure</i>	<i>null hypothesis</i>
<i>approximation of continuous time price models</i>	<i>convergence of experiments</i>
<i>asymptotic arbitrage free models</i>	<i>contiguity</i>

# References



H. Föllmer and P. Leukert.

Quantile Hedging.

*Finance Stochast.*, 3:251–273, 1999.



A. A. Gushchin and E. Mordecki.

Bounds on option prices for semimartingale market models.

*Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, 273: 73–113, 2002.



F. Hubalek and W. Schachermayer

When does convergence of asset prices imply convergence of option prices?

*Math. Finan.*, 8(4): 385–403, 1998.



A. Janssen and M. Tietje.

*Applications of the Likelihood Theory in Finance: Modelling and Pricing.*  
International Statistical Review, 2013.

# References



Yu. M. Kabanov and D. O. Kramkov.

Large financial markets: Asymptotic arbitrage and contiguity.

*Theory Probab. Appl.*, 39:182–187, 1994.



A. Schied.

On the Neyman-Pearson Problem for law-invariant risk measures and robust utility functionals.

*The Annals of Applied Probability.*, 14:1398–1423, 2004.

Thank you for your attention!

## Appendix

$$X_{n,t_k} = \prod_{j=1}^k Z_{n,j}, \quad Z_{n,j} - 1 = \frac{X_{n,t_k} - X_{n,t_{k-1}}}{X_{n,t_{k-1}}} \text{ returns}$$

$$\frac{dQ_{1,n}|\mathcal{F}_{t_k,n}}{dQ_n|\mathcal{F}_{t_k,n}} = X_{n,t_k} = \prod_{j=1}^k Z_{n,j} = \frac{d \otimes_{j=1}^k Q_{1,n}(j)}{d \otimes_{j=1}^k Q_n(j)}$$

$$\frac{dQ_{1,n}(j)}{dQ_n(j)} - 1 = Z_{n,j} - 1 \quad \text{“returns at stage } \frac{t_j}{n} \text{ for the } n\text{-th price process”}$$